UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

FINAL
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
FOR
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

Prepared by:
Office of Coastal Zone Management
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Department of Commerce
3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235

and
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico:
Department of Natural Resources
Puerto Rico Planning Board
The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 mandates that an environmental impact statement be prepared as part of the review and approval process of major actions by Federal agencies. The action contemplated is approval of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program under Section 306 of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended. An immediate effect of approval is the qualification of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico for Federal matching funds for use in administering the Program. In addition, the Coastal Zone Management Act stipulates that Federal activities affecting the coastal zone shall be, to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with an approved management program.

For purposes of reviewing this proposed action:

- Part I Introduction - prepared by the Federal Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM)
- Part II Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program - prepared by the Commonwealth; relied upon by the Federal OCZM as the statement of the proposed action for the purposes of NEPA
- Part III Environmental Impacts - prepared by the Federal OCZM with the assistance of the Commonwealth
- Part IV Appendices - prepared by the Commonwealth and the Federal OCZM

The key concerns are:

- whether the Puerto Rico Program is consistent with the objectives and policies of the national legislation,
- whether the Commonwealth's management authorities are adequate to implement the Program,
- whether the award of Federal funds under Section 306 of the Federal Act will help Puerto Rico to meet those objectives, and
- whether there will be a net environmental gain as a result of Program approval and implementation.

The Office of Coastal Zone Management believes the answers to these key questions are affirmative. The Office wants the widest possible circulation of this document to all interested agencies and parties in order to receive the fullest expression of opinion on these questions.
To those people whose time is limited in reviewing this Environmental Impact Statement, the following is recommended reading:

- Executive Summary (Part I, Section D)
- Problems and Responses (Part II, Chapter 3)
- The Coastal Management Program (Part II, Chapter 4)

This Program is of major significance, not only to Puerto Rico, but to the Nation. Further, the Puerto Rico coast represents a concentration of natural, historic, and economic attributes that is of national importance. The Federal Office of Coastal Zone Management thanks those participating in the review of the Puerto Rico Program and this Environmental Impact Statement.
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Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Coastal Zone Management. For additional information about this proposed action or this statement, please contact:

Office of Coastal Zone Management
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Attention: Ms. Ann Berger
3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235
Phone: 202/254-7566

1. Proposed Federal approval of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program
   (x) Administrative  ( ) Legislative

2. It is proposed that the Secretary of Commerce approve the Coastal Management Program application of Puerto Rico pursuant to P.L. 92-583. Approval would permit implementation of the proposed program, allowing program administration grants to be awarded to the Commonwealth and require that Federal actions be consistent with the program.

3. Approval and implementation of the program will restrict or prohibit certain land and water uses in parts of the Puerto Rico coast, while promoting and encouraging development and use activities in other parts. This may affect property values, property tax revenues, and resource extraction. The program will provide an improved decision-making process for determining coastal land and water uses and the siting of facilities of national interest and will lead to increased long-term protection of and benefit from the Commonwealth's coastal resources.

4. Federal Alternatives considered:
   A. Delay or deny approval:
      1) If the new policies and criteria established in the program were not enforceable or sufficient.
      2) If the Commonwealth lacks the ability to continue to consider the national interest.
   B. Preliminary approval – under section 305 (a)(2)
5. List of all Federal, Commonwealth and local agencies and other parties from which comments have been requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advisory Council on Historic Preservation</th>
<th>Department of Justice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
<td>Department of Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Navy</td>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>Economic Development Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Commerce</td>
<td>General Services Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>Marine Mammal Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health, Education and Welfare</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>U.S. Coast Guard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Interior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. This Final Environmental Impact Statement was transmitted to the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Notice of Availability to the public was published in the Federal Register.
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July 12, 1978

Mr. Robert W. Knecht
Associate Administrator
Coastal Zone Management
National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20240

Dear Mr. Knecht:

I am pleased to transmit the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program including the documentation needed to fulfill the requirements of subsections 306 (c) (4), (5), and (7) of the U.S. Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.

I have reviewed the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program and have approved it as Government policy. The Department of Natural Resources is hereby designated as the lead agency for the implementation of the coastal management program. It is the single designated agency to receive and administer grants for implementing the coastal management program. Puerto Rico has the authorities and organization necessary to implement the management program.

I expect the program not only to provide for the wise and balanced use of Puerto Rico's coastal zone but also to permit the zone to serve present and future generations and, at the same time, be protected for its ecological, cultural and historic values.

The assistance of the Federal Government in this program is gratefully appreciated.

Sincerely,

Carlos Romero Barcelo
Governor
July 10, 1978

STATEMENT OF THE SECRETARY

The Coastal Zone Management Project has been in progress in Puerto Rico for three years. In March 1977, the Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program was approved by the Federal Office of Coastal Zone Management and in April that office awarded Puerto Rico funds to begin implementing the Program in Culebra.

This final Review Draft, the fourth of a series of drafts of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program, is combined with a final environmental impact statement. This draft represents the current status of project work for all of Puerto Rico and is intended to present the recommended policies and responses of the Program for final review.

In early July 1977, a First Review Draft was distributed to Federal and Commonwealth agencies, mayors of coastal municipalities, and citizen groups. In December 1977, a Public Hearing Draft in English and Spanish was distributed to the organizations listed above. Public hearings were held in late January 1978. A Federal Review Draft, combined with a draft environmental impact statement was distributed in March 1978. A public hearing on that draft was held in April 1978. Responses to many of the comments received from Commonwealth and Federal agencies and to those presented at the public hearings have been incorporated in the PRCMP.

The final Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program is expected to be approved by September 1978.

I invite and encourage you to comment on the Final Review Draft. If you have any questions about the Program, or wish to obtain more information please contact:

Mr. Gabriel del Toro
Assistant Secretary for Planning
Department of Natural Resources
Box 5887
Puerta de Tierra Station
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00906

I look forward to a cooperative effort in the further development of Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program.

Sincerely,

Fred V. Soltero Harrington
Secretary
PART ONE: INTRODUCTION
INTRODUCTION

A. The Federal Coastal Zone Management Program

In response to the intense pressures upon, and because of the importance of the coastal zone of the United States, Congress passed the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) (P.L. 92-583) which was signed into law on October 27, 1972. The Act authorizes a Federal grant-in-aid program to be administered by the Secretary of Commerce, who in turn delegated this responsibility to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s (NOAA) Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM).

The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 was substantially amended on July 26, 1976, (P.L. 94-370). The CZMA affirms a national interest in the effective protection and development of the coastal zone, by providing assistance and encouragement to coastal states to develop and implement rational programs for managing their coastal zones. The CZMA opens by stating "(t)here is a national interest in the effective management, beneficial use, protection, and development of the coastal zone" (Section 302(a)). The statement of Congressional findings goes on to describe how competition for the utilization of coastal resources, brought on by the increased demands of population growth and economic expansion, has led to the degradation of the coastal environment, including the "loss of living marine resources, wildlife, nutrient-rich areas, permanent and adverse changes to ecological systems, decreasing open space for public use, and shoreline erosion." The CZMA states "(t)he key to more effective protection and use of land and water resources of the coastal zone is to encourage states to exercise their full authority over the land and waters in the coastal zone by assisting the states ...in developing land and water use programs...for dealing with (coastal) land and water use decisions of more than local significance." (Section 302(h)).

While local governments and Federal agencies are required to cooperate and participate in the development of management programs, the State level of government is given the central role and responsibility for this process. Financial assistance grants are authorized by the CZMA to provide states with the means of achieving these objectives and policies. Under Section 305, thirty coastal states which border on the Atlantic or Pacific Oceans, Gulf of Mexico, and the Great Lakes, and four U.S. territories are eligible to receive grants from NOAA for 80 percent of the costs of developing coastal management programs. Broad guidelines defining the procedures by which states can qualify to receive development grants under Section 305 of the CZMA, and the policies for development of a state management program were published on March 1, 1978 (15 CFR 923).
B. National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 Requirements

On January 1, 1970, the President signed into law the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which requires each Federal agency to prepare a statement of environmental impact in advance of each major action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must assess potential environmental impacts of a proposed action in order to disclose environmental consequences of such action.

To comply with NEPA's requirement of preparing an EIS, OCZM has combined the state's coastal management program (which is the proposed action) with a discussion of the environmental impacts. The CZMA is based upon the premise that the environmental aspects of the coastal management program should receive significant consideration in the development of state programs. Therefore, as you read this EIS you should be aware that the state coastal management program is the core document included in its entirety supplemented by the requirements of NEPA, Section 102(2)(c).

For reviewers more familiar with the NEPA requirements for content of an EIS, below is an index of where you will find this information:

Description of the proposed action...........................................Part Two
Description of the environment affected......................................Page 183
Relationship of the proposed action to land use plans, policies, and controls for the affected areas..............Page 183
Probable impact of the proposed action on the environment........Page 184
Relationship between local short-term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity...Page 184
Irreversible and irremovable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented........................................Page 184
An indication of what other interests and considerations of Federal policy are thought to affect the adverse environmental effects of the proposed action.............................Appendix D
C. Relationship of OCZH Requirements for Program Approval (Section 306) to the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program Submission

OCZH Requirements

15 CFR Part 923, Section:

- .4(b) Problems, Issues, and Objectives: Chapters 1, 2, and 3
- .5 Environmental Impact Assessment: Part 3
- .11 Boundaries: Appendix B, Maps 25, 27, 30-37
- .12 Uses Subject to Management: Appendix B
- .13 Areas of Particular Concern: Chapters 3 and 4, Appendices B and C
- .14 Guidelines on Priority of Uses: Chapter 3, Appendix B
- .15 National Interest in the Siting of Facilities: Chapter 3, Appendix B
- .16 Area Designation for Preservation and Restoration: Chapters 3 and 4, Appendices B and C
- .17 Local Regulations and Uses of Regional Benefit: Appendix B
- .18 Shorefront Access Planning: Chapter 3 (Not completed)
- .19 Energy Facility Planning: Chapter 3 (Not completed)
- .20 Shoreline Erosion Planning: Chapter 3 (Not completed)
- .31 Means of Exerting Control over Land and Water Uses: Chapter 4, Appendix B
- .32 Organizational Structure: Chapter 4, Appendix B
- .33 Designation of Single Agency: Governor's Cover Letter
- .34 Authorities to Administer Land and Water Use, Control Development and Resolve Conflicts: Chapter 4, Appendix B
- .35 Authorities for Property Acquisition: Appendix B
- .36 Techniques for Control of Land and Water Uses: Chapter 4, Appendix B
- .41 Full Participation of Relevant Bodies in Adoption of Management Program: Appendices B, D, and E
- .42 Consultation and Coordination: Appendix B
- .51 Public Hearings: Appendices B and E
- .52 Gubernatorial Review and Approval: Governor's Cover Letter, Appendix B
- .53 Segmentation: Appendix B
- .54 Applicability of Air and Water Pollution Control Requirements: Chapter 3, Appendix B
THE PUERTO RICO COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM — EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Federal Coastal Zone Management Program and Puerto Rico

The purpose of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (the CZMA) is "(t)o establish a national policy and develop a national program for the management, beneficial use, protection, and development of the land and water resources of the Nation's coastal zones."

Basic to the Coastal Zone Management Program is "an identification of the issues and problems that confront or will confront a state's coastal zone and, relatedly, an articulation of specific goals, objectives, policies, standards, guidelines and/or regulations to address these issues."

The Federal Act provides three principal kinds of benefits to Puerto Rico:

First, it provides planning funds for use by the Commonwealth in preparing a management program for its coast. Puerto Rico's Coastal Zone Management Project, sponsored jointly by the Department of Natural Resources and the Puerto Rico Planning Board, has had the benefit of Federal funds in preparing a draft Coastal Management Program and in reviewing its contents with public agencies and private citizens. Federal funds — sometimes called "305 funds" because they are authorized by section 305 of the CZMA — have covered up to 80 percent of the costs of the project.

Second, the Federal Act will provide management funds for use by the Commonwealth in implementing its coastal management program. These funds, which became available after Federal approval of the management program, are authorized by section 306 as well as other sections of the Federal Act. It is expected that these funds might come to some $1,000,000 a year for Puerto Rico.

Third, the Federal Act contains Federal consistency provisions requiring many Federal actions to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with Puerto Rico's approved management program.

The second and third of these benefits — management funds and the applicability of consistency provisions — will become available only after Puerto Rico's management program has been adopted by the Planning Board, approved by the Governor, and approved by the Federal Office of Coastal Zone Management, which is part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce. A number of complicated requirements must be satisfied to obtain Federal approval; these are discussed in Appendix B of the program document.
The Puerto Rican Context for Coastal Management

The Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program is intended to respond to the needs of the Commonwealth as well as those of the nation. Particular effort has been made to relate the program to the following special circumstances of Puerto Rico:

1. **Natural Environment.** One principal concern of the program, in keeping with the national policy set forth in the CZMA, is to protect this rich and distinctive environment against disruption.

2. **Economic Plight.** Another principal concern of the program is economic development of the island, where the per capita income level is 35 percent below that of the poorest state and where, according to official estimates, unemployment currently hovers around 20 percent.

3. **Land Use Policies.** The existence of official Islandwide Land Use Policies adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board and approved by the Governor, establish a policy basis for coastal management as well as for land use in the interior of Puerto Rico. (These policies are set forth in Chapter 2 and quoted where relevant in the remainder of the program document.) In a few cases, where these existing policies are insufficiently detailed to guide coastal management, this program document includes additional policies.

4. **Development Controls.** There are development controls, established by the Commonwealth, rather than by local governments, that are applicable to all of Puerto Rico, including the coastal zone. The situation in Puerto Rico is thus unlike that in most states, where development controls are traditionally a local responsibility and where effective coastal management requires new control programs at the state level. Rather, Puerto Rico needs refinement of existing islandwide controls to place new emphasis on the management of coastal resources. The program provides that new emphasis and integrates it into the existing control process both to assure effectiveness and to minimize delay and red tape.

5. **Coastal Resources.** There is a serious, unmet need for active management of coastal resources. Although Puerto Rico has abundant controls, it has lacked the funds necessary to provide rangers, custodians, environmental educators, researchers, and supporting facilities necessary for active management of coastal resources. Accordingly, the program places special emphasis on providing affirmative management services such as these.

6. **Institutional Structure.** There is a well-established institutional structure to administer policies, controls, and other public measures necessary to manage the coast as well as the interior of the island. The Commonwealth's new emphasis on coastal management requires some modification of this established structure, and that modification is included in the program.
To assure effectiveness, however, responsibility for coastal management is closely linked to responsibility for resource management throughout the Commonwealth.

Boundaries of the Coastal Zone

Generally, the coastal zone extends inward 1,000 meters from the shoreline of Puerto Rico — and in some places somewhat farther inland where necessary to include an important coastal natural system. In addition, the coastal zone includes all the offshore islands and all waters within the 3-mile limit. Nevertheless, all Federal lands are excluded from the coastal zones as required by Section 304 of the CZMA. The boundaries of the coastal zone are explained in Appendix B and shown on Maps 30-37.

The Program Document

This document, describing Puerto Rico's coastal management program, is now in its third review draft: the "Federal Review Draft." The Program (Part II of this document) has four chapters:

Chapter 1 provides an overview of Puerto Rico's coastal resources. It describes the physical and economic contexts for coastal management as well as some fundamental problems and objectives to which coastal management must be related.

Chapter 2 sets forth the formal policy base for coastal management in Puerto Rico: The statement of Land Use Objectives and Public Policies adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board and approved by the Governor a few months ago. (These policies govern the interior of the island as well as the coastal zone.)

Chapter 3, the longest in the document, examines Puerto Rico's coastal problems:

Coastal hazards: flooding (including hurricane flooding), geologic hazards, and coastal erosion.

Coastal resources: reefs, mangrove wetlands, dunes, beaches, wildlife, coastal waters, coastal forests, and cultural and historic sites.

Coastal development: coastal-dependent industry, sand for construction, mineral development, energy, recreation, transportation, and commercial fishing.

In discussing each of these subjects, the chapter (1) describes the problem, (2) identifies any established policies for dealing with it, and (3) examines what is already being done by Commonwealth or Federal agencies to deal with the problem. Finally, the chapter identifies needs for future action; actions responsive to these needs are included in the management program, which is described in Chapter 4.
Chapter 4 describes the coastal management program itself, with emphasis on implementation measures. Because of its importance, this chapter is described in greater length below.

In addition, the program document contains five appendices (Part IV of the document). Appendix A describes the Commonwealth and Federal agencies whose activities affect the coast of Puerto Rico. Appendix B relates the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program to Federal requirements. Appendix C describes in detail the Special Planning Areas designated by the Program as well as proposed Natural Reserves. Appendix D describes the extensive process of consultation with Commonwealth and Federal agencies during preparation of the program document. Appendix E describes public participation during program development.

The Coastal Management Program

The coastal management program, described in Chapter 4 of the program document, contains four broad elements, of which the first two are emphasized:

A. GUIDING DEVELOPMENT ON PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PROPERTY

Guiding development on public and private property is the first program element (page 150).

Puerto Rico already has a process for guiding development in the coastal zone, conducted principally by four agencies: the Puerto Rico Planning Board, the Regulations and Permits Administration, the Environmental Quality Board, and the Department of Natural Resources. Numerous linkages connect these agencies and their activities (page 160).

Critical to an understanding of the established process is recognition of (1) the comprehensive powers of the Planning Board and the responsibility of the Board to reconcile numerous conflicting public objectives in exercising those powers; (2) the special responsibility of the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for coastal and other natural resources. In effect, the Department serves as advocate and proponent of a natural resource perspective (such as the Economic Development Administration proposes development measures, for example, or the Department of Transportation and Public Works proposes transportation measures), and the Board makes the final decisions that reconcile those proposals with other needs. In keeping with this division of responsibility, DNR is designated as the lead agency for coastal management in Puerto Rico, and the Planning Board retains responsibility for overall policymaking and for principal development controls.
Several innovations are to be undertaken as part of the coastal management program:

First, new policies. Four new policies, each responding to a need identified in Chapter 3, are established by the program document and will become official when adopted by the Planning Board and approved by the Governor. These policies deal with mangrove wetland protection (page 62), beach access (pages 73 and 75), and coastal-dependent development (page 114). In addition, new criteria on diking, filling, dredging, and deposit of dredged sediments are incorporated in the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program (page 105).

Second, refinements of regulations and criteria. Refinements of regulations and criteria, each of which responds to a need identified in Chapter 3, are to be undertaken as part of the management program. These relate to runoff and erosion control (page 103), community facilities along the shorefront (page 86), access dedication requirements (page 77), and floodable areas (page 50).

Third, Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. The program document designates all mangrove wetlands and seven additional areas as Special Planning Areas, defined as important coastal resource areas subject to serious present or potential use conflicts and therefore requiring detailed planning (page 165). Within these areas, additional review of development will be conducted, and these areas will receive priority in the allocation of scarce public funds for planning, regulations, enforcement, and the provision of appropriate public facilities.

The program also proposes future establishment of several Natural Reserves, which would be preserved in substantially their present condition (page 165).

Fourth, procedural and organizational changes will be made to assure effective implementation of the policies and controls. A Coastal Management Unit, administratively located in the Office of the Secretary of Natural Resources, has several key responsibilities for guiding coastal development (page 169).

For preparing detailed policies and plans for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves;
For proposing designation of additional areas; and
For participating in the review of coastal development proposals.

The Coastal Management Unit will also be responsible for formulating work plans and programs for coastal management, for establishing priorities for carrying out the work program, and for monitoring progress in carrying it out.
B. ACTIVE MANAGEMENT OF COASTAL RESOURCES

Active management of coastal resources is the second broad element of the coastal program (page 171). Many established management activities are the responsibility of DNR. Several innovations are to be undertaken as part of the coastal management program:

**First, building up field services and facilities** (page 173). These activities include training and enlarging field staffs, providing them with equipment, instructing children and adults about natural systems of the coast, planning for field services, and establishing concentrated management areas.

Second, **organizational changes** will be made to assure effectiveness of field services (page 175). The Coastal Management Unit will have responsibility for preparing detailed plans for field services and facilities as well as for coastal overview, monitoring, and grant administration. Another organizational change is the creation of the new Ranger Corps.

Third, **establishing a system of natural reserves** (page 176). The program includes preparation of legislation for such a system, ultimately to include marine, estuarine, and terrestrial areas.

Fourth, **clarifying and extending public property rights in coastal resources** (page 177). This includes buying additional coastal property rights, as well as statutory clarification of existing rights. In addition, one of the new policies established by the Program is intended to assure public rights of access to surplus Federal beaches.

Fifth, **other measures to protect coastal resources** (page 178). These include the adoption of additional regulations governing coral extraction, sand extraction, equater communities, and archaeological site protection. Also to be undertaken as part of the Program is an updating of the oil spill contingency plan.

C. PROMOTING COASTAL DEVELOPMENT

Although several coastal development needs are identified (Chapter 3), few of them have proven appropriate for responsive action as part of the coastal management program itself. The most important response to be undertaken as part of the program is the conducting of feasibility studies of alternative sources of sand for construction (page 179).
D. RESEARCH

The final element of the program is research (page 180). This includes policy research (on coastal erosion hazards, beach access, and geologic hazards); economic feasibility studies (on alternative sand sources); resource and hazard inventories (mapping of coastal high-hazard areas, completing inventory of coral reefs, detailed resource inventories of Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves, and field laboratory activities in the Guanica Forest).
PART TWO: THE PUERTO RICO COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
INTRODUCTION

On this small and mountainous Island, four-fifths of all the level land lies in the coastal plain. This limited land area must accommodate most of Puerto Rico's future development. Diverse demands upon this land and its bordering waters inevitably create conflicts. Resolving these conflicts, to produce the optimum use of coastal lands and waters, is the challenge of coastal management.

In 1972, a group of citizens, coming together to form the Committee on Puerto Rico and the Sea, responded to this challenge. Their report, Puerto Rico and the Sea, identified critical coastal problems and recommended steps toward their solution.

In 1974, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and the Planning Board also responded to the challenge — by establishing a joint program to prepare a management plan for the coast. The cost of this program has been substantially supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Commerce. The grants have been administered through the Office of Coastal Zone Management pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. ♦

Chapter 1 of this document provides an overview of Puerto Rico's coastal resources and suggests some overall problems and objectives to which coastal management must be related.

In 1975, while the coastal management program was being prepared, the Planning Board prepared a statement of Land Use Objectives and Public Policies. That statement is the first element of the Islandwide Land Use Plan mandated by the Board's 1975 Organic Act. After extensive review by Commonwealth agency heads and the general public, followed by final staff revision, the Objectives and Policies were formally adopted on June 8, 1977, and approved by the Governor on June 22, 1977. Those objectives and public policies which establish an islandwide policy context for the Coastal Management Program, are set forth in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 considers Puerto Rico's coastal problems: coastal hazards, coastal resources, coastal development needs. Findings describe the nature and extent of each problem discussed. Any established policies for dealing with the problem are then noted, followed by an examination of what is being done — and what needs to be done — to respond to the problem.

Chapter 4 combines the needs described in Chapter 3 into a Coastal Management Program, adding procedural and institutional changes necessary to ensure that those needs are satisfied.

♦Pursuant to this Act, Puerto Rico has defined its coastal zone. The boundaries of the coastal zone are specified in Appendix B and are shown on Maps 30 to 37.
Appendix A describes Commonwealth and Federal agencies whose activities affect the coast of Puerto Rico.

Appendix B relates the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program to requirements of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.

Appendix C describes the Special Planning Areas designated in Chapter 4 as well as proposed Natural Reserves.

Appendix D describes consultation with Commonwealth and Federal agencies during preparation of the Program.

Appendix E describes public participation during Program development.
CHAPTER 1

THE COAST OF PUERTO RICO:
AN OVERVIEW
CHAPTER 1
THE COAST OF PUERTO RICO: AN OVERVIEW

PHYSICAL AND ECONOMIC CONTEXT

Lying between the Atlantic Ocean and the Caribbean Sea, Puerto Rico is the easternmost island of the Greater Antilles (see Map 1). It is about 100 miles long and 35 miles wide, with a total land area of 3,421 square miles. Its population, which in 1970 was 2.7 million, is estimated to be 3.2 million today, with a resulting density of about 935 persons per square mile.

Never connected by a land bridge to a continental land area, the island was created about 100 million years ago as a result of volcanic action. About half of Puerto Rico's surface consists of mountains and hills with slopes of 45 degrees or more.

The coastal lowlands resulted from successive inclinations of the volcanic mass, the erosion of its mountains, the deposition of alluvium at the mouths of rivers and its redistribution by ocean waves and currents, the formation of coral reefs, and sea level variations during the course of geologic time. The relatively level coastal lands now comprise about 700,000 cuerdas, one-third of the land area of Puerto Rico, and about 80 percent of all level land on the Island.

The mountainous topography of the Island and the pattern of prevailing northeasterly winds account for the distribution of rainfall, which is most heavily concentrated over the Sierra de Luquillo in the east and over the mountains of the west. Coastal plains receiving the heaviest precipitation are in the west, the southeast, and along the north coast. The coastal plains bordering the south coast are largely arid. Most of the largest rivers flow toward the Island's north coast.

Vegetation distribution is also influenced by rainfall patterns. The vegetation of the north and west coasts is classified as subtropical moist forest (e.g., the Dorado forest), while the south and southwest coasts have subtropical dry forest vegetation (e.g., the Guanica dry forest).

NATURAL SYSTEMS

In addition to meteorological factors, the shape and orientation of Puerto Rico and the width of its insular shelf influence the type and location of natural systems found along the coast (see Map 3). Coral reefs are spaced along nearly the full length of the south coast because the wide insular shelf there has allowed the formation of offshore islands, and because south coast rivers bring relatively little suspended sediment to the sea. On the other hand, the western two-thirds of the north coast is entirely lacking in coral reefs, largely because the narrow shelf has prevented the formation of offshore islands, and the large north-coast rivers bring much suspended sediment to the coastal waters.

One cuerda equals .97 acre.
The insular shelf is believed to possess yet unexplored mineral deposits as well as submarine sand deposits. The shallow tropical waters above the insular shelf often harbor coral reef formations with abundant fish life.

Landward, the coastal plain has widely varying features including rocky cliffs, sand dunes, beaches, karst hills and sinkholes, fresh and salt water lagoons, coastal forests, mangrove swamps and marshes, salt flats, and flood plains. The area where land and sea meet is characterized by highly productive biological systems, the result of nutrient concentration caused by tidal action, alluvial silt, and shallow waters. Delicate balancing of many of these elements in near-shore waters fosters the concentration of biological organisms, such as dinoflagellates, among others, resulting in the phenomenon of bioluminescent lagoons.

EQUATION OF OCCUPANCY PATTERNS

Archaeological evidence indicates that the first inhabitants of the Island arrived during the first century A.D. By the time of the Spanish conquest in the fifteenth century, the Island of Borinquen was inhabited by the Arawaks, a people native to northern South America, who had migrated several centuries earlier. At that time, between 60,000 and 100,000 people lived on the Island (see Map 4). Fifty villages, some of which housed as many as 3,000 to 4,000 inhabitants, were distributed throughout the Island but were principally located along the coastal plains and on the level land of the interior valleys of Caguas and Utuado. A rudimentary tropical agriculture -- devoted principally to the cultivation of yucca, the staple crop of the Arawaks -- occupied between 52,000 and 100,000 cuerdas.

Disease and forced social change resulting from Spanish colonization at the turn of the sixteenth century greatly reduced the Arawak population, and this decline was not compensated for by European migration. By the middle of the eighteenth century, the Island had only 45,000 inhabitants, about half the population prior to Spanish rule.

Puerto Rico's population practically doubled between 1765 and 1775, as a result of a European population explosion and the relaxation of strict Spanish immigration laws. Immigration received an additional stimulus from reforms in 1815 which permitted entry of non-Spanish immigrants.
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62 years, from 1765 to 1827, the Island’s population multiplied eight-fold. Thus began a long-term rise in population which has continued to the present.

Land demand has increased with population growth. The coastal lowlands were progressively transformed from virgin forests to farm lands for the cultivation of rice, cotton, tobacco, coconut palms, and sugar cane. To meet the needs of a rising population, food imports increased; before the end of the nineteenth century, the population had grown beyond its capacity to feed itself. To balance the rising costs of imported food, exports had to be increased.

Sugar cane’s high productivity rate, the adequacy of insular farmlands for its cultivation, and the rapidly expanding United States market, made sugar cane an important cash crop upon which the local economy increasingly came to depend. The change in sovereignty following the Spanish-American War prompted major investments of U.S. capital which, in combination with technological development, gave a major stimulus to expansion of the sugar industry after 1898. More land, mainly in the flat coastal plains, was converted to sugar cane cultivation, and the sugar industry soon became the economy’s driving force. As a result, coastal forests were progressively eliminated, and food crop cultivation was displaced toward the mountains to accommodate extensive sugar cane plantations. Eventually such staples as rice and cotton disappeared altogether. By the mid-twentieth century, Puerto Rico’s economy largely depended on a single crop — sugar cane.

Puerto Rico remained an agrarian society until the mid-1940’s. However, the post-World-War II era gave rise to new directions — directions that transformed the society and placed heavy demands on Puerto Rico’s limited land and natural resource base.

INDUSTRIALIZATION

Puerto Rico’s experience with modern industrial development began with an emphasis on attracting labor-intensive, light industry. By the late 1960’s, however, rising wage rates in Puerto Rico, coupled with competition from low-wage countries, reduced Puerto Rico’s ability to attract labor-intensive industry.

Technological advances in maritime transportation achieved during World War II, as well as a U.S. petroleum import policy favorable to Puerto Rico, made possible the establishment of heavy industries based on bulk importation of foreign crude oil. As a result, in the latter part of the 1950’s and in the beginning of the 1960’s, a new phase of the Island’s industrialization process began with the establishment of a heavy chemical industry. Since then, Puerto Rico has become a major producer of petroleum derivatives.
Physical determinants affected the pattern of industrial location. Protected water and the availability of several naturally deep harbors helped to attract the petroleum refining and petrochemical industries to the south coast. On the north coast, extensive artesian aquifers yielding great quantities of good water were a prime attraction for the major pharmaceutical complex that has made the island a major exporter of pharmaceutical products.

AGRICULTURE

Despite structural changes in the economy, land use in Puerto Rico is still overwhelmingly agricultural (1,835 square miles out of a total area of 3,621 square miles). Only about half of the agricultural land is commercially productive farmland, and an even smaller amount — roughly half a million cuerdas — is flat, fertile, and in sufficiently large holdings for mechanized agriculture. The mechanizable agricultural lands are mainly located in the coastal plain. Principal products are sugar cane, meat and poultry, dairy products, and fruits. Although agriculture represents a low-wage sector, it accounts for a significant amount — 14 percent — of total island employment.

Income generated from agriculture exerts a significantly higher multiplier effect on the general economy than any other major sector, including manufacturing. Moreover, although the island's capacity to feed its population has long since disappeared, substituting locally grown for imported foodstuffs can contribute to a more positive trade balance.

URBANISM AND CONSUMERISM

Puerto Rico's metropolitan areas have grown dramatically during this century, particularly in response to Operation Bootstrap, the successful industrial development program initiated by the Commonwealth government in the mid-1940's. The San Juan Metropolitan Area, for example, as Map 5 above, grew from 7,162 cuerdas in 1920 to 12,165 in 1940, 34,256 in 1960, and 51,077 in 1970. By the 1960's, construction had become a major industry, dependent on a supply of buildable, flat land, most of which consisted of alluvial lowlands and coastal plains.

Puerto Rico's three largest urbanized areas are port cities — San Juan, Ponce, and Mayagüez. The level coastal plains surrounding these developing urban centers are subject to continuing pressures to accommodate a society that is increasingly urban and increasingly affluent.

*The fourth largest urbanized area, Caguas, is located in the interior of the island.
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In the course of a single generation, Puerto Rico has evolved from a predominantly agricultural economy to a semi-industrial economy. The Gross National Product has increased almost 10 times over its 1950 level and has more than doubled in the last 10 years. Personal income has also risen dramatically: from $343 per capita in 1950, to $1,705 in 1970, and $2,314 in 1975. Personal consumption expenditures have also increased explosively. Puerto Rico's consumer expenditures now exceed its Net National Product. This rising consumption level has been reflected, among other ways, in increased demand for second homes and tourist condominiums, accompanied by growing public interest in water-related recreation. From 1964 to 1967, for example, the number of pleasure boats rose from 1,000 to 4,000, increasing the need for marinas and related boating facilities. In response to the growing market for second homes and tourist-recreation development, new construction has extended into rural coastal areas. This trend has stirred concern because of the adverse environmental impact such activity can have on natural areas, as well as because of a desire to prevent the building of a "wall" of condominiums along the sea.

THE CHANGING ECONOMIC STRUCTURE

The structural changes in the economy of Puerto Rico are illustrated by the shift in importance of several sectors that contribute to the Gross National Product. Table 1 shows this shift.

Unemployment dropped from 13 percent in 1950 to a record low of 10.2 percent in 1969. Since then, however, unemployment has risen sharply to a record high of 21 percent in 1976.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CONTRIBUTION OF SELECTED ECONOMIC SECTORS TO GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT (GNP) AND EMPLOYMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of GNP Selected Sectors</th>
<th>Percent of Employment Selected Sectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (including tourism)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer payments (Federal expenditures other than purchasing goods and services)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Agriculture | 17.5 | 3.6 |
| Manufacturing | 15.9 | 29.9 |
| Services (including tourism) | 5.9 | 11.8 |
| Local government | 9.9 | 18.7 |
| Transfer payments (Federal expenditures other than purchasing goods and services) | 4.1 | 14.3 |

**Source:** Planning Board, Bureau of Economic Planning, Economic Report to the Governor - 1976, Tables 4 and 25.
With the decline in agriculture, Puerto Rico relies heavily on imported intermediate goods and foodstuffs. This dependency is demonstrated by changes, during the last 25 years, in the commercial balance of payments. In 1950, Puerto Rico exported $246.1 million of goods, but imported $350.3 million, yielding a deficit of $106.2 million. By 1970 this deficit had increased to $766 million. During the next 5 years, the deficit more than doubled — reaching $1.812 billion in 1975. Preventing an even more serious imbalance are transfer payments by the Federal government. These increased from $73 million in 1950, to $315 million in 1970, and then reached $1.55 billion in 1975.

POLICY FORMULATION FOR COASTAL MANAGEMENT

Just as the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program must be adapted to the physical characteristics of Puerto Rico's shoreline, so must it adapt to the social and economic realities that have consistently shaped Commonwealth policies and programs. What are some of these realities?

- Poverty: Despite unprecedented economic growth and structural transformation from an agricultural to an urban-industrial society, Puerto Rico's per capita income remains substantially below that of the poorest mainland state.

- Unemployment: Even during the best of times, according to Planning Board figures, the unemployment rate in Puerto Rico has fluctuated between 10 and 13 percent. Currently, unemployment is officially estimated at about 20 percent of the Island's labor force and in some municipalities it is substantially higher.

- Rising consumer costs: Energy, food, and transportation are among the major components of sharply rising consumer costs. Because Puerto Rico is almost entirely dependent on imported oil to fuel its industries, power plants, and automobiles, recent increases in oil prices have played havoc with an economy long accustomed to low-cost energy. Because Puerto Rico imports about 80 percent of its food, principally from mainland markets, it is vulnerable to food price increases elsewhere. Successive increases in transportation costs have added to the price of food as well as other imported products.

- Stringencies in government: The effects of the recession on the Puerto Rican economy forced severe restrictions in government spending. For several years, capital construction has been severely curtailed. To raise badly needed revenues in a period of declining economic growth, a 5 percent surcharge was imposed on personal income taxes, a 5 percent tax was imposed on most imports, and the salaries of government workers were frozen for 2 years.
For decades, Puerto Rico has responded to unemployment and poverty by vigorously fostering economic development. No governmental program has had higher priority. Given the economic downturn of the last few years, development objectives continue to be paramount in the formulation of governmental programs. Natural resource conservation, although a subject of growing concern, lacks equivalent popular support.

Given a staggering unemployment rate, a per capita income level 35 percent below that of the poorest mainland state, and a public treasury caught between increasing demands for expenditures and declining revenues, Puerto Rico alone could hardly afford to allocate sufficient funds for the management of its magnificent shoreline resources. The Federal Coastal Zone Management Program, which makes Federal grants available to cover 80 percent of approved Commonwealth expenditures for such purposes, thus presents Puerto Rico with an important opportunity.

DESCRIPTION OF COASTAL FEATURES

On the basis of topographical, ecological and socio-economic characteristics, Puerto Rico's coastal perimeter can be divided into eight coastal sectors — north, northeast, southeast, south, southwest, west, northwest, and offshore islands. These are shown below and in more detail on Maps 30-37. Each of these sectors possesses singular opportunities for development, and each has an array of fragile natural areas requiring conservation and protection. Two sectors, however, stand out as particularly rich in natural systems and developmental opportunities — the southwest and the northeast.
NORTH COAST: Rio Grande de Arecibo (Arecibo) to Boca de Cangrejos (Carolina)

The San Juan Metropolitan Area (SJMA), in the eastern part of the north coast sector, is the largest of the island's urban areas and a major port. The SJMA had a population of 943,400 in 1975.

Topography in the north coast sector is practically level with extensive karst hillocks toward the interior. The fertility of these level lands has favored the intensive cultivation of sugar cane (32 tons to the acre) and pineapple (15.5 tons to the acre).

Two major non-mangrove wetlands along the north coast, Cano Tiburones and Laguna Tortuguero, are susceptible to tidal flooding and are populated principally by freshwater biological communities. Laguna Tortuguero, an important natural area, is the only natural freshwater lagoon in the island. It possesses a wide variety of plant species (600), of which 35 are endemic and unique to the lagoon. Faunal diversity is also remarkable. In recent studies by the Department of Natural Resources, 18 moluskian genera, 21 species of fish, and 39 species of birds were identified. Because of its biological diversity and recreational potential, Laguna Tortuguero is one of a number of areas requiring special management attention to ensure that its values are preserved and protected.

With its exposure to heavy wave action, the north coast is highly susceptible to natural erosion, a condition aggravated in several locations by man-made activities. Wave action on the coastline has resulted in the creation of numerous tombolos and lunate bays. However, most of the north coast beaches consist of thin deposits of sand covering a rocky lower foreshore. During the winter storm period, these sands may move offshore temporarily as part of the natural erosion cycle.

The north coast sector contains the rivers with the greatest volume of flow on the island and the largest system of subterranean aquifers. This system of aquifers has attracted a major concentration of pharmaceutical and chemical plants to the area. Geologic formations off the north coast, from San Juan to Manati, have encouraged interest in petroleum exploration, and discussions of possible exploration have recently been held between private firms and the government.

NORTHEAST COAST: Boca de Cangrejos (Carolina) to Rio Demajagua (Caibari)

This coastal sector includes the island's most extensive mangroves (approximately 4,850 acres), and various salt water lagoons (approximately 941 acres). Together with the mangroves that

*SJMA refers to the San Juan Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. The population figure includes six municipalities — Catano, Guaynabo, San Juan, Carolina, Bayamón, and Trujillo Alto.
Source: Demographic Unit, Puerto Rico Planning Board.
surround these, these lagoons are nursery areas for sport and commercial fish. The wide insular shelf toward the east favors the proliferation of coral reefs that culminate in a chain of small islands (La Cordillera) ending in Culebra and Culebrita.

Coral and rock reefs protect these coasts, causing tranquil waters and creating conditions favorable to the formation of abundant sandy beaches that border 78 percent (45.8 kilometers) of the coastline. The extensive insular shelf, and the presence of reefs and mangroves, support an abundant marine life, making this portion of the coast very attractive for aquatic recreational activity.

Topography is predominantly level from Boca de Guajajos to Punta Percha in Luquillo. East of Punta Percha, extensions of the Sierra de Liquillo come down to the coastline creating hills and valleys.

The rain forest of the Caribbean National Forest locally called El Yunque and the chain of small islands known as La Cordillera augment the attraction of this area for tourism. This potential has generated enormous pressure for the construction of tourist complexes and summer residences on the coast.

The growth of the nearby San Juan metropolitan area is, nevertheless, the factor that continues to generate the largest demand for level land in this area. High population density and concentration of economic activities could have major adverse effects on the integrity and quality of the ecological systems of the northeast.

SOUTHEAST COAST: Rio Dumaigüe (Ceiba) to Rio Grande de Patillas (Patillas)

The southeastern coastline is an alternation of rocky headlands, partly shaped by marine erosion, and valleys of alluvial material that, as a result of wave action and marine deposition, form broad beach plains. North of Punta Lima the coastline consists of mangroves, rocky headlands, and a few small beach plains and pocket beaches. This end of the island is bordered by an insular shelf, with abundant coral and marine organisms, that extends eastward to the neighboring Virgin Islands.

Average annual rainfall is high, with a range of 55 to 80 inches. Rivers and streams are abundant and wind through narrow V-shaped valleys. The most dramatic example of these is the Hanapebo Valley situated between the Cuchillas de Panduras and the Sierra de Guayarama, which reaches to the water's edge. These two formations and their forested landscapes harbor an important wildlife
Cultivation of sugar cane is the predominant land use; extensive irrigation canals are common.

Roosevelt Roads, the largest military base in Puerto Rico (25 percent of all military holdings on the Island) is located along this coastal stretch. At Yabucoa, a large man-made harbor serves the Sun Oil Company refinery and related industries.

**SOUTH COAST: Rio Grande De Patillas (Patillas) to Rio Tallabos (Ponce)**

The arid south coast sector is a low lying alluvial plain except for a short stretch between Tallabos and Punta Cuchara where the mountains extend to a coastline shaped by wave erosion and fringing reefs. The rest of the coastline is either beach plain or mangrove.

Ponce, located in the western part of this sector, is the second largest metropolitan area -- and second largest port city -- on the Island (San Juan is the largest). The urbanized portion of the Ponce Metropolitan Area accounted for a population of 126,233 in 1970.

To reduce chronic unemployment, the Puerto Rico Economic Development Administration has proposed the establishment of heavy industries in the area, especially oil and pharmaceutical related industries. However, the water needed to serve such industrial development would have to be imported. Preliminary studies have been made to divert the waters of the Rio Hanati by means of a reservoir yielding 228,000 acre-feet per year to the south coast region.

**SOUTHWEST COAST: Rio Tallabos (Ponce) to Punta Guaniquilla (Cabo Rojo)**

Topography is generally hilly with the exception of level areas near Tallabos, Guayanillas, Guanica, Pole Ojey, and Boqueron. Precipitation is low -- 35 inches annually -- which gives rise to the xerophytic (desert-type) vegetation that abounds along the coast. The absence of alluvium and the relatively wide extension of the insular shelf along the southwest coast have permitted the proliferation of coral reefs and bioluminescent waters -- natural systems sustaining a food chain that contributes to the southwest's fisheries resources, which are abundant compared to those of the rest of the Island.

The dry forest of Guanica (9,582 acres); the mangrove swamps of La Parguera, Boqueron, and Pitahaya (1,681 acres); the reefs of Margarita and Turemoto; the beaches of Casa Corda, Bahia de la Ballena, El Combate, Calate Salina, Punta Vautena, and Boqueron; the bird reserves of the Cabo Rojo National Wildlife Refuge and the Commonwealth's Boqueron Bird Refuge (970 acres) are examples of the natural wealth of the southwestern coast.
The level interior lands of the southwest include fertile agricultural areas appropriate for mechanized agriculture. To maximize this agricultural potential among the richest in Puerto Rico, the Lajas irrigation system has been established to compensate for the scarcity of rainfall.

A relatively low population density -- 342 persons per square mile in 1970 -- has helped preserve the rich biological systems of the southwest. It is projected that by the year 2000, population will have increased to 72,000 inhabitants -- 400 per square mile. Residential land demand in the southwest will continue to be relatively small. On the other hand, the scenic attractions of the sector have generated a specialized demand for land for condo-hotels, summer and weekend residences, and other tourist activities. Since these tourist-related activities are generally located on the very border of the littoral, they could threaten biological systems of the region.

The maritime conditions produced by the protection given by offshore islands and in some instances, by the island of Puerto Rico itself, and the presence of natural ports in the southwest offer opportunities for the establishment of heavy industries that require port facilities, such as petrochemical complexes. Today, for example, a petro-chemical complex in Tallaboa occupies 1,649 acres, and additional heavy industrial sites have been proposed from time to time. Because of the unique biological systems of the southwest and the opportunities that they represent for recreation and tourism, proposals for industrial development create important land-use and water-use conflicts. It is consequently important to provide for balanced land-use patterns whenever possible.

The recreational potential that this area offers is probably the greatest on the Island. The bioluminescent bay of La Parguera, the Dry Forest of Guánica, and the 16 kilometers of sandy beaches are outstanding features.

Approximately 10 kilometers (66 percent) of these beaches are not accessible to the public at present. Examples include the beaches of Bahía de la Ballena and El Combate. In some cases, access is difficult because of the terrain and because of remoteness from principal highways. In other cases, the beaches can be reached only by private roads closed by property owners.

In summary, scarcity of water limits the potential for urban development in the southwest. Other characteristics, however, offer important opportunities for tourism and recreation, and, with irrigation, for agriculture. The morphology of the coast and the navigability of its waters likewise favor the development of industrial port complexes.
WEST COAST: Punta Guaniquilla (Cabo Rojo) to Río Culebrinas (Aguada)

The west coast, like the southeast, is characterized by valleys defined by mountain chains that come down to the coastline. This stretch of the coast receives the largest amount of rainfall from 65 to 90 inches annually. The abundance of water makes the principal valleys of the area — Anasco, Culebrinas and Guanajibo — of great agricultural value.

In the southern portion of this sector, beach plains predominate. However, north of Punta Guanajibo, there is a variety of coastal characteristics: rocky shorelines, mangrove stands, and fringing reefs.

Mayaguez, located midway between Punta Guaniquilla and Río Culebrinas, is the island’s third largest urbanized area — and the third largest port city — (after San Juan and Ponce).

Anasco, north of Mayaguez, is potentially an area of great agricultural importance because of highly productive soils. The Planning Board has proposed the area for agricultural uses. In addition, it is potentially an excellent site for a port and for heavy industry. The site has a good approach to the sea and favorable upland features. Development north of Anasco would be limited by topographical conditions, but there is ample land to the south.

Nickel deposits with an estimated gross value of $1.65 billion are located in barrio Guanajibo, south of Mayaguez. Mining activities could affect some 1,850 cuerdas over a 25-year period.

NORTHEAST COAST: Río Culebrinas (Aguada) to Río Grande de Arecibo (Arecibo)

This sector — the tableland of the northwest — is characterized by a hilly interior and rocky cliffs along the coast. These cliffs, some as much as 300 feet high, are a tourist attraction because of their dramatic scenic beauty. Nevertheless, since the coastline is exposed to direct wave action of the sea, the potential for aquatic recreation is limited. The sand dunes on the coastline are an important resource, and submarine sand deposits off the coast of Isabela are important potential resources.

The limestone bluffs of the northwest coast have been shaped by wave erosion. Along much of the coast, the bluffs extend several hundred meters or more back from the shoreline, with beach plain between the bluffs and the water. In the Jobos Beach area in Isabela, an extensive system of sand dunes exists. These pose a major coastal issue because of extensive mining which threatens the resource. East of Isabela, beaches are generally narrow, consisting of thin layers of sand over a
rocky shoreline. Despite numerous rocky headlands that separate these beaches, adjacent sand dunes supply most of the beach sands by landward erosion and intertidal migration.

Borinquen Airport, the former Ramay Air Force Base now being used by the Commonwealth, has the longest runway in the Caribbean. In addition, it has extensive aviation infrastructure, more than 1,000 housing units, and recreational facilities, including an 18-hole golf course, pools, and beaches. These facilities suggest the potential for commercial passenger and air cargo services, tourism, and related industries. Major steps towards the realization of this potential are currently being taken.

THE OFFSHORE ISLANDS: including Culebra*, Viesques**, Mona, and others

The principal offshore islands of Puerto Rico are Viesques (33,970 cuerdas), Culebra (7,180 cuerdas), and Mona (13,900 cuerdas). The topography of the first two is similar, characterized by small hills. Rainfall on these islands is light. Vegetation is the same as that of the semi-arid south coast of Puerto Rico. Portions of the coasts are bordered by mangroves, and some of the bays are bioluminescent. The clarity of coastal waters contributes to the presence of coral reefs in the near-shore waters. These waters also provide favorable conditions for marine life and recreational boating.

Economic activity on Viesques and Culebra is limited. The small population is supported by subsistence agriculture and fishing, limited industry and tourism, and civilian employment generated by the Marine Corps base on Viesques.

Mona is predominantly a limestone tableland surrounded by cliffs interrupted sporadically by bands of beach. Low rainfall and the extreme porosity of its soils result in a vegetation typified by dry coastal forest. The island is uninhabited; its flora and fauna include a large number of endemic species. The principal value of this island is as a natural reserve.

The other small islands, the majority of coral origin, are uninhabited and reflect natural conditions similar to the islands previously described. The many fringing islands of the south coast of Puerto Rico are also very important in numerous coastal processes.

*Culebra is discussed in The Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program.
**Viesques is described in Appendix C, Description of Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves.
The development of this mountainous Island is concentrated in the coastal plain. Timber stands which formerly covered most of the coast were almost entirely removed to make way for agriculture.

Since the 1940's, the socio-economic structure of the Island has been transformed. A largely agricultural and rural society has moved far toward industrialization and urbanization. This transformation has placed enormous pressures on coastal land and water resources, giving rise to conflicts, which have continued up to the present.

Some past development has irreversibly limited options that once existed for agriculture, for recreation and tourism, and for retaining wildlife habitats and nursery areas for propagation of marine life. To minimize future conflicts in coastal land and water use, the finite supply of resources must be carefully evaluated. Development must be based on carefully conceived plans that emphasize the accommodation of multiple uses. And some areas must be singled out for preservation in their natural state.

Population projects indicate that urban pressures upon coastal resources will grow significantly. Competition among alternative uses will accordingly intensify. The dynamic nature of coastal processes adds to the importance — and the difficulty — of reaching sound use decisions. Coastal ecosystems are such that an apparently isolated action may affect an extensive area. The extraction of sand from a river mouth, for example, may aggravate the erosion of several kilometers of beach. Pollutants from a single factory may affect coastal agriculture over an extensive area. The challenge of coastal management is to achieve development in harmony with the coastal environment. An integrated planning process that identifies the interaction among demands and resources is essential to meet this challenge successfully.
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CHAPTER 2

COASTAL MANAGEMENT FOR PUERTO RICO: OVERALL OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

To resolve conflicting demands on its limited resources, Puerto Rico long ago established programs of planning and resource management. Those programs apply throughout the Commonwealth -- to the central mountains and valleys as well as to the coastal plains and waters.

Federal financial aid for a Coastal Management Program will permit Puerto Rico to give new emphasis and attention to coastal needs and resources. Planning and control measures can be refined; management capabilities can be strengthened. All of this represents an important opportunity, for nowhere in Puerto Rico are the resources richer than on the coast, and nowhere are conflicting demands upon resources more intense and more difficult to resolve.

Yet the Coastal Management Program must remain an integral part of programs established to serve the Commonwealth as a whole. The coast itself does not exist in isolation; its resources cannot be used most wisely, and the demands placed upon them cannot be satisfied, by looking to the coast alone. The Management Program, even while focusing on the coast, must maintain awareness of the larger whole.

To this end, the objectives and policies and program elements of the Coastal Management Program all relate as closely as possible to objectives and policies and programs established for the Island as a whole. This chapter sets forth the objectives and policies established by the Objectives and Policies elements of the Islandwide Land Use Plan, adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board on June 8, 1977, and approved by the Governor on June 22, 1977. This establishes a generalized policy framework for management of many of Puerto Rico's resources in all parts of the Commonwealth, including the coastal zone.

As an element of Puerto Rico's Islandwide Land Use Plan, the overall objectives and policies guide both public and private development. The legal effect of the Land Use Plan is explained in Chapter 4.

The Islandwide Lands Use Plan establishes four general objectives, which also serve as general objectives for coastal management.
To achieve the overall objectives, the Islandwide Land Use Plan establishes general policy in six subject areas: Urban development, industrial development, agricultural development, floodable areas, infrastructure, and natural areas. These policies form the base upon which many of the more detailed policies and program elements of the Coastal Management Program are built. Because of their importance, the general objectives and policies established by the Land Use Plan are set forth here in full:

*The remainder of this chapter is an official English translation of the Objectives and Policies of the Land Use Plan, as adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board on June 8, 1977, and approved by the Governor on June 22, 1977. As explained in Chapter 4, all development must be consistent with adopted land use policies.*
GENERAL OBJECTIVES

1.00. TO PROMOTE OUR DEVELOPMENT BY JUDICIOUSLY UTILIZING AND AT THE APPROPRIATE MOMENT ALL THE POTENTIAL OF LAND AND NATURAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.

IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT THESE REPRESENT AN IRREPLACEABLE VARIETY AND RICHNESS OF ALTERNATIVES FOR OUR DEVELOPMENT AND IT IS ESTABLISHED THAT THE BEST USE OF THIS POTENTIAL AND OPTIONS FOR ITS DEVELOPMENT IS THAT WHICH:

SERVES THE COMMON INTEREST OF ALL PUERTO RICANS AND NOT THAT OF ONE OR SEVERAL SECTORS IN PARTICULAR.

YIELDS FROM THE INHERENT CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESOURCE THE BEST POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTION FOR OUR DEVELOPMENT, CAUSING AT THE SAME TIME THE LEAST ADVERSE IMPACT ON OTHER RESOURCES, AND ASSURES THE FUTURE AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES WHICH REPRESENT A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE FOR THE COUNTRY'S FUTURE NEEDS.

2.00. TO PROMOTE A REASONABLE AND JUST DISTRIBUTION OF THE BENEFITS OF DEVELOPMENT IN AND BETWEEN THE URBANIZED AREAS AND THE OTHER GEOGRAPHIC SECTORS OF THE COUNTRY, ACCORDING TO THEIR NEEDS.

3.00. TO CREATE AND MAINTAIN THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH MAN AND NATURE MAY COEXIST IN PRODUCTIVE HARMONY, SO THAT PUERTO RICO MAY ACHIEVE THE GREATEST ENJOYMENT FROM THE BENEFICIAL USE OF THE ENVIRONMENT WITH ITS LEAST POSSIBLE DEGRADATION COMPATIBLE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

- The fulfillment of each generation's responsibility to act as custodians of the environment for the benefit of future generations.

- The guarantee of the existence of accessible, safe, healthy and aesthetically pleasing landscapes, for the enhancement of our culture, spiritual values, and for their enjoyment by all.

- The preservation of the cultural and natural aspects of our heritage and the maintenance of an environment which could offer selection alternatives to the individual.

- The improvement of the quality of renewable resources and the proper use of non-renewable resources.
4.00. TO PLAN, ON A BASIS OF BROAD AND EFFECTIVE PARTICIPATION OF ALL SECTORS OF THE POPULATION, DIRECTED TOWARDS:

- The greatest citizen participation and support in the process of diagnosing our problems, investigating their causes, establishing our common objectives, identifying alternatives, and coordinating the implementation and evaluation of plans;

- Establishing an effective process of communication between the government and the citizenry;

- Implementation principally by means of positive incentives rather than restrictive forms of control;

- Maximum productivity of governmental and private expenditures and investments;

- Comprehensive development directed towards the needs and desires of all Puerto Ricans, transcending the goal of mere economic growth.
A. URBAN DEVELOPMENT

OBJECTIVE


PUBLIC POLICIES

1.00. TO ORGANIZE AND COORDINATE THE PHYSICAL GROWTH OF URBAN AREAS.

1.01. To designate in advance, by means of zoning regulations, those lands where it is feasible, desirable and convenient, to direct urban growth, applying the following criteria:

- Provide enough and adequate land to meet the anticipated needs of the urban population as predicted or projected.

- Select as first priority for urban purposes, lands with the following characteristics: lands in built-up areas which are susceptible to redevelopment and for increasing their densities; pockets of vacant lands (which are not or would not be designated for passive or active recreation) in the built-up areas; lands adjacent to these areas not having a high agricultural productivity (except those indicated in policy 8.01) or floodable (except those indicated in policy 11.00) and where electrical and water systems, storm and sanitary sewers, as well as schools, health and recreation centers, and transportation, commercial, and other services are available or can be improved, operated and maintained at reasonable costs.

- Not utilize for urban uses, lands where important natural resources are located; which may be environmentally critical or where existing pollution represents a health risk to people in that sector, and discourage the use of steep slopes.

- In coastal towns, promote growth perpendicular to the coast and discourage lateral expansion along the primary roads having direct access to the coast.

- Use the programming and the construction of infrastructure as an instrument to promote growth in designated areas.
1.02. To restrict and control sprawl and development of isolated urban centers, using the following criteria:

- To require, as a primary rule, that development projects and land uses, proposed to meet the needs of the present and projected urban population are carried out in those lands identified by urban zoning, after the limits to this zoning have been designated according to the criteria specified by policy 1.01.

- To permit the development outside the limits of urban zoning only of those projects intended to take care of the needs of the population residing in those areas, and, as exceptions projects of an urban character (by virtue of their use, density, size, and facilities and services they require), which fulfill the following conditions:
  
  - Small projects which do not impair the policy of organizing and coordinating urban growth.
  
  - Can be integrated into existing nuclei of built-up areas.
  
  - Do not require substantial public investments (state or municipal) to carry out, operate and maintain public services and facilities; on the contrary their development would constitute the more efficient use of existing services.
  
  - Do not use lands of high agricultural productivity, lands which are being operated at present for agricultural purposes, floodable areas, steep slopes, where important natural resources which are considered best suited for other uses exist or lands which are environmentally critical.

2.00. TO INTENSIFY THE USE OF LAND IN URBAN AREAS

2.01. To stimulate the development of urban residential areas at higher densities, according to the following criteria:

- To promote higher densities in residential areas, using when possible, medium density types of housing to achieve a more intensive use of the land than that which may be obtained in singlefamily detached housing or lots, without necessarily involving in the construction of high use-elevator type buildings.
- To promote the construction of high density housing in urban centers.

- To implement the necessary and appropriate measures so that urban dwellers prefer medium and high density housing projects to those of low density.

- Harmonize the proposed density with the conditions in the surrounding areas and with the conditions of the land.

- To avoid the construction of housing in densities that are not compatible with the existing infrastructure or that which may be provided.

2.02. To intensify the use of lands which are or are intended for commercial and service purposes, both public and private, adhering to the following criteria:

- Revitalize, where feasible and convenient, the traditional town centers so that they will continue to be important places and dynamic centers by rezoning these areas to commercial districts, providing parking facilities, improving access roads, protecting areas or buildings of historical and/or aesthetic value, and locating in these sectors governmental offices which provide services.

- Stimulate the formation of new urban centers, where traditional centers are insufficient or inadequate, even after the revitalization process.

- Maintain adequate relationships among regional, sub-regional, community, and neighborhood centers, and between commercial areas in large and small municipalities.

2.03. To promote the establishment of urban industrial parks for light industry which are labor-intensive as related to the land-area
3.00. To improve the design of communities, town and cities and their various components, such as traditional urban cores, other commercial and institutional centers and residential neighborhoods, in order to achieve a better quality of life in urban areas so that they may become attractive places in which to live and work.

3.01. To encourage proximity and accessibility between places of work, residences, public facilities and services, and commercial and recreational facilities.

3.02. To encourage integration into urban design of natural, historic or aesthetic attractions (such as landscapes, shorefronts or other bodies of water, places of historic or cultural values, and areas or structures of aesthetic or architectural significance).

3.03. To provide those facilities and services needed by urban dwellers, both as individual or as a group, making emphasis on the development of pleasant and efficient neighborhoods and communities.
B. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

OBJECTIVE

TO DEVELOP CUBA INDUSTRIES IN STRATEGIC LOCATIONS SO THAT INTENSIVE USE IS MADE OF THOSE LANDS WHICH, BY THEIR LOCATION, CHARACTERISTICS OR THE SERVICES THEY ARE PROVIDED WITH, ARE BEST SUITED TO SUCH USE, AND IN HARMONY WITH THE FOLLOWING THREE GENERAL OBJECTIVES: TO ACHIEVE THE FULL AND WISE USE OF THE LAND POTENTIAL AND ITS NATURAL RESOURCES; TO ACHIEVE A DISTRIBUTION OF THE BENEFITS OF DEVELOPMENT AMONG THE DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES AND GEOGRAPHIC SECTORS OF THE COUNTRY; AND TO CREATE AND MAINTAIN THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH MAN AND NATURE MAY EXIST IN PRODUCTIVE HARMONY.

PUBLIC POLICIES

4.00. TO CONCENTRATE INDUSTRIES ON LAND MOST APPROPRIATE FOR THIS USE AND TO PROMOTE AT THE SAME TIME THE MOST INTENSIVE POSSIBLE USE OF SUCH LANDS.

4.01. To place those light industries which do not have adverse effects on the environment and which require a minimal infrastructure in industrial parks located within the limits of urban zoning.

4.02. To avoid the establishment of industries which pollute, except those where their exclusion would seriously affect the economic development of the country.

4.03. In those exceptional cases where it is essential to establish industries which pollute (as defined by the Environmental Quality Board), these will be located in preselected sites, with adequate provisions made to minimize their adverse impact on the environment.

4.04. To locate light industries which require a greater infrastructure, heavy and moderately heavy industries, and those termed atypical industries, in land adapted to such uses in accordance with the following criteria:

- To place priority on the use of those lands, which are served by highways or primary roads, where sources of electrical energy, water supply, and sanitary treatment facilities are present and which are close to ports, airports, and are centrally located in relation to sources of manpower.

- Not to use for these industrial uses high productivity agricultural land (with the exception of those indicated in policy 8.01), floodable areas (except those mentioned in policy 11.00), where important natural resources are to be found, or which are environmentally critical.

- To concentrate these industries in industrial parks of a regional character, previously designating lands which fulfill the above characteristics, avoiding as much as possible the dispersion of industries in individual locations, separated from one another, excepting those atypical industrial projects which might require particular special locations.
4.05. To discourage the use of lands selected for industrial uses in accordance with the criteria enumerated in policies 4.01, 4.02, and 4.04 for other activities which could significantly reduce the extension of lands available for industries and in those cases when the location is appropriate, according to the criteria, for atypical industries and/or for major emitters of atmospheric pollution, to exclude also, other types of industries except those which necessarily have to be located near them.

5.00. TO DECENTRALIZE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, PROVIDING AS FAR AS POSSIBLE, A LIGHT-INDUSTRY INDUSTRIAL PARK IN EACH MUNICIPALITY; REGIONAL PARKS IN THE DIFFERENT SECTORS OF THE COUNTRY; AND PERMITTING IN RURAL AREAS, SMALL-SCALE INDUSTRIES WHICH ARE RELATED TO THEIR SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRYSIDE.
C. AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

OBJECTIVE

TO DEVELOP AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES IN ALL THOSE LANDS SUITABLE FOR THIS PURPOSE, IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE GREATEST POSSIBLE SELF-SUFFICIENCY IN FOOD PRODUCTION AND AT THE SAME TIME MAXIMIZE THE USE OF OUR LANDS TO PROMOTE THE COUNTRY'S DEVELOPMENT, Specially THE RURAL AREAS.

PUBLIC POLICIES

6.00. TO ENCOURAGE AGRICULTURE AS A PRINCIPAL ACTIVITY IN THE USE OF AVAILABLE LANDS WHICH HAVE POTENTIAL FOR SUCH USE, PROMOTING THOSE PROGRAMS AND MEASURES NECESSARY TO MAKE THIS ACTIVITY FEASIBLE.

7.00. TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY INFRASTRUCTURE IN ORDER TO STIMULATE AND PROMOTE THE CULTIVATION OF LAND WITH AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL NOT BEING FULLY UTILIZED DUE TO THE LACK OF SUCH SERVICES AS IRRIGATION, ACCESS ROADS, MARKETING SYSTEMS, AND OTHERS.

8.00. TO RETAIN, AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE, IN AGRICULTURAL USE, THOSE AGRICULTURAL LANDS SUITABLE TO THE PRODUCTION OF CROPS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS, PROTECTING THEM FROM THOSE PRACTICES AND ACTIVITIES WHICH UNNECESSARILY DIMINISH THE POTENTIAL FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

8.01. To emphasize the preservation of the most productive lands for agricultural purposes, in accordance with the following criteria:

- To use as a basis, the soils study of Puerto Rico conducted by the Federal Soil Conservation Service, and to designate as highly productive all lands whose soils are included in categories 1 to 4 of said study.

- To assign these highly productive lands to agricultural uses and promote the development of these lands for such uses.

- To assign these lands to other uses only when it is clearly demonstrated that there is no other land alternative for the location of a non-agricultural activity which is urgently needed and has high priority for the country's development.

8.02. To arrest the indiscriminate subdivision of agricultural lands into small lots or farms in order to preserve farms in units or adequate size for an economically feasible agricultural operation, using the following criteria:

- Determine the desirability of the proposed land subdivision, evaluating and giving a great deal of consideration to the proposed land use of the resulting parcels of land.

- Promote the integration of new subdivisions into existing residential nuclei, in order to discourage the creation of new population centers which may increase the cost of public services.
- Encourage that resulting parcels of land, intended for non-agricultural use, be as small as possible, depending on the use proposed and conditions such as topography and soil type, and be consistent with available facilities and uses.

- When subdividing land for non-agricultural uses, utilize to the maximum those lands which, when removed from agricultural use, have the least impact over the level of production of a farm.

- Discourage as a general rule, the loss by means of subdivision of a farm which would constitute a feasible agricultural production unit.

8.03. To encourage complementary activities to agricultural uses avoiding situations where the establishment of such activities on a farm would adversely affect the use of the farm as a feasible agricultural unit; promoting the establishment of such activities in less useful lands within these farms, in order to minimize the effect in its agricultural production level.

9.00. TO ENCOURAGE THE ADOPTION OF MEASURES AND PROGRAMS LEADING TO SOIL PRESERVATION IN ORDER TO AVOID EROSION PROTECT LAND PRODUCTIVITY AND MINIMIZE THE ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE QUALITY OF OUR WATER SUPPLIES AND DETERIORATION OF OTHER NATURAL RESOURCES AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY.
D. FLOODABLE AREAS

OBJECTIVE

TO REDUCE TO A MINIMUM THE DANGER OF LOSS OF LIFE AND PHYSICAL DAMAGE IN THE COUNTRY, AS A RESULT OF FLOODING AND THE ACTION OF WAVE SURGE—AT THE SAME TIME IDENTIFY AND PROMOTE THOSE LAND USES AND ACTIVITIES COMPATIBLE WITH THESE CONDITIONS.

PUBLIC POLICIES

10.00. TO PROTECT THE POPULATION ACTUALLY RESIDING IN FLOODABLE AREAS OR IN AREAS AFFECTED BY THE ACTION OF WAVE SURGE.

10.01. To construct engineering works which will, at a reasonable cost, prevent property damage and risks to the lives of the citizenry actually affected, giving priority to these works above any other flood control measures.

10.02. Where necessary, to take the appropriate measures to relocate these persons.

11.00. TO PROHIBIT LAND DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION OF STRUCTURES FOR URBAN EXPANSION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES WHICH ARE EXPRESSLY EXCLUDED BY CURRENT REGULATION IN AREAS AFFECTED BY FLOODS AND WAVE SURGE, EXCEPT WHEN FLOOD CONTROL WORKS OR PROTECTION AGAINST WAVE SURGE ALREADY EXIST, ARE UNDER CONSTRUCTION, OR CAN BE PROVIDED AT A REASONABLE COST, TO PROTECT THE PROPERTY AND GUARANTEE THE SAFETY OF ALL THE PEOPLE AFFECTED IN THOSE LANDS WHICH ARE NOT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTIVE, DO NOT HAVE IMPORTANT NATURAL RESOURCES, AND ARE NOT ENVIRONMENTALLY CRITICAL.

12.00. TO STIMULATE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT IN FLOODABLE AREAS WHICH HAVE SUCH POTENTIAL.

13.00. TO CONSTRUCT FLOOD CONTROL WORKS WITH AN AGRICULTURAL APPROACH IN AREAS WHERE IT IS APPROPRIATE, AND WHICH WILL RESULT IN AN INCREASE IN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION.
E. INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE

TO USE THE PROGRAMMING AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AS A TOOL, WHICH, TOGETHER WITH LAND USE PLANNING, MAY SERVE TO DIRECT AND PROMOTE THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRY.

PUBLIC POLICIES

14.00. TO ASSURE OPTIMUM COORDINATION AMONG THE PUBLIC AGENCIES RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING INFRASTRUCTURE SO THAT IT MAY BE AVAILABLE IN THE MOST ADEQUATE PLACE AND TIME IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE FULL AND JUDICIOUS UTILIZATION OF LAND IN URBAN AND RURAL AREAS.

15.00. TO ASSURE THE INTENSIVE USE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN URBAN AND RURAL AREAS AND DIRECT THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF LANDS TO SITES WHERE THE NECESSARY INFRASTRUCTURE IS ALREADY AVAILABLE, BUT IS NOT BEING USED TO FULL CAPACITY WITHOUT AVERSELY AFFECTING OTHER LAND USE OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES.

16.00. TO IDENTIFY AND RESERVE LANDS FOR THE LOCATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS WHICH, BY VIRTUE OF THEIR SIZE AND COMPLEXITY, POSSIBLE ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT, OR SPECIAL REQUIREMENT (i.e., PORTS, AIRPORTS, ENERGY PLANTS, WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES OR REGIONAL SANITARY TREATMENT PLANTS), NEED VERY PARTICULAR OR SCARCE SITES, FOLLOWING THESE CRITERIA:

- Use for infrastructure projects which are major air pollution emitters (as defined by the Environmental Quality Board) or which could have some other significant adverse environmental effects, such as odors or noises, only those places where adverse environmental impact would be minimal due to the area's natural conditions, and where the uses of adjacent lands are not, nor could be, those which might be adversely affected by the infrastructure.

- Not to use, insofar as possible, lands of major agricultural productivity (with the exception indicated in policy 8.01); floodable areas (except that mentioned in policy 11.00), lands where important natural resources are found, or which are environmentally critical.
F. NATURAL AREAS

OBJECTIVE

TO MAINTAIN AND PROTECT OUR ENVIRONMENT, PROMOTING THE CONSERVATION, PRESERVATION, AND THE WISE USE OF OUR NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES, AND RECOGNIZING THAT THESE REPRESENT A VARIETY AND A WEALTH OF OPTIONS FOR OUR DEVELOPMENT AND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PROMOTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF ALL GEOGRAPHIC SECTORS, SINCE THESE RESOURCES ARE DISTRIBUTED THROUGHOUT THE ISLAND.

PUBLIC POLICIES

17.00. TO MANAGE AND JUDICIOUSLY USE, NATURAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.

17.01. To identify the location and potential of our natural resources and their susceptibility to damage or exhaustion, completing the inventory of natural, environmental, and cultural resources already begun.

17.02. To promote the appropriate use of the resources identified in this inventory, in a manner consistent with the conservation of renewable resources, and at the appropriate time in the case of those which are subject to depletion.

17.03. To promote full knowledge on the part of the citizenry about the existence of these resources in Puerto Rico and to encourage a continuing awareness of their importance for our comprehensive development.

17.04. To avoid unnecessary loss of options for future use of these resources resulting from the establishment of new activities or from the authorization of new subdivisions, considering among others the following criteria:

- Avoid excessively increasing the cost of the eventual exploitation of mineral resources as a result of authorizing activities or land subdivisions in these lands where there are mineral deposits.

- Prevent adverse effects on the eventual use of water resources as a result of authorizing activities and land subdivisions in those areas where it is presumed that the construction of new water reservoirs is feasible.

- Avoid the construction of structures in beach areas and discourage those activities or land subdivisions in neighboring areas which would impede or prevent free physical access to these areas, the free appreciation of panoramic views, and the free access to the sun and its enjoyment by the citizenry.

18.00. TO PROTECT NATURAL, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND CULTURAL RESOURCES FROM DESTRUCTION OR IRREPARABLE DAMAGE CAUSED BY MISUSE OR BY FAILING TO CONSIDER THE ADVERSE IMPACT OF ACTIVITIES UPON THEM.

18.01. To reduce the adverse impact of pollution on resources, by identifying and controlling the causes and sources of such pollution.
18.02. To control those activities and land subdivisions which may adversely affect water quality, particularly in areas of aquifer recharge and in watersheds adjacent to lakes and reservoirs including, among others, --activities such as the woods clearings, elimination of the vegetation layer, soil movement causing erosion, the excessive use of nutrients resulting in increased runoff, and the indiscriminate use of fertilizers and pesticides causing water quality to deteriorate.

18.03. To avoid activities and land subdivision which could cause the deterioration or destruction of those natural systems essential for preserving the environment, such as mangroves, forests, reefs, dunes, ecological systems, and habitats of endangered species.

18.04. To avoid the destruction, mutilation, deterioration or demolition of important cultural resources such as archaeological deposits, historic sites, and/or buildings and others which have been so declared by the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture.
CHAPTER 3

COASTAL MANAGEMENT FOR PUERTO RICO:

PROBLEMS AND RESPONSES
CHAPTER 3

COASTAL MANAGEMENT FOR PUERTO RICO: PROBLEMS AND RESPONSES

This chapter examines principal coastal problems of Puerto Rico. The problems fall into three main categories: Coastal Hazards, Coastal Resources, and Coastal Development. The discussion of each problem begins with findings: What the problem is and why it is important.

Applicable policy statements are then set forth — most often quoted from the Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board’s Islandwide Land Use Plan, but sometimes with additions from statutes, regulations, or other official documents. In a few cases, new policy is proposed or established.

Responses to each problem are then examined. Established programs of the Commonwealth and Federal agencies are briefly described; the Coastal Management Program relies on the continuation of these ongoing programs. Where programs need to be modified, or wholly new measures taken, the needed modifications or measures are then identified.

A summary table at the end of this chapter lists coastal problems together with new responses to them, included in the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.

COASTAL HAZARDS

FLOODING (INCLUDING HURRICANE FLOODING)

FINDINGS

Flood hazards affect large parts of the coastal plain. Floods recurrently devastate both urban and rural areas of Puerto Rico, affecting the interior of the Island as well as the coasts. The floods in September 1975, to cite a recent example, cost $60 million and took 10 some 20 lives. From 1960 to 1970, more than 150 persons died in floods.

Of the 300,000 cuerdas estimated to be subject to flooding in Puerto Rico, some 200,000 (67 percent) are in the coastal plain. Almost 40 percent of the urban area of the Island 4 is floodable. Floodable areas are shown on Map 6.

During recent decades, as residential and industrial development have expanded outward from core cities into floodable areas in the coastal plains, the potential for property damage from flooding has greatly increased. The value of assets in flood-prone areas has increased enormously. Moreover, the effects of urbanization (e.g., the increased rate

See footnotes at end of Chapter 3.
of stormwater runoff when natural vegetation is replaced by pavement) have increased the areas subject to flooding, with the result that some properties that were never extensively flooded now suffer severe damage. Truly great floods, such as those associated with hurricanes San Ciriaco in 1899 and San Felipe in 1928, would cause incalculable damage today.

Flooding probably cannot be eliminated. It seems unlikely that flooding can be eliminated entirely. Streamflow here is unusually variable because of steep slopes, narrow mountain valleys, and torrential rainfall. On some of Puerto Rico's rivers, peak flows per square mile of drainage area are among the highest in the world. Accounts of flooding during hurricanes go back as early as the 16th century, before much of the Island's original forest cover was removed.

Flooding and flood damage can nevertheless be reduced by continuing and expanding interrelated governmental programs. Governmental action of several kinds can reduce the amount of flooding and flood damage:

- Flood control measures can be taken, including the construction of flood control works.
- Other measures — such as reforestation of upland watersheds and the adoption of regulations to bar excessive grading and paving — can help reduce the rate of stormwater runoff.
- People who live in floodable areas can be assisted and trained in evacuation and other emergency measures.
- Policies and regulations can guide urban expansion toward areas that are not floodable.
- Regulations can prohibit new structures in floodable areas or require them to be flood-protected.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2, establishes policy concerning flood losses. To protect people who now live in floodable areas, or in areas affected by wave surge, the policy statement calls for construction of flood control works to avoid property damage and loss of life, and, where necessary, relocation. (See Policy 10.00 quoted in Chapter 2.) For future development, the policy
statement would "...prohibit land development and construction for urban expansion and other activities which are expressly excluded by current regulations in areas affected by flooding and wave surge." (See Policy 11.00)

Policy governing grading and paving, which can reduce natural stormwater retention capacity and thus increase flooding, is included in the section on Coastal Waters.

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

A. FLOOD PREVENTION

Two Commonwealth agencies share responsibility for flood prevention. The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) handles the planning aspects of the program, including the maintenance of coordination with the Planning Board. The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is responsible for designing, building, and maintaining flood control works. These responsibilities of DNR and DTPW are exercised pursuant to a 1975 interagency agreement.

Four flood control works are currently under construction in the Commonwealth: Channelization of the lower part of Río Hondo, Bayamon; channelization of Quebrada Mendoza, Cabo Rojo; channelization of Río Humacao, Humacao; and channelization of Ríos Portugués and Bucana, Ponce.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is participating in one current flood control project under construction in Puerto Rico: The Portugués-Bucana project authorized by the Federal Flood Control Act of 1970. This joint Corps-Commonwealth project will provide two multipurpose dams about 3 miles above Ponce, will enlarge stretches of both Río Portugués and Río Bucana, and will provide a diversion canal to connect the two rivers.

The Corps has conducted studies of several other possible flood control projects, but no others have so far been authorized by Congress.

The U.S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS), in cooperation with the Commonwealth's Department of Natural Resources, has an ongoing flood control program which includes land treatment, reforestation, and structural flood control measures for small watersheds.
In the Anasco Watershed, SCS is conducting a three-phase program of building drainage channels, dams, and a desilting basin to capture excess sediment. An earlier, similar program in the Guayanilla watershed, in the municipality of Yabucoa, is now being revised and updated. A similar project has been authorized in the Bajura Valley, part of the Guanajibo River Watershed, in the municipality of Mayagüez.

In Utuado and Yauco, the SCS is conducting a program, under the Emergency Program, to improve and clean drainage areas blocked or damaged by heavy rains.

SCS also sponsors a Resource Conservation and Development Program to provide technical and financial help to local groups in conserving and developing natural resources. Funds for the program have been allocated from the Small Watershed Program.

Although flood control projects in Puerto Rico are limited by scarcity of funds, the need for additional projects is great— even just to protect areas already urbanized. Future construction of additional works is therefore essential. Nevertheless, projects must be carefully designed and executed to minimize environmental damage and to mitigate the loss of flood-caused benefits (e.g., distribution of nutrients). The Environmental Impact Statement process, established by Commonwealth as well as Federal law, is the principal device used to provide environmental protection.

B. PROTECTING PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN FLOOD-HAZARD AREAS

Hurricane and flood warnings are provided in Puerto Rico by the National Weather Service, a division of NOAA. Evacuation and other services during flood emergencies are the responsibility of the Commonwealth's Office of Civil Defense.

The development of a disaster preparedness program by the Commonwealth's Office of Civil Defense, based in part on the ongoing analysis of flood hazard areas by the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), and flood prevention methods by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, provides an important illustration of interrelated government programs. The disaster preparedness program, funded by a grant from the Federal Disaster Assistance Administration, was completed recently.
Need: Public education program. An intensive public education program is needed to
instruct residents of coastal flood hazard areas about evacuation and other safety measures
during flood emergencies.

Many current residents of flood hazard areas have never experienced a major hurricane and
education is needed to fill the gaps in their experiences. Mapping of coastal high hazard
areas, as recommended later in this section, can be an important contribution to the
information basis needed for such a program. Dissemination of information about flood
hazards is also essential to assure awareness and understanding by those affected.

C. PREVENTING INAPPROPRIATE DEVELOPMENT IN FLOOD-HAZARD AREAS

The Planning Board’s Floodable Areas Regulation (Planning Regulation No. 13) became
effective in 1972. The regulation, intended to bar inappropriate development in flood
plains, has been less than fully effective, mainly for want of detailed maps showing
the areas subject to flooding.

In addition to protection provided by Regulation No. 13, some protection against flood-
hazards is provided by the development control process described in Chapter 4. Plans
for major projects are reviewed by the Planning Board, which circulates the most
important ones to other agencies, including DNR. DNR recommends necessary flood protection
measures to the Board. The Board, acting pursuant to its policies and recommendations,
can then require the developer to take appropriate flood control measures.

Current efforts to improve development controls for floodable areas are associated with the
flood insurance program described below.

D. INSURING OWNERS OF PROPERTY IN FLOOD-HAZARD AREAS

The National Flood Insurance Program, established by the (U.S.) National Flood Insurance
Act of 1968 and expanded in the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, is designed
to provide flood insurance at rates made affordable through a Federal subsidy. The
FIA part of the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development, administers
the program.

At present, Puerto Rico is eligible for the sale of flood insurance under the first,
or "emergency" phase of the Flood Insurance Program. In December 1976, there were
some 9,000 policies in force with values over $100 million.
Final elevations for several river basins have been published (February 1, 1978); the flood insurance rate map (FIRM) is scheduled to become effective in August 1978. Puerto Rico will then be converted to the regular phase of the program, with full actuarial rates.

Flood-hazard mapping is under way in several parts of the Island as part of the Flood Insurance Study. The mapping is being performed, under contract with FIA, by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Geological Survey and the Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources. Flood Insurance Studies for the cities of Adjuntas, Coamo, Jayuya, Utuado, as well as the Espiritu Santo, the Yauco, and the Lower Rio Grande de Arecibo river basins have been completed. The City of Orocovis, and the Hatillo, Bayamón, Rio Grande de Loiza, La Plata, Cibuco, Yaquez, Guanajibo, Patillas, Guaynabo, and Guayanilla river basins are under study. The Corps is also doing flood mapping in the Ponce area for the Department of Natural Resources.

The Flood Insurance Rate Map is scheduled to become effective in August 1978. The map shows detailed flood zones, however, for only one part of the Island. For the rest of the island, the appropriate panels of the Flood Insurance Rate Map will be amended as the completed Flood Insurance Studies become effective and as ongoing and future studies are completed and become effective.

Once the Rate Maps become effective, thereby increasing the amounts of flood insurance coverage available on affected properties, new construction and substantial improvement of all buildings in the 100-year flood plain will be required to be elevated to the level of the 100-year flood. Construction and fill will be prohibited in the floodway. In coastal high hazard areas, construction standards will be specified for new buildings; also, alteration of mangrove wetlands and dunes will be prohibited in accordance with FIA regulations.
Need: Refinement of regulation. In addition to mapping, some revision of the text of Regulation No. 13 is needed. The FIA has found the regulation adequate for the emergency phase of its Program and has stated that it "meets most of our Regular Program requirements." Considerable refinement of the text should nevertheless be undertaken to clarify ambiguities, simplify administration, and adapt the regulation so that it responds effectively to the detailed information becoming available from Flood Insurance Rate Maps.

Specifically, the regulation must be revised to include additional districts, to cover mud slides and flood-related erosion, as well as mobile homes in flood-prone areas. Initial steps by the Planning Board to revise the regulations include the establishment of a work committee and a complete review of the effort to date.

E. RESEARCH (HIGH PRIORITY)

NEED: Rate maps for coastal hazard areas. Early Preparation of Flood Insurance Rate Maps for coastal high hazard areas presents a special opportunity to protect life, property, and natural systems (dunes and mangrove wetlands). Although FIA is sponsoring extensive flood mapping in Puerto Rico, it is uncertain when mapping of coastal high hazard areas will be completed.

Expediting the mapping of coastal high hazard areas — as an element of the Coastal Management Program, if necessary — is essential to strengthen available protection against Puerto Rico's most coastal hazard — flooding.

FINDINGS

The Caribbean is a seismically active area. A belt of earthquakes along the southerm margin with South America extends up through the Lesser Antilles to the Puerto Rico Trench and then west through Hispaniola into the Cayman Trough.

There are four seismically active zones around Puerto Rico. These are: Mona Canyon, Puerto Rico Trench, Anegada Trough, and Puerto Trench. Recorded earthquakes in the first two areas are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Seismic Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Puerto Rico Trench 7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Mona Canyon 7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Mona Canyon 7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>Mona Canyon 7.75 (near junction with Puerto Rico Trench)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There have been low and high magnitude shallow events near the Island.
Three earthquakes during recorded history have resulted in major damage to the Island. These are:

- May 2, 1787, intensities of 7-8 with damage to all of the Island except the south coast;
- November 18, 1867, an earthquake in the Virgin Islands with intensity of 9, and intensities of 7-8 in eastern Puerto Rico with tsunami of 3-5 feet wave height and damage to eastern Puerto Rico; and
- October 11, 1918, earthquake in Mona Passage with intensity of 7.5 and damage to northwest Puerto Rico, accompanied by tsunami with 3-5 feet wave height.

Although very small earthquakes occur frequently in Puerto Rico, no earthquakes producing major damage have occurred onshore in recent years. There are many local events with intensities less than 4.0 that produce little or no damage, although the tremors can be felt. On December 8, 1975, an earthquake in Arecibo with the intensity of 7.0 produced only minor damage.

The tectonics of plate movement account for Puerto Rico’s seismic activity except for a few low-magnitude shallow events on the Island itself. These have a random pattern and no earthquakes are associated with the major fault patterns on the Island. Geological investigation show that there has been no movement on the Great Southern Puerto Rico Fault or the Great Northern Puerto Rico Fault for millions of years.

Earthquake hazards have had only minor influence on development on the Island. A site proposed for a nuclear power plant, at Aguirre, was found unsuitable because of geological hazard. Also, the Planning Board’s building regulation contains earthquake-safety requirements applicable to high rise buildings.

The collapse of sinkholes in areas of karst topography is another, relatively minor, potential hazard of geologic origin. In the absence of adequate foundation studies, highways and urban development in karst areas are sometimes built where there is danger of collapse. This has not been a serious problem in Puerto Rico, partly because of the relatively sparse population of the karst areas.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

The U.S. Geological Survey maintains a seismologic station at Cayey which has been part of a worldwide monitoring network since 1903.
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For the last 3 years, USGS has also maintained an Islandwide network consisting of 15 stations in Puerto Rico and adjacent islands. The network was established with funds provided by the Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority to provide information needed for the siting of nuclear power plants. The data furnished by the network are used to define the location of faults more precisely. This Islandwide network will be discontinued because of lack of funds.

Need: Additional research is needed to determine the extent of geological hazards and the need for precautionary responses. Early attention should be given to preparation of maps, based on soil and rock types, showing extent of earthquake hazards. There is also need for evaluation of potential damage and loss of life if a major earthquake should occur.

COASTAL EROSION

FINDINGS

Coastal erosion is occurring in many parts of Puerto Rico. Map 7 shows some sites identified as having severe erosion. Erosion is destroying not only land but buildings. In the San Jose urbanization in Mayaguez, the advancing sea is destroying oceanfront homes. Near Jobos Bay, erosion has destroyed a school. Although these situations exemplify the physical and economic damage caused by erosion, no Islandwide quantitative estimates are available.

Coastal erosion may be attributed to both natural and man-made causes. Natural erosion causes include: Ocean waves, ocean currents, and boring organisms. Little can be done to offset natural causes of erosion.

Human activities which contribute to coastal erosion are varied, and in many cases, more controllable. For example, the construction of a new structure (such as the causeway between Punta Tocones and Isla de Cabras) can block the natural transfer of sand from one area to another. Extraction of sand from river mouths, dunes, and beaches also contributes to depletion of beach systems. Construction activities aggravate the erosion process in many areas, both at the shoreline itself (e.g., by removing mangroves) and by increasing sedimentation of protecting reefs.
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Several kinds of measures can be taken in response to coastal erosion. Possible measures include: (1) protecting natural features, such as mangroves and reefs, that can retard erosion; (2) controlling activities, such as sand extraction, that can accelerate erosion; (3) nourishing beaches; (4) constructing jetties, groins, seawalls, and revetments; and, (5) directing development away from erosion hazard areas.

Although some measures of these kinds are already established in Puerto Rico, and others are established by this Management Program, more information is needed about the causes and seriousness of coastal erosion in Puerto Rico and about the costs and benefits of responding to it. Coastal erosion studies of a few areas already exist. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has conducted several such studies -- El Tuque Beach (in process), Munoz Rivera Park, and Punta Salinas, Aracibo -- and has developed a plan for beach erosion control and shore protection along 0.9 mile of beach at the Condado and Ocean Park sections of San Juan, subsequently authorized by Congress in 1962. Also, an inventory of areas with severe erosion problems has been conducted as part of the Coastal Management Program.

Need: Comprehensive study of coastal erosion. Although a planning process to assess the effects of shoreline erosion and evaluate mitigation and control measures is now being developed (in accordance with Section 305(b)(9) of the CZMA), there remains need for a comprehensive study of the causes and damages caused by coastal erosion, and of the costs and benefits of possible public responses. Such a study should include investigation of the erosion consequences of sand extraction at river mouths. It should also investigate beach nourishment opportunities (if any) from redepositing dredge spoils into the sea in the direction of littoral drift; possible adverse impacts of such redeposit on coral formations and seagrass beds must be considered in this investigation. Such a study should be closely coordinated with the EQR's 208 planning process, with particular attention to the "best management practices" formulated during that process.

COASTAL RESOURCES

REEMS

FINDINGS

Reefs -- both coral reefs and rock reefs -- are valuable resources serving multiple
AREAS EN CONDICIONES SEVERAS DE EROSIÓN
AREAS OF SEVERE EROSION CONDITIONS
functions. Coral reefs are an important component of the coastal natural system of tropical seas and offer protection to the inner waters of the continental shelf and the coasts. They constitute a food resource, provide for recreation, tourism, education, and scientific investigation; and play an important role in coastal ecology because of their interaction with other ecosystems.

Coral reefs are among the most biologically productive ecosystems, containing corals as well as a great variety of benthic organisms and providing a habitat for large numbers of juvenile fish of many species. Reefs shelter the majority of fish and crustaceans that are commercially extracted from Puerto Rico’s coastal waters.

Rock reefs, which exhibit various degrees of coral growth over rock outcrops, are much less biologically productive than coral reefs. Like coral reefs, however, rock reefs provide a buffer against ebb pounding shorelines and thus influence the deposit of sand on beaches. The calm waters and deposited sands of Luquillo Beach, for example, would be lost if the protecting rock reefs were to disappear.

Map 8 shows known coral reef communities.

Coral reefs are fragile and can be easily destroyed by marine or land-based activities. Reefs are created by colonies of corals, which are living organisms. Like other tropical marine communities, they are extremely sensitive to environmental changes. Sedimentation (such as that from dredging and filling), water pollution from sewage discharges or oil spills, and changes in salinity balances are principal threats. “Sediments settling on corals may kill them within a few days if the blanket is thick enough.... Dredging and coastal land filling associated with harbors, marinas, ship channels, etc., and sand removal for construction and beach replenishment has injured or destroyed hundreds of reef communities. Sewage is probably the second worst form of pollution stress on reef communities... Another stress due to bad land management (accelerated runoff of fresh water) has sometimes lowered coastal salinities to the point where shallow reef communities have been completely killed within a few hours.”

Some of Puerto Rico’s coral reefs are threatened by sedimentation and other pollution. Some of Puerto Rico’s coral reefs are threatened by a variety of man’s activities,
namely, sedimentation from dredging; poor use of coastal land; sewage pollution; and oil, thermal, and chemical pollution from industries. The extent of ecological damage to Puerto Rico's reefs has not been fully assessed because of the absence of comprehensive background studies of our coral reef systems. However, attention has been called over the years to specific examples of reefs that have suffered appreciable destruction and degeneration. The progressive degeneration of the reefs off Isla Verde, for example, is directly attributable to the dredging of the Boca de Gangrales channel and the escape of lagoon sediments, creating murky waters that coral cannot tolerate.

Extraction of coral is also a threat to Puerto Rico's reefs. Recreational and commercial users of reefs sometimes damage or destroy them by extracting coral as souvenirs or for sale. This is a particularly serious problem in the northeast reefs off Fajardo.

Coral reefs regenerate slowly, if at all. After a reef dies, wave action progressively destroys its crest, effectively removing the protective barrier. Once destroyed, reefs regenerate slowly at best, and it cannot be taken for granted that the reef community will ever replace itself. Some surveys 30 years after destruction of a reef have found no sign of regeneration.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2, has established as general policy the avoidance of "activities and land subdivision which could cause the deterioration or destruction of...reefs..." (see Policy 10.03).

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

A. PROTECTING REEFS AGAINST SEDIMENTATION AND OTHER POLLUTION

By far the most serious threats to Puerto Rico's reefs are sedimentation, pollution from sewage discharges, oil spills, and changes in salinity balances resulting from excessive freshwater runoff. Measures responding to these threats are discussed in the section on Coastal Waters.

B. PROTECTING REEFS AGAINST EXTRACTION OF CORAL

There is no Commonwealth regulation prohibiting the taking, destroying, or selling of
FORMACIONES CONOCIDAS DE ARRECIFES DE CORAL
KNOWN CORAL REEF COMMUNITIES
Puerto Rican coral. In the early 1970's the Environmental Quality Board prepared a draft regulation intended to protect the reefs both from extraction and sedimentation, but it was never adopted. Bills banning coral extraction have been introduced in the Legislative Assembly but have failed to pass.

Federal law, however, appears to prohibit the taking of coral within Puerto Rico's coastal zone. The Solicitor of the U.S. Department of the Interior has concluded in an opinion of June 1975 that "...the submerged lands of Puerto Rico belong to the United States and that the United States Congress has made no provision under its Constitutional authority for the sale or disposition of these lands or their resources. Consequently, any removal of sand or any other material from these lands constitutes a trespass against the United States." A bill recently introduced in Congress (H.R. 7827) would, however, give Puerto Rico ownership and jurisdiction over submerged lands and natural resources "to the same extent" that such ownership and jurisdiction are vested in the states. After enactment of any such legislation, coral extraction would apparently be subject to Federal control only to the extent that work in navigable waters requires a permit from the Corps of Engineers.

Needs: Prohibition of Coral Extraction. There is need for a Commonwealth law or regulation to (1) establish that aquatic plants and coral formations are the property of the Commonwealth and (2) prohibit the extraction and sale of coral in Puerto Rico without a permit from DNR. The best legislative approach is probably to amend the Fisheries Act (Act No. 83 of May 13, 1936), as recommended by the citizen's report, Puerto Rico and the Sea.

C. MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION

Need: Natural Reserves. Since the Commonwealth has limited resources available for reef protection and management, there is need to concentrate those resources on reefs known to be particularly important. Among the Natural Reserves* recommended in

*Natural Reserves are the "Areas for Preservation and Restoration" (APR's), provided for in Federal regulations.
Chapter 4: reefs chosen for their quality and extensiveness are included in the following:
La Cordillera Reserve
Jobos Bay and Mar Negro Reserve
Guanica Forest Reserve
La Parguera Reserve
None Island Reserve
Tortolines Reefs Reserve
Guaymas Reef Reserve

Natural reserves will be designated by Commonwealth legislation or administrative action. In some cases, reefs may be protected by designation as sanctuaries.

In addition, a number of reefs in Culebra have been designated for preservation; see the document describing the Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.

D. RESEARCH (HIGH PRIORITY)
Need: Completion of reef inventory. The above listing of Natural Reserves is based on reef inventories which, although the best available, are known to be incomplete. Some of Puerto Rico's reefs, and any threats they may face, are scarcely known. There is an important need for completion of a detailed inventory of Puerto Rico's reefs. This is needed both to aid in identifying additional reefs deserving special protection, and to aid in the management of those already recommended for designation as Natural Reserves.

MANGROVE WETLANDS

FINDINGS

1 Mangrove wetlands are a multi-purpose resource providing varied benefits. Historically, mangroves were viewed as areas of low economic productivity, providing principally wood and charcoal. Since mangroves were also breeding areas for the malaria mosquito,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANGROVE TYPE</th>
<th>USUALLY FOUND</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>PRINCIPAL VALUES</th>
<th>NEEDS AND USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overwash mangrove islands</td>
<td>South Coast</td>
<td>Overwashed by daily tides; most &quot;maritime&quot; of mangroves; dense red prop roots; multiplicity of islands; dominated by red mangroves.</td>
<td>Wildlife refuges; fishing; purification of overwash waters; production of organic detritus.</td>
<td>Leave them alone—regeneration is slow. Use for indirect services—refuges, fishing, disposal and cooler marine waters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe mangrove wetlands</td>
<td>South Coast</td>
<td>Found along shorelines, canals, rivers, lagoons; dominated by red mangroves; two variations—coastal and inland.</td>
<td>Protection of shorelines; &quot;land building&quot;; high rate of organic exports; wildlife habitat.</td>
<td>Coastal fringe wetlands: Timber production possible, even limited clear-cutting. Recovery rapid. Production of oysters and shellfish. Recreational facilities, homes, other structures on Utila possible with sufficient buffer and other safeguards. Inland fringe wetlands: More valuable as supporters of fish and other marine life. Direct human use—limit to recreation, fishing, study, selective cutting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrub mangrove wetlands</td>
<td>South Coast</td>
<td>Smallest (less than 2 m. tall) of mangrove forests; least productive; grow on hypersaline soils where no other plant can; red or black mangroves predominate.</td>
<td>Water storage and quality control; soil stabilization; panoramic; wildlife support.</td>
<td>Leave them alone. If disturbed, regeneration is extremely slow (often more than 30 years) and nothing will grow in their place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverine mangrove wetlands</td>
<td>North Coast</td>
<td>Found in saline portions of flood plains of rivers and other fresh-water courses. All species, but red mangroves predominate.</td>
<td>Exceptionally high resource values; organic exports; water quality control; wildlife habitat; flood buffers.</td>
<td>Timber and timber production, and sewage recycling possible, if precautions are taken to maintain natural productivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal mangrove wetlands</td>
<td>North Coast</td>
<td>Found inland in depressions where water movement is slow, or flat areas inundated only by highest tides. Black mangroves predominate.</td>
<td>Efficient nutrient traps; link with downstream fisheries.</td>
<td>Sewage recycling and timber production possible as long as normal water levels, tidal inundation and overland sheet-floves are maintained; seasonal recreation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The identified uses are consistent with the continued functioning of natural systems but are not necessarily permitted by the mangrove protective policies established by the PRMIP.
their filling was regarded as a public good. With modern methods of disease control, malaria is no longer a problem in Puerto Rico.

Now, as a result of growing ecological awareness, it is recognized that mangroves may have several values: as buffers against natural catastrophes, refuges for wildlife, nurseries for marine life, as fishing and shellfishing areas, as sources of organic detritus, and as natural filters for purifying water.

Because of their specialized root system, which form an intertwined maze beneath the water surface, mangroves generally retard water movement and trap suspended materials, much of which is produced by the mangrove itself in the form of leaves and twig fall, dead branches, and the remains of organisms associated with the mangroves. Gradually the accumulation of this organic material contributes to raise the soil level. Continued accumulation of soil particularly by sea-fringing mangrove stands, builds the shoreline seaward. In the course of this process, the rich protected substrate provides a habitat for a large variety of organisms that serve as food for marine fauna, including oysters and crabs, which are directly harvestable.

Some fish of commercial importance, such as snapper, are found among mangrove roots. Others spend part of their life cycle there during breeding and spawning. According to one estimate, 60-70 percent of fish production in Puerto Rico depends upon the reef and mangrove systems that fringe the island. In addition, mangroves form the nesting habitat for many species of native and migratory birds, including both game and endangered species.

Mangroves can be harmed or destroyed by dredging, filling, sedimentation, oil spills, and sometimes by other pollutants as well. Land reclamation through filling is the most obvious and direct threat to mangroves. Land reclamation of adjacent areas may also affect mangroves indirectly by altering the water table. Other major threats

"Reflecting these views, an old Commonwealth law ("Ley para la Reclamacion de Tierras Pantanosas y Aridas", Ley Núm. 40 de Junio 9, 1948, 26 LPRA 71 at seq.) established a mangrove policy that is now out of date. The law established a reclamation program under the jurisdiction of the Land Authority, and provided tax and other incentives for reclamation. Although the reclamation program of the Land Authority was abandoned years ago, the law has never been repealed."
area sedimentation, dredging to accommodate shipping, and oil spills. Mangroves tend
to trap and concentrate pollutants. The extent to which various types of pollutants,
other than oil spills and sedimentation, contribute to mangrove destruction has not
been established. However, in mangrove-fringed estuarine areas, the concentration
of pollutants in coastal waters tends to upset the delicate balance of microscopic
life, drastically altering the entire coastal ecosystem.

Three-quarters of Puerto Rico's original mangroves have been destroyed, and some
of the remaining ones are threatened. About 75 percent of Puerto Rico's original
mangrove area has already been destroyed, according to estimates of the U.S. Forest
Service. Some 50,000 cuerdas of mangroves have been "reclaimed," that is, drained
or filled, and put to other uses such as wharves, agriculture, and industrial and
residential sites. The greatest destruction has occurred in the larger estuaries
now used for harbor facilities such as San Juan and Jobos Bay.

Mangrove destruction is not simply a matter of historical interest. Destruction
from the mid-1960's through 1970, for example, was much greater than during the
previous decades. Bonanueva, formerly the fifth largest stand, was 88 percent
destroyed during the period as a result of its use as a dump by the municipality
of Cabo Rojo and the construction of retention works to contain fresh water for
a bird refuge.

Depending on their type and location, remaining mangroves are variously subject to
further reduction and destruction from man-made intrusion. Possibly the most serious
threat is to the mangroves along the northeast coast, from Boca de Cambrayos to
Juyero, where development pressures are intense. Within this region, litigation
has already resulted over the threat to the Pinones-Terrazas-Vacia Yalapa Forest,
one of the largest and most productive mangroves in Puerto Rico.

Only about 15,000 cuerdas of mangroves remain.
The remaining mangroves are shown on map 9.

There are five kinds of mangroves in Puerto Rico, each with different characteristics
and different special values. Five kinds of mangroves have been identified (briefly
described in Table 1). Each has distinctive characteristics, values, and management needs. Although all mangroves require protection against willful destruction through filling, other needs vary. One type, for example, is suitable for recreational facilities or houses (on stilts, to prevent interference with water flows and with suitable protection against raw sewage and solid wastes) but others are not. Some regenerate rapidly and can tolerate selective cutting; others can survive only if left completely alone.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, has established as a general policy the avoidance of "activities and land subdivision which could cause the deterioration or destruction of...mangroves...

(See Policy 10.03.)

The Environmental Quality Board's Mangrove Resolution, adopted in 1974, states the need to:

...Preserve, protect, and as far as possible, restore the mangroves of Puerto Rico.

...Reduce to the minimum any changes in the quantity and quality of water that nourishes mangroves.

...Protect mangroves from dredging or the harmful effects of dredge spoils.

...Preserve to the maximum environmental measures for mangrove protection.

ADDITIONAL POLICIES ESTABLISHED: To supplement the above policies and increase the certainty of their application, the following additional policies are hereby established:

SPECIAL PROTECTION FOR MANGROVE WETLANDS.

All remaining mangrove wetlands—as well as buffer areas necessary to protect their water areas, vegetation, waterfowl, fish and other wildlife values—shall be protected as follows:

...Restrict new development in mangrove wetlands, except that deemed to be in the general welfare by the Government of Puerto Rico. New development, including dredging, filling, and erasing, in existing or restorable mangrove wetlands shall be permitted only as follows:

...Natural Reserves. Any alteration of those mangrove wetlands designated as Natural Reserves shall, to the maximum extent practicable, (a) maintain or enhance the
existing functional capacity of the wetland (i.e., some alteration but no net reduction in the quantity and quality of species); and (b) conform to a mangrove management plan approved by the Planning Board following issuance of an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to Law No. 9 of June 18, 1970; and (c) be limited to minor incidental public service facilities (e.g., burying cables or pipelines), restorative measures, or nature study.

...Other mangrove wetlands. Any alteration of other mangrove wetlands shall, to the maximum extent practicable, (a) conform to a mangrove management plan approved by the Planning Board following issuance of an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to Law No. 9 of June 18, 1970; and (b) limited to the following: (1) essential military facilities; (2) expansion of existing commercial fishing harbors, ports, or airports; (3) the minimum necessary entrance channel to a marina or other boating facility excavated from dry land; (4) those portions of coastal-dependent energy facilities that cannot be located on dry land or in open water areas; (5) minor incidental public service facilities such as buried cable or pipelines; (6) measures undertaken to restore biological productivity of degraded mangrove wetlands; and (7) nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activity.

Prepares and implements mangrove management plans. Before any significant alteration of a mangrove wetland is permitted, a management plan shall be prepared by the public agencies and private interests involved for review and approval by DNR. The plan shall be based on the study of each mangrove wetland and its surrounding areas. The plan shall (1) place first priority on natural resource protection and restoration, research and recreational opportunities, and aesthetic values; (2) assess public health issues, aquaculture potential, possible alternative sources of fresh water, and the impact of any proposed facilities that can be provided in accordance with other policies; and (3) specify the management programs necessary to protect, enhance, and restore natural resources, including controls on development in adjoining upland areas. For intensification of use in areas now committed to industrial or port use,

*As explained in Chapter 4, responsibility within DNR for the preparation of mangrove plans will rest with the Coastal Management Unit.*
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IMPLEMENTING THE POLICIES

A. PROTECTING MANGROVES AGAINST DREDGING, FILLING, LAND DEVELOPMENT

1. Public ownership and custody

The Commonwealth's Department of Natural Resources already has custody of some significant mangroves in the coast. These are contained in four coastal forests: (1) the Aguirre Forest near Jobos Bay on the south coast (2,664 cda.); (2) the Dequeren Forest on the west coast of Mayaguez (2,040 cda.); (3) the Caiba Forest on the east coast south of Fajardo (363 cda.); and (4) the Pinones Forest on the north coast, between San Juan and Loiza (1,608 cda. including the Pinones Lagoon).

Other Commonwealth agencies and corporations own significant mangroves. The most notable ones are those at Boca de Cangrejos owned by the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company (PRIDCO). The Federal government owns significant mangroves at Roosevelt Roads. Still other mangroves are privately owned. Boundaries between public and private property in mangroves are often unclear.

Because of the costs, there are few opportunities either (1) to acquire additional privately owned mangroves or (2) to obtain transfer of custody to DBR from Commonwealth corporations that now control significant mangroves. It would clearly be desirable to provide additional protection in these ways, however, particularly for the mangroves recommended as Natural Reserves. (See Map 29).

2. Management and protection

Need: Natural Reserves. Since the Commonwealth has limited resources available for mangrove protection and management, there is need to concentrate these resources on mangrove wetlands that are particularly important. Among the Natural Reserves recommended in Chapter 4, mangrove wetlands chosen because of their extent, uniqueness, or complexity, are included in the following:

- Constitution Bridge Mudflats Reserve
- Pinones Forest Reserve
- Rio Espiritu Santo Reserve
- El Yero Reserve
- Caiba State Forest Reserve
- Jobos Bay and Mar Negro Reserve
- Punta Esteros Reserve
In addition, some mangrove wetlands in Cozumel are designated for preservation by the Cozumel Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.

**Need: Designation of Special Planning Areas.** Although the most important of Puerto Rico's mangrove wetlands are those recommended above as Natural Reserves, other mangrove wetlands are of sufficient importance to warrant protection against unavoidable destruction by development or other activities. In allocating Commonwealth resources available for resource inventorying and detailed planning—which can often protect mangrove wetlands, without significantly reducing development opportunities nearby—there is need to assure that mangrove wetlands receive the attention they deserve. Accordingly, the Special Planning Areas designated in Chapter 4 include all mangrove wetlands.

3. **Development controls**

Federal law provides additional protection against the filling of most mangroves in Puerto Rico. All filling in wetlands, including the placement of dredged or fill material into a mangrove wetland, requires a permit from the Corps of Engineers, and Corps regulations (42 CFR37122-37164) discourage unnecessary alteration or destruction of wetlands, including mangroves. DWR can prevent the granting of a Corps permit by denying an "endorsement." Also, any Federal permit must be consistent with this coastal management program. The cutting of mangroves is not subject to any Federal permit requirements, however. (See separate discussion of dredging and filling in the section on Coastal Waters.)

The Commonwealth has not established specific rules for development in and near mangroves; some guidelines were prepared by DWR staff in 1973, but they were not adopted.

---

* All Federal land is excluded from all recommended natural reserves and designated special planning areas.

** Special Planning Areas are the "Areas of Particular Concern" (APC's) provided for in Federal regulations.

*** See discussion of Federal consistency on pages B-24-B-27.
The Puerto Rico Planning Board has protected some mangrove wetlands by imposing protective conditions during the development control process described in Chapter 4. These conditions are often recommended by the Environmental Quality Board during its review of environmental impact statements. The more detailed mangrove wetland policies established by this program document are to be applied to public as well as private land, via that same control process, as well as in evaluating applications for Commonwealth and Federal permits.

It is impractical at this time to identify in advance every site to which this policy applies. Case-by-case evaluation of the impact of proposed development on mangrove wetlands accordingly remains essential. In a few instances, however, it is feasible to identify in advance particular sites where mangrove preservation is a paramount objective; these sites have been recommended above for designation as Natural Reserves. Mangrove management plans, mentioned above, will detail application of the policy to other mangrove wetlands.

**Need: regulation prohibiting mangrove alteration.** Planning Regulation No. 13, the Floodable Areas Regulation, should be amended to prohibit man-made alteration of mangrove wetlands within coastal high hazard areas (except alterations shown not to increase potential flood damage). Future adoption of such a regulation will be required in any event by Federal flood insurance regulations (24 CFR 1910, 3(a)(8)); 41 FR 46978, October 26, 1976.

**B. PROTECTING MANGROVES AGAINST SEDIMENTATION, OIL SPILLS**

Measures to reduce damage to mangroves from sedimentation and oil spills are discussed in parts of this report dealing with “Minimizing erosion and sedimentation” and “Reducing damage from oil spills” in the section on Coastal Waters.

**C. PROTECTING MANGROVES AGAINST SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL**

Although various municipalities have used mangroves as solid waste disposal sites in the past, the EQB reports that only one — Salinas — continues to do so. Salinas will soon terminate this practice in favor of a new site near Central Aguirre.
DUNES

FINDINGS

Dunes once provided important protection against storm surges along much of Puerto Rico's north coast. The dunes that originally extended along much of Puerto Rico's north coast provided important protection to life and property as well as naturally limiting coastal erosion. (See Map 10.)

Because of massive sand extraction, few dunes now remain. The extraction of sand for use in construction has completely eliminated many kilometers of protective dunes. The few that remain—principally at Isabela, plus a few at Boca de Cangrejos and elsewhere—have in most cases been radically reduced in size. Dune destruction is not simply of historical interest; it is continuing today.

Dune destruction has increased potential damage from storms, although the exact extent of the increase is not yet known. The whole or partial removal of dunes has enlarged the areas subject to flooding during hurricanes and other storms. Until completion of detailed flood mapping of these areas, however, their exact extent is unknown. Dune removal has also probably contributed greatly to coastal erosion.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, has established, as general policy, the avoidance of "activities and land subdivision which could cause the deterioration or destruction of ...dunes..." (See Policy 18.03.)

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

A. PREVENTING RECESSIVE EXTRACTION OF SAND FROM PROTECTIVE DUNES

1. Commonwealth regulations governing sand extraction

Commonwealth law prohibits the extraction of sand, from dunes or any other source, on public or private property, without a permit from the Department of Natural Resources. Sand extraction from navigable waters (river mouths and submarine deposits) also requires a Federal permit from the Army Corps of Engineers. (See discussion of dredging and filling in the section on Coastal Waters.)
A recent Commonwealth statute (Law No. 144 of June 3, 1976) strengthens statutory requirements governing permits for extraction of sand, gravel, and other materials from the earth’s crust. Additional environmental factors specified in the law, must be considered before authorizing a permit; permits remain in force for a shorter time; the fine for illegal extraction is raised, and administrative fines are authorized.

Also, a special fund is created, from royalty income, for use in administering the law and policing extraction.

The law covers extraction in general, without explicitly differentiating beach, dune, and other types of deposits. This sometimes creates an expectation on the part of petitioners that all permit requests will be governed by the same standards regardless of type of deposit. Administrative difficulties sometimes result, particularly when discretion exercised by DNR personnel is construed as discriminatory.

Need: Revised regulations. To obtain the full benefit of the statutory changes, additional refinements of the regulations prepared and adopted at the end of June, 1977 could enhance their effectiveness.

While the regulations distinguish different types of extraction, more specific standards for each type should be set. The need for distinct standards is particularly important in considering possible exploitation of submarine deposits as discussed in the section on Sand for Construction. It is expected that any submarine sand operation would produce two to four million cubic meters per year. This sizable production would create unprecedented problems—of distribution, for example, and of market dominance by a few large firms.

2. Enforcement of extraction regulations

Enforcement of sand extraction regulations is a problem of long standing in Puerto Rico. There are really two enforcement problems.

The first arises when permit holders—often large-scale commercial operators excavating dunes—extract more sand than their permits allow. A permit may, for example, allow extraction of only part of a dune—so that the dune will
remain available to provide essential protection against storm surges. When extractors take more than permits authorize, serious damage sometimes results.

The second problem arises when sand is extracted without any permit. These extractors often operate at a very small scale—typically one or two men with shovels and a truck. They often take sand from public beaches, and some of them have been doing so for years; they do not think of themselves as sand thieves. These numerous, small-scale operators represent an exceedingly difficult enforcement problem.

Need: Larger, better trained staff. The Department of Natural Resources needs a larger, better trained staff, as well as more sophisticated equipment and methods for surveillance of sand extraction.

3. Management and protection

Ideally, all present and former dune areas of Puerto Rico would be designated as natural reserves; this ideal should be attained as rapidly as possible. Attainment is impractical, however, until suitable alternative sources of sand are found for the construction industry. Until then, some extraction will probably continue—within regulatory limits intended to preserve the most essential protective functions of dunes.

Need: Designation of Special Planning Areas. The few remaining dune areas are, however, areas of intense conflict among competing demands: for flood protection, for sand extraction, for recreation and tourism. (This is notably the case in the Isabela area, where sand pits and summer homes are often only a few meters apart.) As such, the dune areas should receive attention from Commonwealth officials in allocating the limited resources available for inventorying and detailed planning. Both principal dune areas remaining in Puerto Rico—the Isabela and Bena de Compania areas—are accordingly included among the Special Planning Areas designated in Chapter 4.

Long-term need: Designation of Natural Reserves; regulations prohibiting alteration. As soon as alternative sand sources become available for the construction
industry, dune areas should be redesignated as Natural Reserves. At that
time, moreover, Planning Regulation No. 13, the Floodable Areas Regulation,
should be amended to prohibit man-made alteration of dunes within coastal high
hazard areas (except alterations shown not to increase potential flood damage).
Future adoption of such a regulation will be required in any event by Federal
flood insurance regulations (24 CFR 1910.3(a)(8); 41 FR 46978, October 26, 1976).

B. PROVIDING SAND FOR THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Sand: Alternative supply sources. Protection of dunes (and even public
beaches) by regulatory means will remain difficult—both at the policy and
enforcement level—until the construction industry obtains adequate supplies of
sand at reasonable prices from alternative sources. Assisting in the develop-
ment of these sources is, accordingly, a key program recommendation discussed
in the section on Sand for Construction.

BEACHES

INTRODUCTORY FINDINGS

The beaches of Puerto Rico are coastal resources of great importance. These beaches
vary from tiny pockets to broad deposits as much a a kilometer in length (e.g., Magens
Beach in Loiza, Levittown beach). Beaches are of incalculable importance to Puerto
Rico for recreation and tourism. Some 102 kilometers of the island’s 608 kilometers
1
of beaches are naturally suited to be prime recreation beaches. These are shown on
Map II.

In addition, beaches are important for the protection of natural resources. For example,
beaches on Mona and some other offshore islands are known nesting sites for sea turtles,
including some endangered species. (See the section on Wildlife.)

Composition of the individual beach systems is dependent on the source of available
beach materials. There are three dominant mineral assemblages which characterize
Puerto Rico’s beaches: Quartz sands with some feldspar; volcanic rock, scarpantinite,
heavy mineral assemblages; and calcium carbonate sands. The isolation of individual
beach systems is emphasized by striking differences in composition between many adjacent
beaches.
Extraction of sand from beaches, long a cause of severe damage, is no longer extensive. In the past, some beaches were stripped of virtually all their sand, which was then sold for use in construction. At present, however, there are no outstanding Commonwealth permits for sand extraction from beaches. There does remain a problem of unauthorized extraction (see discussion in the section on Dunes); but beaches are no longer a major resource for the unauthorized extractors, who now prefer river-mouth and other deposits.

Erosion and water pollution affect beaches as well as other parts of the coast. Erosion has drastically reduced the size of some beaches; responsive measures are considered in the section on Coastal Erosion. Water pollution interferes with full recreational use of some beaches, notably in the Condado and Ocean Park sections of San Juan, where the Environmental Quality Board has found it necessary to place pollution-warning signs.

Several additional obstacles prevent recreational enjoyment of beaches by the general public:

A. Legal barriers exclude the public from a few beaches.
B. Some beaches, though legally public, are inaccessible because the public cannot cross adjoining land. Obstacles to access may be legal (no right of passage), or practical (e.g. no automobile access or parking area).
C. When recreational users reach the beach (or another part of the coast), they may find that squatters got there first.
D. Nearby highrise buildings may cast shadows or visually dominate the beach.
E. The narrow strip of beach available to the public may prove too small for optimum recreational use.
F. Inadequate public services—notably beach cleaning—may render the beach less enjoyable.

These obstacles, all of which must be overcome to assure optimum present and future use of beach resources, are discussed below.

A. ASSURING THE PUBLIC’S LEGAL RIGHT TO USE THE BEACHES THEMSELVES

FINDINGS

Most beaches in Puerto Rico are legally open to use by the general public. The

Also, Federal law apparently prohibits extraction of sand from submerged lands (below mean high tide). See discussion above in the section on Reefs.
"space on the coasts ... that is bathed by the sea in its ebb and flow" is included in the maritime zone (zona maritimo-terrestre). The maritime zone is public domain. The principal exceptions are beaches on the Federal military reservations at Roosevelt Roads and in Vieques. A number of additional beaches formerly reserved for recreational use by military personnel (e.g., "Crashboat" in Arecibo, Punta Sardinas) are now available for public use.

Spanish crown grants, issued prior to the Law of Ports of 1886, may authorize some private landowners to exclude the general public from some beach areas. The number of such grants, their legal validity, and the beach areas subject to them are unclear. Some proprietors do assert rights under such grants, however.

The absence or uncertainty of public right to use a few beaches is a problem to be addressed as opportunities present themselves.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

1. Registration of crown grants

More information is needed to determine whether or not crown grants represent a significant potential threat to open beaches in Puerto Rico. To deal with similar uncertainties, the Commonwealth’s recently enacted Water Law (Law No. 136 of June 3, 1976) requires registration of crown grants to water supplies. There is no comparable law, however, to deal with crown grants that may authorize exclusion of the general public from any portion of the maritime zone. The statute should establish a reasonable registration period, of perhaps one year, after which (1) unregistered grants would be void, and (2) the validity of registered grants would be expeditiously adjudicated in a manner fixed by the statute.

The legal status of the Caribe Hilton Hotel site, formerly part of the San Juan Naval Station and now held by a Commonwealth government corporation under a 999-year lease granted by the Federal government, is unique and unclear. (See opinion of the Attorney General of Puerto Rico, November 25, 1970, and La Haba v. Trib. de Contribuciones, 76 DFR 923 (1956); a right to exclude the public from a beach on that site has been asserted. The Federally owned beaches of Culebra are discussed in the Culebra Seminar of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.)
2. Surplus Federal land

NEW POLICY ESTABLISHED. From time to time, the Federal government has declared
some of its coastal holdings to be surplus. Future declarations of this type
may present opportunities to extend beach access. The following policy is hereby
established to take advantage of any such opportunities that may arise:

APPROPRIATE ACCESS TO FEDERAL BEACHES HEREAFTER DECLARED SURPLUS. If any
beaches now owned by the Federal government in Puerto Rico are hereafter
declared surplus to the needs of the Federal government, such beaches shall to
the maximum extent practicable be held for permanent recreational use by the
general public unless more appropriate use is determined to be in the general
welfare by the Government of Puerto Rico.

B. PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE COAST*

FINDINGS

Some beaches, though legally public, are inaccessible because the public cannot
cross adjoining land to reach them. In some places, there is no legal right to cross
the adjoining land. In others, a right of passage exists, but there are practical
obstacles to access—most often an absence of vehicle access or parking space.

Lack of access to the coast has not traditionally ranked high among Puerto Rico's
problems. In towns and cities traditional street patterns often provided access.
In the country, fishermen and others needing access were often able to cross shorefront
property—sometimes with a legal right of passage, sometimes without one.

*A planning process for shorefront access is now being developed in accordance
with Section 303(b)(7) of the CZMA.
The access problem has attracted most attention in the northeast part of the island, where several shorefront projects are concentrated in outlying areas close to the San Juan Metropolitan Area.

Unless new shorefront development provides access at least equivalent to that provided in the past, exclusion of the general public from public beaches could become a serious problem. As the citizens report, Puerto Rico and the Sea, put it:

"In the past, Puerto Rico has rarely encountered the beach exclusion problems that plague so many places in the United States and the Caribbean. The Island could well experience them in the future, however, together with the resentments and tensions they inevitably create. Steps are needed, and needed now, to ensure that they do not arise as a result of developments being approved."

There are several kinds of opportunities to provide needed access. Requiring access at new shorefront development appears to present the most important of these opportunities.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies elements of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan establishes the following policy on public access to beaches:

"To avoid the unnecessary loss of options for future use of these resources resulting from the establishment of new activities and from authorizing subdivisions! The following criteria, among others, must be considered:

"...
- Avoid the construction of buildings in beach areas and discourage activities or land subdivision in neighboring areas which would impede free physical access
to these areas, prohibit the appreciation of panoramic view, and prevent free access to and enjoyment of the sun by the citizenry." (See Policy 17.04.)

No statute, however, formally establishes for all of Puerto Rico, an access policy like the one established for Culebra. There, a statute bars development which "may interfere in any way with the free access of the public to the sea and beaches..."

NEW POLICY ESTABLISHED. The following policy is hereby established:

PUBLIC ACCESS TO BEACHES. Shorefront development, governmental and private, shall if practical be designed to facilitate rather than obstruct shoreline access by the general public. It is recognized that the general welfare sometimes requires access restriction (e.g., to protect critical environmental areas, to protect endangered species or for public safety and for security reasons). Nevertheless de facto segregation of public beaches, as a result of development patterns that facilitate use by shorefront landowners while preventing access by the general public, is rejected in Puerto Rico.
IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

Need: Beach access plans. To provide guidance in safeguarding and increasing access, there is need for a beach access plan designed to assure maximum feasible coastal access by the general public. The plan should take account of:

- the varied character of the coast from place to place (e.g., the long, continuous beaches in some areas, the tiny beaches and rocky headlands in others).
- the varied ways people enjoy using the coast (e.g., picnicking, sports, birdwatching) and the opportunity to plan access arrangements to restrict some areas for less intensive uses. "There is no need to make all shorefront access equally convenient. Some of the more rugged areas can be effectively reserved for the hardy few."
- the varied means by which access can be established. For example, coastal access can be assured by designing new shorefront highways at locations that preclude the construction of buildings between the highway and the coast. Access can also be provided by providing a series of intensive-use facilities (such as Lequillo Beach), with nearby shorelands accessible to those who walk along the shore from those facilities. Other possibilities include permitting pedestrians access from a street near the shore and providing a place to park, or access by hiking or boat alone if the firm intent is to preserve the area in its undeveloped form.
- the need for public facilities (including, where appropriate, highways, public beach installation, parking facilities, pedestrian access ways).

These must be sufficient to remove physical obstacles that could exclude the general public from the maritime zone. They must also be sufficient to assure that public access does not itself prevent enjoyment of the beach (e.g., where cars are parked on the beach itself). Since it is impractical to provide optimum facilities for all 109 kilometers of potential recreational beach, the plan should establish priorities.

2. Requiring new shorefront projects to provide access

The Planning Board has used the development control process, described in Chapter 4, to implement a longstanding policy of requiring public accessways at shorefront
projects. Approval of the Carromar Hotel, for example, was conditioned on the establishment of a public accessway from the hotel parking lot to the beach. Similarly, construction of an access road to the beach was required between the Rio Mar project in Rio Grande, and an adjacent project.

In requiring accessways in projects such as these, the Board has apparently relied principally on its discretionary review powers. The only regulation requiring accessways through coastal developments in Planning Regulation No. 11, the Simple Subdivision Regulation, which applies only to small subdivisions.

Even Regulation No. 11 does not prescribe access requirements in any detail. As a result, specific access arrangements are established on an ad hoc basis for each project, without detailed policy guidance and usually without public awareness or discussion. The resulting arrangements vary from project to project and sometimes provide little access opportunity.

Head: Regulation or statute specifying access dedication requirements. A regulation or, preferably, a statute should establish with certainty the obligation of shorefront developers to provide public accessways and should specify the nature of that obligation in as much detail as possible. The following requirement is recommended:

Require Access Through New Developments. New developments shall provide public accessways to the shoreline except in those cases where it is determined that public access is inappropriate, such as where (1) adequate access exists nearby, (2) the topography makes access dangerous, (3) the proposed development is too small to include an accessway, (4) the coastal resources are too fragile to accommodate general public use, (5) public safety or military security precludes public use, or (6) the public accessway would adversely affect agricultural uses or natural systems. Any decision that public access is inappropriate shall be consistent with a beach access plan recommended by the Secretary of Natural Resources and adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board.
The regulation or statute should assure that the accessway is permanent. The following is recommended:

In private developments, public access shall be assured (1) either by dedication of fee title or an easement for the reserved accessway to a public agency, or (2) by the recording of a deed restriction, at the owner’s option. Dedicated accessways shall not be required to be opened to public use until a public agency or private association agrees to accept responsibility for maintenance and liability for the accessway.

So that the general public may be aware of arrangements made for its access to the coast, the regulation or statute should require public notice and hearing before approval of any shorefront development.

3. Government purchase of accessways

The beach access plan, recommended above, may show that public purchase of land (or rights-of-way) is appropriate to provide access to some portions of the coast. This could be true, for example, in some rural areas where access is blocked and where no shorefront development is planned.

Federal financial assistance may be available to assist in the purchase of accessways:

The Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 enables the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service to provide funds to Puerto Rico for outdoor recreation areas and facilities.

A recent amendment to the U.S. Coastal Zone Management Act (Section 315(2)), authorizes Federal aid for the acquisition of land to provide for access to public beaches and other public coastal areas of particular value.

To obtain Federal aid under these programs, however, the Commonwealth must provide matching funds. In the future, competing demands for funds may leave the Commonwealth unable to afford substantial amounts to acquire beach accessways.
4. **Allowing public passage across shorefront property already owned by the Commonwealth or public corporations**

The public is excluded from some beaches by property owned or leased by the Commonwealth or public corporations. It may be possible to open one or more of these properties without sacrificing resources or other public needs and without harming adjacent public or private lands.

5. **Protecting and clarifying existing accessways**

Law and customary use may have created important access rights to the coast. Protecting and clarifying these rights appears to present a limited, but still important, opportunity to assure adequate access.

a. **Rights-of-way from "time immemorial."** Where the public has passed across property from "time immemorial," a legal right of passage exists. Use must have begun so long ago that "man cannot remember" the moment when it began, and prior to 1869, when the Civil Code went into effect.

**Need: Identification of immemorial rights-of-way.** The beach access inventory, already recommended, should identify longstanding accessways that may have been used since "time immemorial." If affected property is later developed, these accessways should be protected. In a few cases, the passages may be important enough to warrant the bringing of judicial proceedings or other measures to protect or clarify their status.
The burden for proving *immemorial usage* is heavy, however, so the opportunity to guarantee access by proving *immemorial usage* seems correspondingly limited.

b. **Other rights-of-way.** Except for *immemorial rights-of-way*, prolonged public use rarely results in a legal right of passage across private property in Puerto Rico. Assertion of prescriptive rights accordingly appears to present few opportunities to assure beach access.

c. **Servidumbre de vigilancia.** The Law of Ports of 1886 establishes a *servidumbre de vigilancia* ("assayment of vigilance"), apparently to facilitate the governmental function of patrolling the coasts.** The *servidumbre* adjoins the maritime zone, extending inland six meters from its landward edge; where the six-meter strip is impassable, shorefront landowners must permit authorized people to pass at a convenient place nearby.

The *servidumbre*, if still valid, may create a highly beneficial right of access. As citizens' report, *Puerto Rico and the Sea*, noted:

Today, as concern about pollution and its consequences (such as fish kills and oil spills) accentuates age-old needs for vigilance over coastal areas, it is essential that the existence of the *servidumbre* be definitely determined, publicized and enforced. The *servidumbre* creates, for purposes of coastal vigilance and also perhaps for the purposes, a right of passage along the entire coast of Puerto Rico. To create such a right now, if it did not already exist, would be enormously burdensome and expensive.

The validity and interpretation of the *servidumbre* are unclear, however. Statutory clarification has been suggested.

**Only rights-of-way that are continuous and apparent may be acquired without title. See Civil Code, Art. 473 (31 LPRA 1653). An even prolonged, continuous use has been interpreted as a use tolerated by the owner, who may prohibit it at any time. See *Casas v. O'Malley*, 1962, 65 DPR 170; *Martín v. Cortés*, 1956, 76 DPR 12; *Basat v. Registrador*, 1943, 43 DPR 489; *Peña v. Ruiz*, 1939, 35 DPR 785.

**The same law establishes a *servidumbre de salvamento* ("assayment of salvage and life saving"), extending 20 meters inland, apparently for the benefit of shipwrecked sailors and fishermen driven from the sea by storms. Even if this *servidumbre* remains legally valid, it appears to create no access rights enabling the general public to get from the highways to the coasts. Any access rights created appear to run from sea toward land, not the other way around.
C. REMOVING SQUATTERS FROM THE COAST

FINDINGS

Squatters have built structures on public property—both lands and waters—at several coastal locations. The most widely known of these invasions have occurred at La Parguera (Lajas), El Combate (Cabo Rojo), and Culebra.\(^1\)

Squatters create problems of exclusion and pollution. Squatters, relatively few in number, exclude the general public from the public space they occupy. They also de-spoil nearby areas, particularly by creating problems of sewage and solid waste disposal.

The squatter problem along the coast of Puerto Rico has two origins. One, which is not limited to public lands on the coast, arises from an acute shortage of housing for poor people.

The other arises, typically, from the desire of well-to-do people to have a second home for use on weekends and vacations.

The problem, particularly the removal of established squatters, is exceedingly difficult to solve. Even though squatting is illegal, the removal of existing squatter homes presents delicate issues requiring sensitive handling. Some squatter communities have existed for many years, and squatters have come to expect that they will continue. Removal becomes even more difficult when squatters have no other homes.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

1. Controlling future land invasions

The Commonwealth has established a detailed administrative procedure that permits rapid action by the responsible agencies to terminate new land invasions and prevent expansion of old ones.

\(^1\) The squatter problem in Culebra, as well as the special laws and programs established to respond to it, are discussed in the Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.
2. Existing invasions by squatters who have no other homes

Law No. 132 of July 1975, enables some squatters to obtain title to the public land they occupy; titles are available only to squatters who had built a home and lived in it when the law was passed, and who had no other home.

Beaches, however, being public domain, cannot be privately owned. Therefore, squatters on beaches (or any other part of the maritime zone) cannot obtain title to the land they occupy.

In Culebra, squatters on public domain land may be removed, but a home must generally be provided for squatters who have no other home. There is no comparable statutory right to a replacement home, however, for squatters removed from public domain lands elsewhere in the Commonwealth.

Need: Housing for squatters. To permit removal from public domain lands of squatters who have no other homes, there is need to provide them with adequate housing elsewhere.

3. Other invasions of coastal lands and waters

The Commonwealth's Public Service Commission issued an administrative order in 1970 against the owners of summer homes built in coastal waters at La Parguera. A legal challenge ensued, which was finally resolved in 1976 in favor of the squatters; the Supreme Court of Puerto Rico concluded that the Public Service Commission lacked jurisdiction in the matter.

Need: Sensitive regulation of established squatter communities. Provision of housing for needy squatters, though essential, is not a sufficient governmental response to the problems created by established squatter communities. In dealing with long-standing communities, such as that of La Parguera, the government must somehow take account of squatters' expectations developed during years of occupancy. Yet it must also respond to the problems of exclusion and pollution that squatters create.

Regulations responsive to these multiple concerns are now being prepared by the Department of Natural Resources for application at La Parguera and are expected to be issued in the summer of 1978. These would continue the present prohibition of new squatter homes, would prohibit rebuilding of existing homes destroyed by fire
or store, and would require squatters to pay a reasonable rent for the public space they occupy for homes and piers. The regulation would further require each home to tap into a newly constructed sewer system or, for offshore homes where that is impossible, to provide tertiary treatment of sewage before discharge.

D. PROTECTING BEACHES AGAINST THE SHADOWS AND VISUAL DOMINATION OF HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS

FINDINGS

High-rise buildings on shorefront property cast shadows on some public beaches and dominate them visually. In the Condado and Isla Verde sections of the San Juan Metropolitan Area, tall buildings cast shadows on public beaches favored by sunbathers; during the winter tourist season, large sections of beach are shaded during much of the day. Moreover, these buildings psychologically dominate the beach, deterring from its appeal as a natural recreation site. (See illustration, next page.)

Future development need not cast shadows on public beaches and other coastal areas.

At the winter solstice, when northern shadows are longest, the longest shadow between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. is about 2.9 times the height of the structure. If future buildings are set back that distance, they will not cast a shadow on the publicly owned maritime zone.

Visual domination by buildings can also be avoided. There is a subjective element to determining when buildings dominate beaches. In general, however, structures appear to confine space when they exceed the upper limit of the normal cone of vision of a person looking forward. The cone of vision has a relationship of approximately 1:2.1. Thus, a building set back 2.5 times its height would not appear to confine or dominate the beach.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan establishes, as general policy, the avoidance of "...the construction of buildings in beach areas and discourage activities or land subdivision in neighboring areas which would ...prevent free access to and enjoyment of the sun by the citizenry."

(See Policy 17.04.)

*Greater setbacks may be needed in some cases for other purposes, notably for protection of buildings from coastal storm surges. See section of Flooding (including Hurricane Flooding).
ILLUSTRATION OF VARIOUS ANGLES INFLUENCING SETBACK REGULATION FOR COASTAL ZONE

DISTANCE FROM BASE OF THE BUILDING MEASURED HORIZONTALLY TO THE MLWL

A-COASTAL ZONE: THE SHORELINE SETBACK

Planning Regulation No. 4, the Zoning Regulation, was amended late in 1975 to require new buildings to be set back from the maritime zone a distance of at least 2.5 times their height. This requirement applies in all zoning districts. Provision is made for exceptions in cases of small pre-existing lots, ports, and other specified cases.
E. PROTECTING AND ENLARGING PUBLIC BEACH HOLDINGS

FINDINGS

The narrow strip of beach accessible to the public is often too small for optimum recreational use. Even at little-used rural beaches, recreational opportunity may be enhanced by assuring public access to shady areas beyond the vegetation line. At intensively used beaches, still more space is needed for parking and other facilities. The narrow beach strips accessible to the public at many locations are insufficient to satisfy these needs.

There are opportunities to enlarge the publicly accessible areas, principally by acquiring shorefront property at the time of development.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

Acquiring shorefront land and facilities at coastal developments.

Developers have sometimes dedicated shorefront property to the Commonwealth. They have done so, for example, in conjunction with the establishment of coastal roads (e.g., Levittown). The dedication may also be specifically for recreational use (e.g., Parque Barbosa in Santurce; the recreation spaces in the Vacia Talaga project as approved by the Planning Board in 1975).

Planning Board Regulation No. 9, the Community Facilities Regulation, obligates developers to furnish sites and facilities for recreation in new developments. The regulation does not, however, establish special requirements for shorefront projects. No provision requires (or even explicitly authorizes) the substitution of coastal recreation space and facilities (e.g., parking lots, bath houses) for the baseball fields and playgrounds normally required. Although the Board authorized such a tradeoff at Vacia Yalega, this was done solely as an exercise of discretion.

Need: Refinement of Regulation No. 9 for coastal situations. Planning Regulation No. 9 should be amended to make explicit provision for beach recreation space and facilities at shorefront developments.
F. PROVIDING SERVICES AND FACILITIES FOR BEACH USERS

FINDINGS

There are 13 "full service" recreational beaches (balnearios) on the Island. At these beaches, identified on Map 11, the Commonwealth's Public Parks and Recreation Administration (PPRA) provides one or more services (e.g., lifeguards, first aid, beach cleaning) or facilities (e.g., parking lots, changing houses, rain shelters). These beaches occupy 20.85 kilometers of the coast.

Most of the Island's recreation beaches, however, including many intensively used urban ones, are largely unserviced. Vast stretches of beach are simply common property accessible to the general public, but without facilities and largely or wholly without services. This is true not only of relatively out-of-the-way beaches in rural areas, but also of intensively used urban ones.

It is neither feasible nor desirable to provide a full range of recreational services and facilities at all beach areas accessible to the public. The cost of providing full services and facilities would be prohibitive. At the 13 balnearios, beach cleaning costs PPRA about $900,000 a year and occupies a staff of 245. In any event, many people enjoy—or even prefer—a beach experience without fences, lifeguards, and rain shelters.

It is accordingly essential that the beach access plan establish, as already recommended, priorities for providing additional beach facilities and services.

Early attention needs to be given to the problem of beach litter. Beach litter detracts from public enjoyment of many beaches. Although it is a problem even on many little-used beaches, it is acute at those (other than PPRA's balnearios) used intensively for recreation. Examples include Boca de Cangrejos and Mar Chiquita. Recreational boating has brought the problem even to offshore islands such as Cayo Icacos off the east coast.

Given competing demands for funds, there is little likelihood that optimum trash pickup can soon be provided for all 109 kilometers of potential recreation beaches. Nevertheless, there are some opportunities to improve responses to the litter problem.

---

The "Extension of facilities and services might, in addition to direct costs, subject the Commonwealth to additional liability—and thus costs—for personal injury to beach users. The legal and other aspects of this issue may need to be explored."
RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

1. Establishing clear responsibility for beach cleaning

Divided, unclear responsibility is a principal obstacle to adequate cleaning of the beaches (other than those in custody of PPRA):

The Department of Natural Resources has legal custody of all the public coastal areas "left over" after specific assignment of some areas to PPRA, the Ports Authority, and other public entities.

Municipalities are responsible for collecting solid waste (including litter) from beaches within their borders, according to a regulation of the Environmental Quality Board. 2

The Tourism Development Company, in cooperation with the Right to Work Administration, has a beach brigade which cleans beaches between the Condado and Boca de Condado in the San Juan Metropolitan Area.

Legislation proposed in 1973 by the Environmental Quality Board would create a Solid Waste Management Authority which would conduct a beach litter control program. Under bylaws proposed by EQB, the Authority would assume beach cleaning functions. 3

Need: DNR assistance in beach cleaning. Until a Solid Waste Authority is established, DNR should assist the municipalities in carrying out their beach cleaning responsibilities. Areas of intensive cleaning should be selected by DNR, in cooperation with the municipalities concerned, the EQB, and PPRA.

2. Providing adequate funds for beach cleaning manpower and equipment

DNR's 1976 budget for beach vigilance and cleaning, islandwide, was $525,000. The Department's five regional offices have a combined staff of 120 assigned to beach cleaning and surveillance. These staff members have only rakes and other simple equipment. Trash collected by DNR staff is normally removed in trucks belonging to the municipalities.

A Solid Waste Authority was recently created by Commonwealth legislation. However, the law which created the Authority does not provide for beach cleaning.
Use of machines can improve beach cleaning at relatively low cost. In 1974, the Puerto Rico Industrial Association donated a beach cleaning machine to the Tourism Development Company (TDC). For the past 3 years, TDC's beach brigade has used this machine 5 days a week to clean beaches in metropolitan San Juan. Experience with the machine has been positive: beaches are being cleaned more often, and at a fraction of the cost and time required by manual labor.

Need: Additional beach cleaning machines, manpower. Adequate funding should be made available for the purchase of additional beach cleaning machines and the hiring of manpower to operate them.

Need: Experimentation with renting beach concessions. Renting beach concessions to private individuals, contingent on their cleaning the beach in the concession area, warrants experimentation in areas where adequate public pickup cannot soon be provided. The granting of such concessions is authorized, subject to numerous safeguards, by Law No. 36 of September 27, 1949 (28 LPBA sec. 18); areas subject to such concessions must be open to the general public. Adoption of regulations governing the use of beaches, presumably including concessions, is authorized by section 16(2) of the Planning Board Organic Act.

3. Litter reduction

Need: Litter reduction measures. Since trash cannot be picked up frequently from all beach areas, especially those that are remote and those where rocks or vegetation preclude use of beach cleaning machines, litter reduction measures are essential. Such measures should include:

..Persuasion: There should be a continuing public education campaign.

..Assistance: Many more trash containers should be placed at beach sites convenient to the public; and better arrangements for emptying them should be made.

..Enforcement: The anti-litter law (Law No. 21 of September 4, 1969) should be enforced.

..Incentives: The use of returnable bottles and cans should be encouraged.
Puerto Rico’s native wildlife species are limited. Of an estimated 200 native bird species, 13 are endemic (found nowhere else). There are an additional 94 local breeders as well as 72 migrants and 21 strays. Four species that once flourished in Puerto Rico are now extinct. Other animal species include: turtles (5 species), snakes (7), toads (2), frogs (15), lizards (31), bats (15), whales (6), the menace, and numerous fish species. Five predator species have been introduced: the mongoose, the dog, the cat, and two species of rat.

The Federal endangered species list, established pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, includes a number of species and subspecies found in Puerto Rico. Species and subspecies listed as of September 19, 1977, are shown below:

Endemic to Puerto Rico:
1. Puerto Rican Whip-poor-will (Caprimulgus nocticotherus)
2. Puerto Rican Parrot (Amazona vittata)*
3. Puerto Rican Boa (Epicrates inornatus)
4. Yellow-shouldered Blackbird (Agelaius xanthomus)

Non-endemic:
5. Plain Pigeon (Columba inornata xantomi)
6. Hawkbill Turtle (Eretmocheles imbricata)
7. West Indian Manatee (Trichechus manatus)
8. Leatherback Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea)
9. Brown Pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis)
10. American Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus)
11. Humpback Whale (Megaptera novaeangliae)
12. Sei Whale (Balaenoptera borealis)
13. Finback Whale (Balaenoptera physalus)
14. Sperm Whale (Physeter catodon)
15. Atlantic Ridley Turtle (Lepidochelys)

The listing and delisting of endangered species and subspecies is an ongoing process, however. Recent rulesmaking, effective August 22, 1977, has added the Culebra Island giant anole (Anolis roosevelti) to the endangered list and designated critical habitat for the species. A proposed rule, published May 26, 1977, would determine threatened status and critical habitat for three Mona Island species—the Mona boa (Epicrates monocins), the Mona blind snake (Typhlops monocins), and the Mona ground iguana (Cyclura steinbergi).

*The near extinction of the Puerto Rican Parrot is the most celebrated example of endangerment in Puerto Rico. Driven from the lowland by land clearing and heavy hunting, the remaining 17 birds (census, November 1972) are restricted to the upper areas of the Caribbean National Forest known locally as El Yunque.
The Commonwealth Department of Natural Resources issued a more extensive list of endangered species in 1973. This list was prepared by a committee of Federal and Commonwealth officials and representatives of private groups. Species endangered in Puerto Rico are included on the list, whether or not they are endangered elsewhere. According to this list, 28 species are very endangered, 33 endangered, 15 on the verge of being endangered, 9 status undetermined, and 3 peripheral. Of these, 17 species and 5 subspecies are endemic to Puerto Rico. The committee report also grouped the animals by causes of their endangerment, which include habitat destruction or disturbance, hunting, predation by mongooses and rats, and pesticides or other chemicals.

Endangered, including unendangered as well as endangered species, represents a significant natural resource. The importance of wildlife is recognized in the Commonwealth's new Wildlife Law (Law No. 70 of May 30, 1976):

This law has the purpose, through modern scientific approaches relative to the preservation of these resources, of attaining an adequate balance between the rights of the citizens to carry out activities related to the hunting of animals and the needs of the State to avoid, as a result of urban and economic development, the continuous extermination of wildlife species with habitats in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

Although the decline of wildlife in Puerto Rico may be attributed to several causes, habitat destruction is the most important. The drainage of marshlands in the Lajas Valley, for example, damaged the rich aquatic environment that supported wild bird populations. Other important threats to wildlife are hunting, mongoose and rat predation, and the use of chemicals. All of these occur in the coastal zone as well as in the remainder of the island.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, has established, as a general policy, the avoidance of "activities and land subdivision which could cause the deterioration or destruction of...habitats of endangered species." (See Policy 18.03)
implementing the policies

A. PROTECTING HABITAT AGAINST DISRUPTION

1. Public ownership and custody

The Commonwealth Department of Natural Resources has custody of some significant wildlife habitats on the coast. Examples include the Cuatia Forest and the Boqueron Bird Refuge. Other Commonwealth agencies and corporations own important habitat, at Baco de Cangrejo and Caño Tiburenes, for example.

The Federal Fish and Wildlife Service has two small National Wildlife Refuges located on the coast: Cabo Rojo Refuge (580 acres) in extreme southwest Puerto Rico, and Desachao Refuge (360 acres) at Desachao Island. The Federal military bases on Vieques and at Roosevelt Roads also provide important habitat protection.

Because of the high costs, there are few opportunities either (1) to acquire additional private lands for inclusion in nature reserves or (2) to obtain transfer of custody to DNR from Commonwealth corporations that now control significant habitat areas. It would clearly be desirable to provide additional protection in these ways, however, particularly for the sites of species on the Federal endangered list.

2. Management and protection

The Conservation Program on Endangered Species is a cooperative agreement submitted by the Commonwealth Department of Natural Resources to the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service in October 1976. This would establish a protection and management program for the species on the Federal list.

It is anticipated that in the future when a delayed joint study by the DNR and WWF/US to survey sea turtle nesting sites gets under way, the results of this study will make it possible to formulate policy which designates certain areas of beaches as critical environmental areas where people will be restricted during specific times of the year which, in turn, will lead to the establishment of enforcement procedures, the initiation of a public awareness program, and vigilance of selected areas by the Ranger Corps—all aimed at protecting endangered sea turtles.
Need: Designation of Natural Reserves. Since resources available for wildlife management are limited, it is useful to select particularly important habitat areas where management efforts may be focused. Most of the Natural Reserves recommended in Chapter 4 are of wildlife interest, as explained in Appendix C.

3. Development controls

The Planning Board's policy against disruption of the habitat of endangered species is to be applied, to public as well as private property, via the development control system described in Chapter 4, as well as in evaluating applications for Federal and Commonwealth permits.

It is impractical to identify in advance every site to which this policy applies. Case-by-case evaluation of the impact on wildlife of proposed development accordingly remains essential. A few sites where habitat preservation should clearly be a paramount objective are recommended in Chapter 4 for future designation as Natural Reserves.

Moreover, section 7 of the Federal Endangered Species Act, requires Federal agencies to assure that actions authorized, funded, or carried out by them do not jeopardize the continued existence of threatened and endangered species, or result in the destruction of adverse modification of the "critical habitat" of such species. For some listed species, the yellow-shouldered blackbird, for example, there have been formal rulemaking proceedings to designate the specific geographic area(s) considered critical for survival of the species. Such a "critical habitat" designation can be an aid to Federal agencies in carrying out their responsibilities under the Act.

4. Zoning

The Planning Board's Zoning Regulation is being applied in at least one instance for the specific purpose of protecting habitat: a limited area in Cedro was zoned R-0 in 1975, to protect the habitat of the Plain Pigeon.
B. PROTECTING WILDLIFE AGAINST INAPPROPRIATE HUNTING

1. Regulations

Pursuant to the Commonwealth's new Wildlife Law, the Department of Natural Resources has prepared draft regulations governing hunting. Among other innovations, these regulations:

a. Specify species that may be hunted; the hunting of all other species is prohibited. This regulation protects all species on the 1973 Commonwealth list mentioned above (except for minor discrepancies, which reflect new knowledge obtained since the 1973 list was prepared).

b. Close some areas to all hunting (e.g., portions of Vieques that are breeding grounds for the white-crowned pigeon and white-cheeked pintail).

2. Enforcement of regulations

Responsibility for enforcement of hunting regulations in Puerto Rico is assigned to DNR's Division of Fish and Wildlife. At the Federal level, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service has an enforcement officer stationed in Mayaguez and the National Marine Fisheries Service has an enforcement officer stationed in Aguadilla.

Need: Enforcement. Strengthened Commonwealth enforcement efforts should be undertaken as part of the improved management capability described in Chapter 4.

COASTAL WATERS

INTRODUCTORY FINDINGS

Puerto Rico's coastal waters are a resource of incalculable importance. They are essential for economic transportation of goods to and from the Island. They serve the cultural and biological function of isolating the Island and giving Puerto Rico a special identity. They provide a major recreation resource for Puerto Ricans and for the tourism industry. They are the source of supply for a potentially important fishing industry. They support other coastal resources such as dunes, beaches, reefs, and mangrove wetlands. They serve as a final disposal ground for many of the Island's wastes. And they are part of the earth's largest unexplored wilderness—a great recreational, scientific, and industrial frontier.
Coastal waters include freshwater lagoons and swamps and saltwater bays and lagoons, as well as the ocean. Laguna Tortuguero is the largest remaining freshwater lagoon on the Island, and there are only a few freshwater swamps, such as Caño Tiburones and several Pterocarpus swamps. Salt water lagoons include Laguna Torrecillas, Laguna Piñones, Laguna Grande, Laguna Aguas Frias, and Laguna Joyuda. Of the numerous bays, only one is located on the north coast: San Juan Bay. Other principal commercial bays include Guanica, Jobos, and Guayanilla. And there are four principal bioluminescent bays, two on the south coast of Puerto Rico, and two on Vieques.

To assure that coastal waters keep serving their varied essential functions, there must be continuing attention to four principal problems. These problems are discussed in the following sections:

A. Reducing pollution from municipal and industrial waste discharges;
B. Reducing damage from oil spills;
C. Minimizing erosion and sedimentation; and
D. Minimizing environmental damage from dredging, diking, and filling.

A. REDUCING POLLUTION FROM MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WASTE DISCHARGES

FINDINGS

Ocean water quality has apparently been improving in recent years. According to the limited available data, ocean waters comply with water quality standards in most areas. Most violations occur where streams discharge to the ocean and near municipal and industrial waste discharges.

Water quality of bays and estuaries is much poorer. Several principal bays (San Juan, Mayaguez, Guayanilla, Guanica) and estuarine areas (Rio Grande de Loiza, Rio Grande de Arecibo, Rio Grande de Anasco) fail to meet water quality standards for one or more established criteria.

Municipal waste discharges are a major source of pollution. Wastes from nearly all island municipalities, island as well as coastal, reach coastal waters relatively undiluted and without having been completely broken down biologically. Twenty-five of the 43 coastal municipalities discharge municipal wastes directly to waters within the coastal zone. Of the 25, nine (San Juan, Arecibo, Isabela, Mayaguez, Aguadilla, Arroyo, Guayanilla, Ponce and Vieques) discharge directly to the ocean or bays; treatment varies from zero (wastes from 5 towns are still untreated) to secondary treatment.
Industries (including power plants) are also principal dischargers. Numerous industries discharge wastes directly to coastal waters after varying levels of treatment.

Discharge of heated waters is most serious at the thermoelectric complexes on the island (Puerto Nuevo and Palo Seco in the San Juan Metropolitan Area, Guayanilla, and Aguadilla). Toxic industrial wastes, such as those from pharmaceutical plants, are also a special problem. Some industries, notably north-coast pharmaceutical plants, have their wastes barged out to sea (42 miles north of Arecibo) and discharged there under an EPA-issued ocean-dumping permit. This ocean dumping is subject to termination.

POLICY
All wastewater discharges shall comply with Federal and Commonwealth regulations. Federal and Commonwealth water quality standards, as well as the regulations and programs established to achieve them, are incorporated as part of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY
The Environmental Quality Board (EQB), which has principal responsibility within the Commonwealth government for implementing this policy, works closely with:

- The Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA)—the Commonwealth agency that builds and operates "municipal" wastewater treatment facilities in Puerto Rico.
- The Puerto Rico Planning Board, which approves sites of treatment plants and sewer lines.
- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)—the Federal agency that administers the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. EPA sets minimum effluent standards for municipal treatment facilities and industrial sources, although the EQB, through its water quality standards, could set higher restrictions. EPA also grants permits to dischargers; sets requirements for Commonwealth water quality planning; and administers a construction grants program for municipal sewage facilities.
1. Establishing water quality standards and discharge limitations.

Water quality standards, adopted by the EQB, establish five classifications of waters in the coastal zone (See Map 14):

Class SA, the highest standard, is applied to coastal waters whose existing characteristics should not be altered in order to preserve natural phenomena. Bioluminescent bays at La Parguera and Vieques are classified SA.

Class SB, is applied to coastal waters intended for direct-contact uses, such as swimming.

Class SC, is applied to coastal waters intended for indirect-contact uses, such as fishing or boating. Most ports are in class SC. So are the areas seaward from Class SA and SB waters, which extend only 500 meters out from shore.

Class SD, is applied to surface waters intended as raw water sources for public water supply, and in propagation and preservation of desirable species. All surface waters, including Laguna Tortuguero, are so classified.

Shellfish growth areas may be designated by EQB, although none have been.

The EQB water quality standards also include the following anti-degradation requirement:

Waters, whose existing quality as of the effective date of these regulations, is better than the standards established herein will be maintained at such quality. These and other waters of the Commonwealth will not be lowered in quality unless it has been affirmatively demonstrated to the Board that such a change is justified as a result of necessary economic or social development and will not interfere or become injurious to any assigned uses made of, or presently possible, in such waters. This will require that any industrial, public or private project, or development which would constitute a new source of pollution, or a modified source of pollution, to a high quality water body, possess as a part of the initial project design the best practicable control technology currently available. This decision of the Board shall be preceded by adequate public notice.7

In addition to EQB water quality standards, Puerto Rican coastal waters are protected by Federal regulations governing individual wastewater discharges.

Current Federal law establishes deadlines for secondary treatment of municipal waste discharges and "best practicable" treatment by industries.6 The EQB water quality standards incorporate by reference all applicable wastewater discharge limitations established by EPA under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-500), as amended.
2. **Constructing treatment facilities.**

The EQB and PRASA have established a program, approved by EPA, to construct all needed municipal sewerage facilities. When completed, this program will result in all municipal waste discharges receiving sufficient treatment to comply with the water quality standards established by EQB and waste discharge limitations set by EPA. (See Map 15.) Current efforts will affect 13 of the 25 municipios that discharge directly to waters within the coastal zone. These current efforts include completion of the first stage of regional systems for 2 municipios, expansion of existing facilities for 1 municipio, construction of regional plants for 3 municipios, and final stages of planning for regional systems for 7 municipios.

### B. REDUCING DAMAGE FROM OIL SPILLS

**FINDINGS**

**Oil spills are a serious hazard to coastal waters.** The most common sources of oil contamination of coastal waters are bilge pumping, deballasting of tankers, ship accidents, and vessel operations. During 1975, some 150 oil spills occurred in Puerto Rican waters, mostly small spills of less than 50 gallons each.¹ Major spills occurred in 1969, when the tanker Ocean Eagle broke up in San Juan Bay, and in 1974 when the Colocotronis ran aground off the south coast.

Damage caused by oil spills depends on the quantity and type of oil, the degree to which it has been refined, wind and wave conditions, and the location of the spill. The most serious damage normally occurs in near-shore waters and enclosed bays and estuaries.

Some cleanup procedures may be more destructive than the spill itself, such as the use of detergents or techniques that coagulate and sink oil to the ocean floor.²

**Long term effects of oil spills in the tropical environment are unknown.** The 1974 oil spill off the south coast trapped 750,000 gallons of oil in Bahía Sucia. Large quantities of oil seeped into the sand and mangrove wetlands. Monitoring indicates little or no natural decomposition of the oil remaining after cleanup operations.
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More spills must be anticipated. The existence of several petroleum refineries on the island results in a heavy traffic of tankers loaded with petroleum and petroleum derivatives in Puerto Rico’s coastal waters. Moreover, the Commonwealth is negotiating with major oil companies to allow oil exploration in coastal waters. Puerto Rico must recognize the unavoidable risk of more spills and must be prepared to respond to them.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

1. Preparing oil spill contingency plans

Both the U.S. Coast Guard and EPA are required to maintain national, regional, and local oil spill contingency plans. In Puerto Rico, both agencies use the Oil Spill Contingency Plan prepared by the Coast Guard for Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Coast Guard is currently updating the plan.

The Environmental Quality Board, which also has authority to promulgate an oil spill contingency plan, is currently revising its plan. Until that revision is completed, no effective EQB plan exists.

Need: Updating of EQB contingency plan. As recommended by the citizens’ report, Puerto Rico and the Sea, the EQB’s oil spill contingency plan should be revised and updated to provide for immediate and coordinated action. In addition, the plan should include provisions for coping with other hazardous materials, such as industrial chemicals. Such a plan is potentially an important supplement to the Federal plan.

2. Establishing oil spill liability measures

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, makes tanker owners and operators liable for cleanup costs up to certain prescribed limits (section 311(f)). Liability is unlimited if there is willful negligence or misconduct; but there is no liability if the discharge was caused solely by an act of God, act of war, negligence by the U.S. Government, or the act or omission of a third party. There is also a National Contingency Fund of $35 million, provided by the U.S. Treasury for use of the Coast Guard or EPA in cleaning up spills, or for reimbursement to states of their costs incurred in cleanup. These laws apply to cleanup liability and authorize compensation for damages to natural resources resulting from oil spills but do not provide for post-spill research to quantify the damage.
Under Puerto Rico's Mining Law, companies exploiting mineral resources must pay the costs of cleaning up any oil spills they cause. And under EQB's Water Quality Standards, the owner of any source may be compelled to take necessary steps to control spills of oil or toxic substances. Puerto Rico has not, however, established any fund to pay the costs of oil spill damages. Instead it relies on the Commonwealth's Department of Justice to file suit, against appropriate contingency or compensation funds or against other parties, to recover cleanup costs and damages incurred by the Commonwealth. Individuals damaged by oil spills must seek their own relief.

Competing demands for Commonwealth funds make it unlikely that Puerto Rico can provide funds for cleanup of major oil spills. A number of proposed Federal laws would extend oil pollution liability and compensation rights. Puerto Rico will seek to assure that it is included in any such legislation.

C. MINIMIZING EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION

FINDINGS

Sediment resulting from erosion and runoff inevitably causes some damage to coastal waters. Steep topography, heavy rainfall, and easily erodible soils all contribute to a high erosion potential in Puerto Rico.

Additional erosion results from current agricultural and development practices in coastal areas. Sedimentation is increased by unsuitable agricultural practices, overgrazing of rangeland, and indiscriminate deforestation, all of which help remove the leafy humus base that protects the soil in coastal areas.

Rapid urban development in many parts of the Island has also caused major erosion and sedimentation. Improper cutting and grading practices at construction sites accelerate erosion. So do poor sloping and lack of revegetation on cuts, fills, and ditches, especially in major highway developments.
Resulting impacts include increased flooding, reduced water quality, and an increased need for dredging. Accelerated runoff rates have increased flood hazards. Some lands never seriously flooded now suffer severe damage.

Sedimentation alters the quality and quantity of water supplies. Turbidity affects both the physical and biological characteristics of surface waters and of the coastal waters into which they discharge. The washout and transport of pesticides and other toxic materials into surface and ground waters is also increased. Sedimentation and increased turbidity can also destroy coral reef communities.

Excessive sediment loads in streams are deposited in calm waters, reducing channel capacities and blocking river mouths. This has necessitated constant dredging of the Río Grande de Loiza and channelization at Mayagüez. (Although normal levels of sedimentation have never been established, some deposition is beneficial in the replenishment of beaches and formation of fertile alluvial valleys.)

POLICIES

Commonwealth Law No. 211, of March 26, 1946, which authorized creation of Soil Conservation Districts, found that improper land-use practices were causing erosion of farm and grazing lands. The law established a policy of preserving and protecting these lands which it found to be basic assets of the Island.

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan establishes relevant islandwide policies in its section on protection of natural resources:

"To control those activities and land subdivisions which may adversely affect water quality, particularly in areas for aquifer recharge, and in watersheds contiguous to lakes and reservoirs—activities such as elimination of the vegetative layer, soil movement causing erosion, the excessive use of paving, resulting in increased runoff, and the indiscriminate use of fertilizers and pesticides causing water quality to deteriorate."

(See Policy 18.02.)
IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

1. Conducting programs of education and assistance

The U.S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) operates several programs in Puerto Rico that respond to problems of sedimentation and runoff, including the small watershed program, reforestation, and flood control program. Some of these have been described above in the section on flooding. SCS also has conducted soil surveys in Puerto Rico to facilitate soil conservation efforts in farming practices and as an aid for future development. SCS has classified soils by hydrologic groups which represent the potential infiltration capacity of the soils.

Some 17 soil conservation districts inform farmers of sound soil conservation practices and encourage farmers to use them. With the ratification of Commonwealth Law 211 in 1946, the municipal governments were permitted to establish and finance these districts. The SCS provides technical assistance through these districts to landowners and operators in carrying out locally adapted soil and water conservation programs.

2. Regulating the sources of sedimentation

A variety of standards for grading and other sources of sedimentation are currently in use. The Department of Transportation and Public Works reports reliance on guidelines issued by Federal EPA (Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control Planning and Implementation). The SCS has its own standards. The Planning Board will rely on standards to be derived from the 208 planning process.

Soil Conservation Districts are authorized by Commonwealth law to establish erosion control regulations for their districts and to impose fines on violators. No such regulations have been adopted, although the Puerto Rico Association of Soil Conservation Districts drafted a proposal for a "Sediment Control Program for Non-Agricultural Development."

*The Federal Soil Conservation Service has proposed model legislation governing erosion control from agricultural sources. The SCS proposes that this legislation be adopted by the Legislative Assembly. Implementation would be by Soil Conservation Districts.*
The Environmental Quality Board has prepared draft regulations governing erosion control, as part of its proposed reef-protection regulation, but has not adopted them.

Need: (HIGH PRIORITY): Runoff and erosion control regulation. A runoff and erosion control regulation, broad enough to include coverage of grading as well as other urban and agricultural practices that affect runoff, should be adopted using the joint resources of EQB, DNR, the Planning Board, and the Department of Agriculture. Development of the regulation should be closely coordinated with the EQB's 208 planning process, with particular attention to the "best management practices" formulated during that process.

D. MINIMIZING ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE FROM DREDGING, DITCHING, AND FILLING FINDINGS

Maintenance and harbor-improvement dredging are essential, continuing activities in Puerto Rico. The navigability of harbors is essential to the Island's commerce. To maintain navigability, dredging must combat the results of natural erosion and sedimentation, intensified by man's activities.

The Army Corps of Engineers has conducted several dredging projects in the recent past:

San Juan. Three maintenance dredging projects since 1972: in the first two, 1.5 million cubic yards were removed; in the third, 2.2 million cubic yards. Wastes from all three were deposited 3 miles offshore.

Mayaguez. One project: 100,000 cubic yards, deposited at sea.

Ponce. Two projects: in the first, 200,000 cubic yards of fill were deposited on land. In the second, 100,000 cubic yards were deposited at sea.

Arecibo. One project: 100,000 cubic yards, deposited at sea.

Improvements at the Port of Ponce are to begin in 1978, and improvements at San Juan will be considered for 1979-80. Ocean disposal will only be at designated sites in compliance with ocean dumping regulations (40 CFR 220-227).

Additional dredging has been conducted from time to time for ports, airports, and other coastal-dependent facilities. Although no additional dredging is known to be contemplated at present, it is reasonable to assume that more will occur in the future.
Dredging and filling can create environmental problems. Dredging projects raise legitimate environmental concerns. Dredging may cause pollution at both the removal and the spoil disposal sites. The direct effects of dredging, especially those that are confined to the project area, are generally short term and include: turbidity effects, sediment build-up, removal of substrate materials, and resuspension of solids. In shallow coastal lagoons, however, experience at Laguna Piñones and elsewhere suggests that effects can be more serious and long lasting.

There is little firm data and analysis available concerning the long-term effects of dredging and dredge spoil disposal. The Corps' draft environmental impact statement for maintenance dredging in Puerto Rico noted potential long-term effects including destruction of benthic organisms in the channel and covering of bottom-dwelling organisms at the offshore disposal sites. Destruction of benthic organisms will, in turn, cause changes in water quality in the immediate area, and destruction of adjacent flora and fauna.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

1. Regulating dredging, filling, and construction in navigable waters. Dredging, filling, and construction in navigable waters are subject to a permit program operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to two Federal laws:

   Under the River and Harbor Act of 1899 (sections 9 and 10), the Corps generally requires permits for discharges into wetlands below mean high tide on coastal areas or into rivers, lakes, and streams presently or historically used or susceptible to use for navigation.

   Under Section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-500), Federal permits are required for the discharge of dredged or fill material into all navigable waters, including lakes, streams, rivers and oceans, as well as their tributaries and adjacent wetlands.

   Unlike Section 10 permits, for which the Corps has sole Federal responsibility, permits under the 404 program must conform with discharge criteria established by EPA. Additionally, EPA can prohibit the issuance of a 404 permit if the proposed discharge will have an unacceptable adverse effect on certain environmental areas.
The Commonwealth government also exercises control over activities for which Corps permits are required. This is done through the endorsement program of the Department of Natural Resources. If DNR denies an endorsement, the Corps does not grant permits for dredging, filling, or construction in Puerto Rican waters (42 FR 37138).

Under the Commonwealth Pier and Ports Law of 1968, dredging and disposal activities in port zones also require approval by the Ports Authority.

2. Establishing criteria for dredging.

Need: Additional criteria for dredging and filling. To guide it in deciding whether or not to endorse applications for Corps permits, the Department of Natural Resources will establish the following criteria which will be incorporated into the Coastal Management Program.

Criteria for diking, filling, dredging, and deposit of dredged sediments.

..Criteria for diking or filling. Diking or filling of coastal waters (other than for shoreline structuresa) shall, to the maximum extent practicable, be permitted only where necessary and where there is no less environmentally damaging alternative for: (1) port or airport expansion, national defense or coastal-dependent facilities; or (2) land restoration (e.g., diking to reduce sedimentation or to restore land previously lost because of coastal erosion).

..Criteria for dredging. Dredging of coastal waters shall to the maximum extent practicable (a) minimize the disruption of natural systems, and (b) be limited to the following: (1) port, energy, or national defense facilities; (2) navigational channels, turning basins, vessel berthing and mooring areas, and boat launching ramps; (3) entrance channels or minor deepening of harbor areas for recreational boating facilities; (4) commercial fishing harbors; (5) flood control projects; (6) extraction of sand, gravel, and minerals; (7) other public service purposes (e.g., to restore water circulation) provided that the results are carefully

aShoreline structures are being considered in the shoreline erosion planning process being conducted pursuant to section 305(b)(9).
monitored and evaluated for restorative value. The need for dredging shall be minimized by careful design and location of facilities with respect to existing water depths, water circulation, siltation patterns, and by efforts to reduce controllable sedimentation. Where bottom materials are highly contaminated, dredging or mining shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.

Criteria for deposit of dredged sediments. Dredged sediments meeting criteria specified by EPA for freshwater, estuarine, or marine disposal may be deposited at deepwater sites designated to minimize potential adverse impacts on marine organisms or in fill sites specifically authorized by DNR. Dredge material shall not be transported from coastal waters into mangrove wetlands, estuarine, or freshwater areas for water disposal. Dredged material exceeding approved water quality criteria must be placed either on dry land in a manner that prevents pollution of marine, underground, or surface water or, if land disposal is infeasible or environmentally unacceptable, at designated deep ocean sites (depths greater than 100 fathoms) approved by EPA.¹

COASTAL FORESTS

FINDINGS

The forests that once covered Puerto Rico's coasts are now greatly reduced. At the time of its discovery in 1493, Puerto Rico was nearly 100 percent forested. But only about 20 percent is forested today. Most lowland forests are gone, cleared to make space for agriculture.¹

Of the coastal forests that do remain, most are mangrove wetlands. (See Mangrove Wetlands section above). Other coastal forests of particular interest include (1) Pterocarpus forests, and (2) the dry forest at Guanica.² (See Map 16.)

Pterocarpus forests (Pterocarpus officinalis) exist in several locations in Puerto Rico, although they do not grow naturally in any of the states. Pterocarpus has an impressive root system and forms swamp forests, chiefly on the landward side of mangrove wetlands.

²In addition, a 15-acre grove of Guano trees, located in a PRECO industrial park in Caguas, has been designated by the Government Board as a natural area to be preserved.
Known locations in Puerto Rico include the following six in the coastal study area:

1. Torrecilla Alta - Loiza
2. Río Espíritu Santo area
3. Humacao Pterocarpus Forest - Humacao
4. Palmas del Mar - Humacao (small)
5. Pantano de Besides - Aguadilla (small)
6. Dorado Pterocarpus Forest - Dorado

The Guanica dry forest is a unique resource area. The Guanica Forest, in southwestern Puerto Rico, is a unique natural area, exceptionally fragile, with no counterpart in Puerto Rico. The Commonwealth owns 10,195 cuerdas of the forest in two separate segments separated by Guanica Bay. More than 80 percent of the forest is surface limestone rock. Rainfall is scarce, and temperatures are high.

The 10,195 cuerdas of public land contain a great richness of plant life: 346 genera of plants and trees with 671 species represented. At least 40 of these species, if lost at Guanica, would virtually disappear from Puerto Rico. Sixteen species are endemic, found nowhere else in the world.

Birds are abundant in the forest. Half of all the species of land birds found in Puerto Rico are represented, including 9 of the 16 endemic species. It is by far the richest forest in Puerto Rico in avian fauna. In 1951, the Puerto Rican Whip-poor-will, a bird previously considered extinct from the world, was rediscovered in the Guanica Forest. Today, 300 pairs breed here and nowhere else. The Puerto Rican Whip-poor-will is on the Federal endangered species list.

Recreational use is putting increased pressures on the Guanica Forest. Recreational use of the forest has risen sharply since the mid-1960's. (The forest is now only a 24-hour drive from San Juan, and is easily accessible to, at least, half the Island's population in an hour or less.) Also, users are no longer content to use only the developed beach areas that have traditionally served the recreation needs of the southwest part of the Island; users now seem to be seeking undeveloped beach areas for snorkeling, picnicking, and swimming. Interior areas of the forest are reasonably accessible, but no facilities exist to provide users with alternatives to hiking. No water lines serve the forest, although one is now being built.
A. PROTECTING AND USING THE COASTAL FOREST RESOURCES

1. Public ownership and custody

All Pterocarpus forests in the coastal study area are believed to be privately owned.

Some 10,195 cuerdas of the Guanica Forest are owned by the Commonwealth and administered by the Department of Natural Resources. Another 600 cuerdas are included in the adjacent Bahía Ballena area integrally related to the State Forest. This and several other nearby areas are recommended for public acquisition in a draft management plan prepared by staff of the Department of Natural Resources. (See Map 17.)

Because of the costs, there are few opportunities to acquire additional coastal forest: now in private ownership. Acquisition would clearly be desirable, however, particularly of the areas recommended for future designation as Natural Reserves. (See p. 29.)

2. Management and protection

Need: Natural Reserves. Because of its unique natural features, its important habitat, and its high quality recreational potential, the Guanica Dry Forest, and the adjacent Bahía Ballena area, are recommended in Chapter 4 for designation as Natural Reserves.

So are the following Pterocarpus forests in the coastal zone:

Torrecilla Alta Pterocarpus Forest Reserve
Río Espíritu Santo Reserve
Hemacáo Swamp and Pterocarpus Forest Reserve
Dorado Pterocarpus Forest Reserve

Need: Management plan for Guanica Forest. The Guanica Forest has never had a management plan. A draft plan has been prepared by staff of the Department of Natural Resources, but it has not been adopted. The plan calls for managing the entire forest as a wildlife sanctuary, as well as for appropriate recreational development, with emphasis on birdwatching, wildlife, photography, and other uses suited to the forest’s unique environment.

After evaluating staff recommendations, the Department of Natural Resources should adopt a management plan for the Guanica Forest. Such a plan is needed to respond to increasing recreational demand and, at the same time, protect the unique natural values of the forest.
B. RESEARCH

Need: The Guanica Dry Forest should be regarded as a field laboratory for a wide range of scientific and applied research.

The Scientific Division of the Department of Natural Resources should undertake studies such as the following:

- a. Ecology of life forms found in Cueva de los Murcielagos de Guanica;
- b. A more complete survey of plant and animal life; and
- c. Effects of air pollution on plant and animal forms, particularly insects.

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC SITES

FINDINGS

Puerto Rico's coast includes a rich heritage of historic sites. The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture has designated numerous historic monuments in Old San Juan, as well as 13 historic monuments in other parts of the coast.¹ A revision now under way will add more sites to the Institute's official list.

The National Register of Historic Places, maintained by the National Park Service, includes 13 new sites in Puerto Rico.² Of these, most are on the coastal plain.

There are 20 known archeological sites ("concheros") on the coast, as well as some 15 pre-Columbian settlements whose exact locations have not been determined.³ Also, there are a number of sunken ships in coastal waters.⁴

Known cultural and historic sites, including important shiprecks, are shown on Map 18.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, has established, as general policy:

"To avoid the destruction, mutilation, deterioration, or demolition of important cultural resources such as archeological deposits, historic sites, and/or buildings and others which have been so declared by the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture."

(See Policy 18.04.)

¹As of February 1977, listing of additional sites on the National Register of Historic Places is an ongoing process. Several other sites have already been nominated for possible inclusion in the National Register. Ongoing consultation with Historic Preservation Officer in Puerto Rico, as well as with the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture is expected to result in nomination of additional coastal sites during the implementation phase of the PRCHP.
1. Public ownership and custody

The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture is the Commonwealth agency principally responsible for cultural and historic sites. The agency owns and manages a number of historic and archeological sites, and its staff advises and assists other agencies and private citizens concerned with such sites. Most of the historic monuments on the Institute's official list are owned by the Institute itself, by other Commonwealth agencies, or by municipalities. The rest are owned by the Federal Government or the Catholic Church.

At the Federal level, the National Park Service owns Forts El Morro and San Cristóbal, as well as the old city walls in the Old San Juan Historic Site. The Coast Guard owns four historic lighthouses (Fajardo, Cabo Rojo, Mona, Culebrita).

The Archeological, Anthropological, and Historical Foundation of Puerto Rico, a non-profit corporation, is dedicated to the conservation of Puerto Rico's cultural resources. The Foundation is engaged in research projects, focusing particularly on archeological aspects of the Island's cultural resources. The Foundation also serves as advisor to the U.S. National Park Service, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and other agencies on archeological matters.

Need: Inventory of Archeological sites. Archeological sites have not been completely inventoried. Commonwealth agencies own a few of them; many others are private. There is need for an inventory of archeological sites so that effective measures may be taken to protect them.

2. Development control and regulations

The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture administers Historic District regulations which apply to private as well as public property in the Old San Juan and Ponce Historic Districts.

Sites on the National Register of Historic Places are protected by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, against disturbance by Federal, and Federally financed projects. The Federal Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974, similarly protects archeological sites.
Need: Commonwealth protection of archeological sites. Commonwealth law currently establishes no procedures comparable to those established by the Federal Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974. There is need for enactment of Commonwealth laws or regulations establishing such procedures, particularly because some previously unknown "concheros" may not be discovered until development is under way.
COASTAL-DEPENDENT INDUSTRY

FINDINGS

Some development critical to Puerto Rico's future is likely to be coastal-dependent. Some development must have a coastal location to function at all. Examples include ports, shipyards, and the extraction of coastal minerals (including offshore oil). Some other kinds of development are strongly benefited by a coastal location. These kinds include (1) power plants and other industries that need cooling water or that use very large quantities of imported products, and (2) industries that serve, or are supplied by, water-related industries and therefore seek locations near them.

Commonwealth agencies have identified a number of sites that they believe are potentially suitable for these coastal-dependent industries. (See Map 19)

The Economic Development Administration (Fomento) has designated several sites as potential industrial ports.

In addition, the staffs of the Planning Board and the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company, have tentatively identified other areas suitable for industry.

Energy and mineral extraction needs, discussed in a following section of this chapter, generate additional site demands.

The needs of these coastal-dependent industries can be satisfied at only a few locations on the Island. Sites suitable for the coastal-dependent uses are severely limited because of shoreline configuration and water depth. Most sites suitable for ports lie on the Island's south and west coasts, between Yabucoa and Punta Hicou.

The same scarce locations are also important for other purposes. Natural values, in particular, would conflict with industrial development at some of these locations. Some types of development could, with careful planning, occupy portions of some of these areas without totally sacrificing their natural values. In other situations, sacrifice is unavoidable if development occurs.
Other activities—including some kinds of recreation and tourism, urban development, and industries that do not require a coastal location—are also attracted to some of these critical sites:

...The Tourism Development Company has designated much of the Island’s coast as a zone of tourism interest. ²

...Even activities having no dependence on the coast often prefer a coastal location. Prime examples are urban residential development and the many types of industry not requiring a coastal location. Experience suggests that these activities will, if permitted, occupy scarce sites suitable for coastal industry.

Air and water quality standards, mandated by Commonwealth and Federal law, impose another constraint on the choice of locations for heavy industry. Some of the critical sites suitable for coastal-dependent industry may prove unacceptable because of pollution problems. Also, choices may sometimes have to be made between air and water quality objectives and natural-systems-preservation objectives. Some new industries that might otherwise be concentrated at Guayanilla, for example, may have to go elsewhere because of air pollution problems there.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board’s Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, establishes general policy:

"To avoid the unnecessary loss of options for future use of these resources resulting from the establishment of new activities and from authorizing subdivisions." (See Policy 17.04); and

"To concentrate industries in those areas most appropriate for this use (industrial), and at the same time, to promote the most intensive use possible for these lands." (See Policy 4.00)

More specific policies are also established, for example,

"In those exceptional cases where it is essential to establish industries which pollute (as defined by the ¹¹¹), these will be located in preselected sites, with
adequate provision made to minimize their adverse impact on the environment. (See Policy 4.03).

NEW POLICIES ESTABLISHED. In keeping with the above overall policy, the following more detailed policies are hereby established:

SITEs FOR COASTAL-DEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT

Urban development, including those kinds of industry not requiring (or strongly benefitting from) a shorefront location, shall, to the maximum extent practicable be located away from the shorefront. This is in keeping with the Planning Board policy calling for stimulating development "perpendicular to the coast" in coastal towns.

Coastal Sites designated by the Planning Board as suitable for coastal dependent industries shall, to the maximum extent practicable, be (1) protected against other kinds of development, and be (2) reserved for coastal-dependent industry except in those instances where natural systems destruction is unacceptable.

Sites reserved for coastal-dependent industry, but which are also important from the standpoint of natural values, shall be developed for industry only after the fullest practicable consideration of location and design alternatives available to protect natural systems.

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICIES

A. PROTECTING SITES FOR COASTAL-DEPENDENT INDUSTRY AGAINST OTHER KINDS OF DEVELOPMENT

1. Public ownership and custody

The Commonwealth government owns, directly or through public corporations, parts of several sites regarded as appropriate for coastal-dependent development. Examples include public holdings at Cuanico Bay and Jobos Bay.

The citizens' report, Puerto Rico and the Sea, recommended that the Commonwealth "inaugurate an immediate program to acquire full property ownership (or, where sufficient, easements of development rights or other lesser property interests) of the relatively few remaining coastal sites suitable for ports, power plants, and heavy industry."3
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Such acquisition, although the most direct way to protect these sites, is expensive. Competing demands for Commonwealth funds make it unlikely that many critical sites can be acquired far in advance of need. The Commonwealth must rely on the cooperation of property owners, who are likely to benefit from future intensive development of their land, and on development controls.

2. Development controls

The Planning Board relies on the development control process, described in Chapter 4, to prevent inconsistent development of sites deemed suitable for ports and coastal-dependent industry. Adoption of the Objectives & Policies element of the Land Use Plan strengthens the Board in dealing with proposals for inconsistent development.

B. RESOLVING CONFLICTS AMONG INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, NATURAL SYSTEMS, AND AIR AND WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

1. Development controls and regulations

The Planning Board will continue to apply the development control process, described in Chapter 4, to resolve these conflicts. Required Environmental Impact Statements will consider location and design alternatives.

Moreover, many heavy industrial installations are major sources of air pollutants and, as such, subject to section 3.3 of the Environmental Quality Board's air pollution regulation. This section permits major sources of air pollutants only if the applicant shows:

"that the proposed location is at least as favorable, from the standpoint of environmental impact, as any suitable alternative location for the proposed source. In determining environmental impact, the Board shall consider the proximity of population concentrations, topography, microclimate, vegetation, and any other factors which the Board deems relevant. In determining the suitability of alternative locations, the Board shall not limit its consideration to environmental matters but shall consider the full range of economic, technical, and other factors relevant to source location. Due weight shall be given to any available plans and planning studies."46

2. Need: Designation of Special Planning Areas. Some of the areas suitable for coastal-dependent industry appear to present the most important potential use
conflicts in Puerto Rico's coast. Parts of some of these areas are, as already indicated, recommended in Chapter 4 for designation as Natural Reserves; for these areas, it is clear that neither industry nor other development should occur. For other areas, however, it is impractical to decide now how best to reconcile several conflicting objectives. Rather, the need is to assure that use conflicts at these sites receive the special study and attention warranted by their importance. To this end, the sites designated in Chapter 4 as Special Planning Areas include the following in which industrial demand has been felt:

Jobos Special Planning Area
Southeast Special Planning Area

These areas will be the subject of an ongoing research effort intended to provide baseline and other data needed to facilitate evaluation of future development proposals. The research will be conducted as part of Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program with cooperation of the Commonwealth agencies most directly concerned: the Department of Natural Resources, the Planning Board, the Environmental Quality Board, Fomento, and the Water Resources Authority.

SAND FOR CONSTRUCTION

FINDINGS

Puerto Rico's construction industry needs huge quantities of sand. For many years, sand consumption in Puerto Rico increased rapidly in response to the demands of the construction industry. During 1972, the peak year, total consumption of aggregates (sand, gravel, and crushed stone) reached an estimated 6.6 to 8.2 million cubic meters. Reflecting a recession-induced decline since 1972, consumption during fiscal year 1975-1976 was estimated at 5.16 to 6.25 million cubic meters.

For many years, natural sand and gravel deposits were used to satisfy nearly all needs. Beach and dune deposits (as noted in the sections of this report dealing with these features) were exploited to satisfy much of the demand. The remainder came largely from river channel, flood plain, and terrace deposits.

These traditional sand sources are now running out. As early as 1969, a study by the United States Bureau of Mines warned that several deposits then being worked would be
depleted by 1975; by 1990, the only conventional sources remaining would be the few that are naturally replenished. A 1973 DNR study concluded that most dune deposits along the northeast coast would be exhausted even sooner than estimated in 1969.

Today, as indicated in the section on Dunes, the remaining dune deposits along the northeast coast have been largely depleted.

Sands from river channel deposits (which are located in the river beds themselves and are therefore publicly owned) have been extensively worked because the government has not charged the high prices demanded by private landowners. The best of these deposits are gone or are being exploited now. Although natural processes will continue to replenish some channel deposits, the future volume of sand and gravel from this source will fall far short of anticipated needs.

Sands from flood plain and terrace deposits (which were formed during periods of high water when rivers overflowed their banks) still exist in enormous quantities. Most of these deposits are on private land, however, and are available, if at all, only at very high prices. Some of the best agricultural land on the Island, as well as some sites with important potential for urban or tourism development, are included within these flood plain and terrace deposits.

Sand from these traditional sources accounted for about 34 percent of the total sand made available through permits in fiscal year 1975-76. To replace these declining sources, alternative sources must be found to provide some two million cubic meters of sand -- even assuming no increase in consumption levels.

Excessive extraction of remaining natural deposits would accentuate problems of erosion and landform alteration. Sand extraction has contributed to coastal erosion (as noted in the sections on Coastal Erosion and Dunes.) Excessive extraction has also contributed to inland erosion along rivers (e.g., by deepening rivers so much that banks collapse during the rainy season). And extraction from river terraces and other alluvial deposits has often altered the landscapes; sand "workings" have not generally been reclaims for reuse, with the result that lagoons and depressions sometimes remain long after extraction ends.

Excessive extraction of remaining natural deposits would be likely to aggravate these problems.
Manufactured sand, already produced in several quarries, represents one possible alternative to natural sand. Crushed stone for the manufacture of sand in Puerto Rico is available in almost unlimited quantities. In addition to limestone, a variety of volcanic rocks could be crushed to varying sizes as substitutes for gravel, concrete sand, and fine sand to meet the future needs of the construction industry. The extraction process has a relatively small land demand compared to the production potential. Under controlled conditions, dust generation could be kept within environmentally acceptable limits.

Because of higher price levels, several quarries have recently been established, and many of them currently rely on stone crushing to produce increasingly smaller particle sizes. Most of these quarries are located away from the coast, in the interior of Puerto Rico.

Two firms now have capacity to produce the fine particle sizes needed for plastering sand. Production by these firms will partially replace dune sand from Isabela. The prices of manufactured sand are competitive with those of the remaining Isabela deposits mainly for two reasons: first, the manufacturers serve markets that are quite distant from Isabela; second, they produce sand from limestone, which competes successfully with the siliceous sand coming from Isabela because of savings on the mortar mixture.

The specifications for concrete sand can be met more readily because it requires less crushing and is thus less costly to produce than plastering sand; secondly concrete sand does not require a specific type of crushed rock. Location strongly affects the economic feasibility of manufacturing concrete sand; those deposits closer to metropolitan areas will have an advantage because of savings on transportation costs.

Submerged sand deposits are another potential source of sand for construction. Presently untested sand deposits are believed to occur in several submarine locations near the coasts of Puerto Rico and Vieques. There are two general types of submarine sand deposits. The first are nearshore deposits, found in protected waters and near coral reefs. The second are found in deeper water (from 80 to 100 feet) and farther from the shore. Thus far, none of these deposits are being exploited.

Ideally, sand could also be obtained by dredging reservoirs where submerged sediment deposits are causing loss of water storage capacity. These sediments would probably
require some additional processing, however, to remove the great amount of silt that is mixed with the sand.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

DETERMINING FEASIBILITY OF ALTERNATIVE SOURCES

To satisfy construction needs, both sand manufacturing and the extraction of submarine deposits represent important options worthy of exploration. One option is to stimulate additional sand manufacturing. Several economic and geographical factors will need to be considered in evaluating this option. Administrative action and acquisition of land to protect areas which are potential sources for manufacturing sand should also be evaluated. Any program should assure that adequate supplies of sand will be available to all regions of the Island.

Another option is to encourage the extraction of sand from submarine deposits. Four issues need to be addressed before proceeding with submarine extraction:

First, is distribution of the extracted sand. The sand will have to be transported to one or more ports for subsequent inland movement to consumer centers.

Second, is economic feasibility. For any venture to be economically feasible, a large volume of sand will need to be produced. The scale of operations also affects the number of submarine operations that could be operated simultaneously. Even two submarine operations may surpass the consumption requirements for Puerto Rico, creating a need to market surplus sand outside of Puerto Rico.

Third, is Congressional action confirming Commonwealth jurisdiction over submerged lands.

Fourth, is environmental impact evaluation. Possible impacts include: creation of sedimentation basins and subsequent anaerobic bottom waters, physical damage to reefs by dredging equipment, sedimentation of corals, and removal or burial of seagrasses occurring on or adjacent to the mining area.
MINERAL DEVELOPMENT

FINDINGS

Puerto Rico has limited commercial mineral resources. Gold was mined during Spanish colonial days. During the last 100 years, small mining ventures obtained other metals including copper, manganese, iron, and silver. Most of these operations were marginal, based on easily accessible, high-yield deposits of limited size. Within the last 20 years, however, some mineral deposits of commercial value have been discovered.

Construction-related minerals. The extraction of limestone and clay, and particularly sand and gravel to supply the construction industry, has become a major mining activity.

(See the section on Sand for Construction.)

Nickel. Commercial quantities of nickel laterite deposits have been discovered in the Guanajibo Valley near Mayaguez. According to current estimates, this deposit could permit production of some 40 tons per day of nickel oxide for about 25 years. The mining of this deposit could progressively demand up to 1,850 cuerdas. Besides Guanajibo, other deposits of nickel were identified by the U.S. Bureau of Mines in 1959. They are Las Mesas (near Mayaguez), Maricao, and Rosario. However, these deposits (particularly Las Mesas) lie in areas that have been urbanized, thus increasing considerably the cost of mineral extraction.

Copper. Porphyry copper deposits were discovered in the Utuado-Adjuntas-Lares area in the 1930's. Some 243.5 million tons of copper ore reserves assaying 0.75% copper have been estimated. Two large mining companies have sought permission from the Commonwealth government to mine these copper reserves.

Although the copper deposits are in the central mountains, most studies have proposed construction of a smelter on the coast. A refinery and other associated industry may also be located near the smelter.

Copper mining would also have an impact on the coast by using a great deal of water. The mining operation, including the concentrator, smelter, refinery, and sulphuric acid
plant, would use approximately 4.5 million gallons of water per day. Also tailings from
the concentrator would have to be disposed of either at sea or in tailing ponds inland.

Expected output of the smelter is about 151 tons daily, with a yearly output of approxi-
mately 50,000 tons. Approximately one square mile of land area with access to the sea,
would be required for the smelter, refinery, and other facilities.

Besides the proven reserves in the Utuado-Adjuntas-Lares, there are neighboring sub-marginal
copper deposits which have not been explored in detail. There is also a copper ore body
at La Hada (between Caguas and San Juan) and another at Pico La Nova within the El Yunque
Rain Forest. Urban development in La Hada minimizes the possibilities to exploit this
deposit.

Oil. Responding to geologic evidence of offshore oil deposits, major oil companies have
expressed an interest in obtaining rights to explore for oil in Puerto Rican coastal
waters. Proposed legislation (H.R. 7627) would confirm Commonwealth ownership of these
resources, and clarify the right of the Commonwealth to authorize exploration and extraction.
(See discussion above in the section on Reefs.)

The nature of facilities required to support oil extraction, should oil be discovered in
economically recoverable quantities, is not yet clear.

POLICY

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board’s Land Use Plan, quoted in
Chapter 2 above, establishes policy concerning both renewable and nonrenewable resources.
To insure judicious management and utilization of these resources, the policy statement
calls for the identification of these resources and evaluation of potential for damaging
or exhausting them. For development of the resources, the policy statement would:

"...promote the prudent use of the resources identified in this inventory, in a
manner consistent with the conservation of renewable resources, and at the
appropriate time in the case of non-renewable resources." (See Policy 17.02)

As stated above in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry, the Planning Board policy
also calls for maintaining options for future use of resources.

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

The Commonwealth's Mining Law assigns to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) responsibility for granting permission for mineral exploration and extractions. A Mineral Resources Development Corp., administratively related to DNR, has broad responsibility to explore, develop, process, refine, sell, and otherwise utilize Puerto Rico's mineral resources. The Corporation is responsible for the investigation of mineral reserves, and for promoting and guaranteeing the capital investment necessary to achieve their optimum use.

It is not yet clear when nickel, copper, or petroleum extraction may occur. Exploratory drilling for petroleum, however, is now expected to begin as soon as federal legislation clears up present uncertainties about legal jurisdiction over submerged lands surrounding Puerto Rico; this could occur by mid-1978.

Given the Commonwealth's policy of judiciously developing its natural resources, there is need to identify sites necessary for development of mineral resources and to maintain them for possible future use. The section on Coastal-Dependent Industry discusses means of responding to this need.

ENERGY

FINDINGS

Puerto Rico depends almost exclusively on imported oil to satisfy its energy requirements.

Less than two percent of the Island's energy is produced by hydroelectric units. Petroleum, all of it imported, was used as follows during calendar year 1977:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Million Barrels</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>19.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>61.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>56.56</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exports</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.44</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (imports and change in inventories)</strong></td>
<td><strong>108.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Historically, Puerto Rico has depended upon, and greatly benefitted from, inexpensive foreign oil imports—principally from Venezuela.* The availability of low-priced energy was one of the key elements of the island's industrial promotion program.

Cheap oil also brought three refineries to the island: the Caribbean Gulf Oil refinery at Bayamon, on the north coast; the Sun Oil refinery at Yabucoa, on the southeast coast; and the Commonwealth Oil refinery at Ponce, on the south coast. These refineries, with a combined capacity of 283,000 barrels per day, met all of the island's needs, as well as producing refined products for export. By 1978, the internal consumption is expected to be 200,000 barrels per day, permitting exports of about 75,000 barrels per day.

Through the years, Puerto Rico's electrical energy demand paralleled the growth of the island's economy. The installed electrical generating capacity grew approximately 152 annually, during the 1960's and early 1970's, rising from 995,000 kw in 1965-66 to 3,000,000 kw in 1973-74.

The 1973 oil price increase drastically changed the energy situation for Puerto Rico. Previously cheap foreign oil supplies suddenly became more expensive than U.S. domestic oil, greatly increasing the cost of energy production and consumer prices. Increased energy costs, combined with a severe downturn in the economy, resulted in a rapid decline in the rate of increased energy usage. In 1973-74, energy usage increased only 2.9%, and in 1974-75 it declined 1.7%. 1975-76 showed an increase of 3.7% from the previous year. An increase of 5 percent to 6 percent

---

* Puerto Rico received special treatment in 1959 and 1965 under the U.S. quota system for the importation of crude oil from foreign sources.

** The Phillips refinery, on the south coast, processes naphtha.
annually is anticipated for the coming decade. If this estimate is correct, it
will be necessary to construct one or two generating units with a capacity of
450 MW to 600 MW each during the 1980's.

The Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority (PRWRA) is the sole supplier
of electric power in Puerto Rico. PRWRA was created to develop and
utilize Puerto Rico's energy resources and to generate and distribute
electricity at the lowest possible cost. Electricity is now available
to more than 89% of the Island's residents. Since the PRWRA cannot interconnect
with other electrical systems outside Puerto Rico, it must provide a large reserve
capacity to insure adequate system reliability. PRWRA's electrical generating
capacity is as follows: 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Unit</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total System Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil-Fired Steam</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3058</td>
<td>69.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Turbines</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>10.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Cycle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>13.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jets</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroelectric</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4388</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Puerto Rico has limited alternatives to continued use of imported oil
for production of electricity. Importation of electric power from outside
the Island is not feasible. Essentially all hydroelectric sites are
already being used. 6 No significant potential for geothermal heat
energy is known to exist on the Island. There are no known reserves
of natural gas, and shortages of this fuel make importation (except for

6 An opportunity has been identified for a pumped storage system, with a
capacity of 2,000 megawatts, in the Rio Grande de Manati (known as
the Matacanes-Sanjuanito pump storage project).
limited use of bottled gas) impracticable. Nor are there any known coal reserves; rising petroleums prices make the use of imported coal an economically viable alternative. The search for offshore oil has already been mentioned. Despite these severe limitations, Puerto Rico is searching for viable alternative energy sources. Nuclear energy is one possible alternative, although high capital costs and potential environmental and safety hazards have so far impeded its use. Other alternative sources are being studied, and pilot projects being undertaken, but they offer small opportunities for any immediate impact on Puerto Rico's energy situation. Among the alternate energy sources being studies are energy and material recovery from solid waste; ocean thermal energy conversion; biomass; solar cooling and heating; and wind turbine generators. 6

POLICIES

A. Policies Already Established

1. Islandwide Land Use Policies

The Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2 above, establishes general policies that apply to many energy-related facilities:

"To avoid the unnecessary loss of options for future use of these resources resulting from the establishment of new activities and from authorizing subdivisions." (See Policy 17.04). (In the case of a possible power plant site, for example, this policy would call for avoiding the loss of options that would result from residential development of the site.)

"To concentrate industries in those areas most appropriate for this use (industrial), and at the same time, to promote the most intensive use possible for these lands." (See Policy 4.00)

More specific policies affecting energy facilities are also established:

"In those exceptional cases where it is essential to establish industries
which pollute (as defined by the EQB), these will be located in pre-selected sites, with adequate provision made to minimize their adverse impact on the environment." (See Policy 4.03)

"To identify and reserve lands for the location of infrastructure projects which, by virtue of their size and complexity; possible adverse impact on the environment; or special requirement (i.e., ports, airports, energy plants, water supply facilities or regional sanitary treatment plants), need very particular or scarce sites, following these criteria:

- Use for infrastructure projects which are major air pollution emitters, (as defined by the EQB) or which could have some other significant adverse environmental effects, such as odors, or noises. Only those places where adverse environmental impact would be minimal due to the area's natural conditions, and where the use of adjacent lands are not, nor could be, those which might be adversely affected by the infrastructure.

- Not to use, insofar as possible, lands of major agricultural productivity (with the exception indicated in Policy 8.01); floodable areas (except that mentioned in Policy 11.00), lands where important natural resources are found, or which are environmentally critical." (Policy 16.00)

2. Policies on Coastal-Dependent Development

In keeping with the above overall policies, the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program (in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry) establishes more detailed policies, which affect many types of energy-related facilities:

"SITES FOR COASTAL-DEPENDENT DEVELOPMENT

...Urban development, including those kinds of industry not requiring (or strongly benefiting from) a shorefront location, shall, to the
maximize extent practicable, be located away from the shorefront. This is in keeping with the Planning Board's policy calling for stimulating development 'perpendicular to the coast' in coastal towns.

...Coastal sites designated by the Planning Board as suitable for coastal-dependent industries shall, to the maximum extent practicable, be (1) protected against other kinds of development, and be (2) reserved for coastal-dependent industry except in those instances where natural systems destruction is unacceptable.

...Sites reserved for coastal-dependent industry, but which are also important from the standpoint of natural values, shall be developed for industry only after the fullest practicable consideration of location and design alternatives available to protect natural systems."

B. Additional Policy Making

Law No. 128 of June 29, 1977, which created the Puerto Rico Energy Office, directs that Office to "formulate and maintain a document which shall state in full the Energy Policy of Puerto Rico and the specific measures for its implementation." The Energy Office is currently formulating a draft of the Energy Plan, which is scheduled for public hearing by January 1979. The Governor, by Executive Order, may thereafter approve the plan and give it legal effect.

IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY

A. INSTITUTIONAL REORGANIZATION

Public Law No. 128 of June 29, 1977, consolidates energy-related functions in the Puerto Rico Energy Office. Key powers and duties of the Office include the following:

1. To submit a detailed annual report to the Governor on the energy situation of Puerto Rico, including recommendations of possible government actions to ensure adequate energy supplies.
2. To develop, propose and coordinate the implementation of policies related to energy-resource planning.

3. To develop short- and long-term energy conservation plans for Puerto Rico and to oversee their implementation.

4. To promote research and evaluations of fossil-fuel and alternate energy sources, particularly solar, thermal, and nuclear energy.

5. To recommend procedures for the preparation of energy impact surveys, similar to environmental impact surveys.

6. To provide liaison with Federal agencies responsible for energy matters.

7. To adopt and enforce rules and regulations.

8. To organize an Energy Advisory Committee for the evaluation of energy problems related to industry and the public interest.

B. DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENERGY PLAN FOR PUERTO RICO

As already pointed out, the Energy Plan for Puerto Rico is expected to be submitted for public hearings by January 1979. Principles underlying the plan are expected to include the following:

1. Assume the availability of energy supplies.

2. Obtain the lowest possible economic and environmental costs for energy.

3. Minimize dependency on outside fuel sources and decisions and thus reduce uncertainty in our decision-making processes.

4. Minimize waste, thus achieving better energy-use efficiencies.

5. Stimulate the creation of new forms of employment in industries related to the generation of energy.

6. Encourage private sector participation in the development of new sources and energy-efficient conversion technologies.
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By law, the plan must be "worked out in harmony with" the Planning Board's Integral Development Plan. An Advisory Committee on Energy, whose members include the heads of several affected Commonwealth agencies, will provide linkage with those agencies.

C. PROTECTING SITES SUITABLE FOR POWER PLANTS AND OTHER ENERGY RELATED FACILITIES

In the early 1970's, PRHRA had to abandon plans for a nuclear-powered generating station at Aguirre, on the south coast, largely as a result of geologic and environmental problems at the proposed site. Thereafter, PRHRA reviewed potential sites for generating facilities and submitted 15 potential sites to the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) for approval pursuant to the environmental protection criteria in section 3.3 of EQB's air pollution regulation (set forth above in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry). EQB approved five: (See figure below)

1. Punta Riguera, Rincon
2. Islotes, Arecibo
3. Punta Hanati, Hanati
4. Quebrada del Toro, Isabela
5. Aguirre (conditional on clarifying EIS)

A planning process that can anticipate and manage the impacts from energy facilities in or affecting the coastal zone is being developed pursuant to Section 305(b)(8) of the CZMA, and is scheduled for public hearings in August 1978. Discussion of the need to reserve coastal-dependent sites, including sites for energy facilities, is included in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry.
D. CONSERVATION MEASURES

As part of the comprehensive energy plan of Puerto Rico, the Office of Energy has prepared an Energy Conservation Plan. Federal funds were made available to design programs to implement energy conservation measures. The plan focuses on a variety of near-term programs to increase energy efficiency, including:

1. Revising thermal, lighting, and building codes.

2. Instituting government procurement systems that favor energy-efficient equipment.

3. Decreasing excessive government use of electric power.

4. Conducting educational/promotional programs.

5. Conducting energy audits in the residential and commercial sectors.

6. Developing transportation measures to reduce traffic congestion.

E. ALTERNATIVE ENERGY RESOURCES

As discussed above, Puerto Rico is almost entirely dependent on petroleum as an energy source. The Energy Office has undertaken an evaluation of alternative sources of energy for Puerto Rico. Among the projects under study by the Energy Office on alternative energy sources are:

1. Development and use of solar energy.

2. A plan for the installation of an ocean thermal energy conversion system to generate electric energy in Vieques.

3. Generating energy through the use of solid waste and biomass.

4. Development of an energy information system and an energy model for Puerto Rico.

5. An analysis of the economic feasibility of energy sources (oil, coal, nuclear) for electrical generation in Puerto Rico.
RECREATION

FINDINGS

The coast of Puerto Rico offers a rich variety of recreational opportunities. The coastal features of Puerto Rico are so varied that they offer, at least potentially, something for everyone. Beaches (discussed in the section on Beaches) are the most widely known recreational attractions. Others include mangroves, lagoons, freshwater swamps, rocky coastlines, scenic vistas, islands, coral reefs, marine life, and the open sea.

The diverse recreational experiences now or potentially available range from deep-sea fishing to shellfishing, from water skiing to sunbathing, from waterboat racing to picnicking. In addition to beach- and water-based recreation, there are opportunities for camping, hiking, birdwatching, and other activities that take advantage of the uniqueness of the coast.

The 1977 revision of Puerto Rico's Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP) identifies 2,049 developed recreational sites — 1,825 provided by the public sector and 224 by the private sector. There are 13 beaches with facilities; 38 water areas (sands, bays and islands) — 25 with minimum facilities (boat ramps and public access) and 13 with full facilities (harbors); and 2 marine-related facilities.

*Detailed information on recreation can be found in the 1977-80 Puerto Rico Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP).*
Recreational development in Puerto Rico has traditionally focused on active, competitive sports. Facilities for such sports as baseball, softball, basketball, track and field are in great demand, and recreational development has tried to satisfy these demands.

One consequence of this emphasis has been to provide relatively more recreational opportunities for youth than for other segments of the population. Young children, most adults, the elderly, and the handicapped find limited facilities available.

Another consequence is that Puerto Rico still has enormous unrealized potential for coastal recreation; the network of fine recreational beaches ("balnearios") responds to only a small part of that potential (See Map II). There remains great opportunity to foster aquatic sports, for example. And there is perhaps an even more important opportunity to provide for "environmental" recreation—"the enjoyment of scenery, for example, and nature study, and other ways of appreciating unspoiled natural resources of the coast.

Participation in water-based recreation, both active and passive, has increased significantly in recent years. More Puerto Ricans are participating in water-based recreation activities, such as boating, swimming, sport fishing, surfing, snorkeling, scuba diving, and underwater photography. Citizen interest in these activities is likely to continue growing with increasing urbanization and rising incomes. This rising citizen interest, coupled with the continuing importance of water-based recreation to tourists from outside the island, increases the need to provide more supply for varied coastal recreation.

Puerto Rico is renowned for its international fishing tournaments on the high seas. Recreational boating and sport fishing have expanded rapidly; the number of marinas and shops for marine equipment has also increased.
A. PLANNING, DEVELOPING, OPERATING PUBLIC FACILITIES

The Public Parks and Recreation Administration (PPRA) is the principal Commonwealth agency responsible for recreation. The Recreation Development Company (RDC), administratively attached to PPRA, develops recreational facilities, including parks, beaches, picnic areas, athletic parks and facilities, marinas, pools, and hunting and sport fishing facilities. Once these facilities are constructed, RDC transfers them to PPRA for operation.

PPRA is the lead agency responsible for Puerto Rico's Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP), originally issued in 1970 and revised in 1972. Other agencies principally involved in review and development of that Plan were RDC and the Federal Bureau of Outdoor Recreation (BOR), now the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service. The current version of the plan, covering the period from 1977-80 was submitted to BOR in March 1977.

Other Commonwealth agencies that administer recreation facilities, or otherwise contribute to the formulation or implementation of recreation policy, include the Planning Board, the Department of Housing, the Department of Public Instruction, and the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture, and the Department of Transportation and Public Works. The Department of Natural Resources regulates sport fishing and hunting and is responsible for recreational development of Commonwealth forests.

B. ACCESS TO THE COAST: BEACH FACILITIES AND SERVICES

Better access to the coast is needed to improve coastal recreation opportunities, including recreational fishing. So are improved beach facilities and maintenance, particularly beach cleaning. Both these needs are discussed in the section on Beaches.

C. ENCOURAGING PRIVATE INVESTMENT IN RECREATION FACILITIES

Public investment for recreation must satisfy the needs of broad groups of people, particularly people who cannot provide facilities
for themselves. Specialized facilities for smaller groups (e.g., marinas for pleasure boats) can normally be provided, if at all, only through private investment. The possibility of granting beach concessions to encourage private investment (subject to stringent regulation, including compliance with statutory requirements that any concession areas be open to the general public) is noted in the section on Beaches. In the interests of enriching recreational opportunity, the possibility of granting such concessions should be evaluated.

D. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOR RECREATIONAL FISHING

A program for sport fishing is conducted by the Department of Natural Resources, which also operates a fish nursery at Marieló.

Research on the development of marine-recreational fishing was initiated through the Dingell-Johnson Program in 1955. In 1973, the Fishery Development and Research Program conducted a survey on sport fishing in Puerto Rico.

At present, the National Marine Fisheries Service is conducting a socio-economic study of recreational fishing in the United States Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico.
TRANSPORTATION

FINDINGS

The transportation network is a major determinant influencing the location of urban and industrial development. Increasing mobility and an increasing number of automobiles have paralleled Puerto Rico's economic growth in the last three decades. The number of automobiles on the island increased from 60,000 in 1950 to more than 900,000 today. The highway network has been greatly expanded to serve increasing demands. New expressways, in particular, increase accessibility of many parts of the coast from urban centers. In some cases, however, the highway network has contributed to urban sprawl and the necessity of long commutes to places of work.

The primary surface network is around the periphery of the island, through the relatively flat coastal plains, although an expressway connecting the north and south coasts has recently been built across the interior of the Island. Goods movement on-island is mainly by highway. (See Map 20).

Buses, "publicos" and taxis provide public transportation. Bus service in the San Juan Metropolitan Area is provided by a public corporation, the Metropolitan Bus Authority. Private bus companies provide service between large cities, such as Caguas and San Juan, and within the remaining large cities, such as Ponce.

"Publicos" (public cars) serve travelers throughout Puerto Rico. Publico service is characterized by its flexibility, rapid service, frequent trips, and relative moderate cost. The quality of service is usually unsatisfactory, however, on Sundays, holidays, and during off-peak hours.

Taxis complement the public transportation service.

The bicycle is envisioned as an important part of the transportation network. A 1975 Bicycle Transportation Study, prepared for the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW), proposes a 24-kilometer bikeway along the coast in the San Juan Metropolitan Area. The Bicycle Transportation Study is now under review by DTPW and the City of San Juan.

Water-based transportation, already an important part of the transportation network, is envisioned to play an even more important role in the future. At present, the Port Authority
provides ferry service between Old San Juan and Catano; Farjardo and Culebra; and Fajardo and Vieques. Renewed efforts to clean up the Martín Pena Channel and eventually provide water transportation throughout the metropolitan area are under study by the Commonwealth.

Ports and airports are critical elements of the transportation system. Puerto Rico imports most of its foodstuffs, as well as manufactured goods and raw materials. Airports are also especially important to the tourism industry. (Industrial and commercial ports are discussed in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry.)

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

A. PLANNING AND DEVELOPING TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

Current responsibility within the Commonwealth for transportation planning and development lies with several agencies:

...the Planning Board guides overall transportation planning as part of its comprehensive, Island-wide planning process. The Objectives and Policies of the Planning Board’s Island-wide Land Use Plan recognizes the importance of infrastructure to the efficient utilization of the Island’s resources. (See Chapter 2.)

...the Department of Transportation and Public Work (DTPW) is the agency assigned responsibility for transportation. As such, it is responsible for policy formulation and long range detailed planning.

...the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is the agency assigned responsibility for transportation. As such, it is responsible for policy formulation and long range detailed planning.

...three public corporations — the Highway Authority, the Ports Authority, and the Metropolitan Bus Authority — act as the operational arms of DTPW. These agencies have development authority for their respective functional area.

6The Constitution Bridge in the Martín Pena Channel sector has been recommended for designation as a Natural Reserve. First priority for this sector, within which the natural area is located, is the Martín Pena Channel Project. The size of the natural area to be recommended as a natural reserve will depend on the development of the Martín Pena Channel project.
...coordination among the agencies is accomplished through Policy Committees of the Metropolitan Planning Organization which reviews transportation programs and major projects. The Committee includes representatives from Federal agencies (HUD, FHWA, and URTA), from Commonwealth entities (Planning Board, DIPU, Government Development Bank, Public Service Commission, Regulations and Permits Administration, Ports Authority, Highway Authority), as well as the mayors of several municipios.

B. PREPARING TRANSPORTATION PLANS

The Puerto Rico Planning Board, in cooperation with relevant agencies and local authorities, prepared the National Transportation Needs Study for Puerto Rico and submitted it to the U.S. Department of Transportation in 1971. This report was the first multi-agency attempt toward the development of a coordinated, comprehensive transportation policy and program for Puerto
Rico. The study covers the period from 1970 to 1990, and includes general goals and objectives as well as specific ones for the various sectors—ports and airports, highways, and mass transit. A revised report was submitted in 1975.

In addition, the Ports Authority has prepared an Airport Systems Plan which was submitted to the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration.

Highway plans have recently been prepared by DTPW for the major urban areas along the coast: the metropolitan areas of San Juan, Ponce and Mayaguez. The Land Use and Transportation Plan for the metropolitan area of San Juan, prepared and adopted in 1971 and revised in 1973, is being updated by DTPW and the Planning Board. Draft plans for metropolitan Ponce and Mayaguez are being reviewed, and it is anticipated that they will be approved in the near future.

D. TRANSPORTATION SAFETY MEASURES AND PROGRAMS

Two Federal agencies — the Federal Aviation Administration and the U.S. Coast Guard — maintain transportation safety devices in Puerto Rico. In addition, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Federal Highway Administration are involved in highway-related safety programs.

COMMERCIAL FISHING

FINDINGS

Puerto Rican waters cannot sustain high biological productivity. Puerto Rico is located in a tropical fishing zone characterized by a diversity of species, rather than commercially important quantities of single species; deep waters low in nutrients; and an insular shelf which is narrow and without upwelling.

Most fishing here is of an artisanal type with average annual production of only 3,000 pounds per fisherman. According to data obtained from the Office of Fishery Statistics of the Department of Agriculture, fish production in Puerto Rico was 5.9 million pounds in 1976. Fourteen percent of the catch was shellfish; 86 percent fish. There were 2,000 fishermen (1,230 full-time) and 865 boats, most of them small (less than 20 feet long and less than 21 HP motors). These were distributed among most of the coastal municipios, including the islands of Vieques and Culebra. The highest production was obtained in the west coast (32%), followed by the east and south coasts (40%), and 6% in the north coast.

Recreational fishing is discussed in the section on recreation.
Puerto Rico also has a tuna fishery industry which is considered one of the biggest in the world including 5 processing plants. About 55 domestic boats fish in the Pacific and in the North and South Atlantic. In 1977, these boats caught a total of 61,000 tons of tuna.
Aquaculture of marine organisms can be considered another form of commercial fishing. A project to cultivate oysters was carried out in Puerto Rico, and a privately operated shrimp nursery is located on the coast in Aguada.

RESPONDING TO THE FINDINGS

A number of established programs promote and assist the fishing industry in Puerto Rico: The Commonwealth Department of Agriculture promotes fishing in several ways.

...the program for Fishery Credit conducted by the Agricultural Credit Corp., has granted fishermen loans from $50 to $100,000, to buy boats and equipment. Total loans average $250,000 annually.

...the program for the Construction of Fishing Ports constructs fishing facilities around the island, including ramps, piers, storage buildings, cold-storage rooms, and ice plants. Annual expenditures range from $50,000 to $1,000,000.

...the Program for the Selling of Fishing Products and Materials established in the 1950's, owns stores that sell materials and fishing equipment (motors, fishing rods, fish hooks, etc.) to fishermen at reasonable prices.

...the Program for Fishing Development and Research, created in 1966 using Federal and Commonwealth funds, has established a system to keep data and statistical analyses which are basic for fishing promotion in the Island. In addition, the Program has carried out fishing explorations, demonstrations of techniques and fishing equipment, fishing training, aquaculture studies, marine culture, and economic studies about commercial fishing in Puerto Rico.

...under the Shrimp Aquaculture Project, the Department has made grants and loans to Caribe King Shrimp, Inc. for aquaculture of the shrimp Macrobrachium rosenbergii.

...The Incentive Program for the Commercial Fishing Industry provides incentives for the purchase of fishing boats.

Through the Department of Labor's Agency for Community Action, 17 fishing projects have been established, in which fishermen's associations have been organized. The Agency aids them by providing boats, administrative services (e.g., accounting) materials, and equipment. The investment of the Federal and Commonwealth Governments exceeds 10 million dollars for the first three years of operation.
Two elements of the University of Puerto Rico have programs related to fishing:

At Mayaguez, there is an academic program for marine sciences at the master's and Ph.D. levels.

The University also has been working for more than six years, under contract with the Department
...the Humacao Regional College started a marine sciences program this year, at the bachelors level. The College also has a Sea Grant Program which orients and educates coastal communities for better preservation and use of the natural resources of the coast. The communities in which it has worked have included the fishing communities of the east coast of the Island.

The Department of Education, through its Program of Vocational Education, has established a small fishing school in Arroyo. In the past, the Department has also offered short courses for fishermen on such subjects as mechanics and maintenance of outboard motors.

The Department of Natural Resources is responsible for granting commercial fishing licenses and keeping records of fishing boats. DNR also has a program to study the aquatic ecosystems of inland waters in Puerto Rico, including the dynamics of the biological population of the lagoons and rivers.

The Caribbean Fishery Management Council is responsible for preparing fishing management plans for the area within 200 miles of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Council, one of eight councils in the United States, was established under the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976.

The Council is currently preparing two fishing management plans (for lobster and fish of coral reefs). These plans include data about biology, ecology, and use of the species, as well as sociological and economic data about the fishery. In addition, the plans will provide options for fishery management, will determine standards for evaluating fishing activities, and will recommend areas to be preserved in their natural condition for the benefit of local fishing.

Because Commonwealth programs concerned with commercial fishing are spread among several agencies, it has been difficult to focus governmental efforts and avoid duplication. In his State of the Commonwealth message at the beginning of 1978, Governor Carlos Romero Barcelo called for the unification of fishing-related programs in a single entity. Legislation to accomplish this reorganization is currently being prepared.

Several measures already recommended will assist fishing as well as serving other needs. For example, provisions for better access to the coast (see section on Beaches) will assist fishermen, who find many of their traditional access points blocked by development. Also, the
designation of Special Planning Areas will assist careful allocation of areas for fishing and other needs. (e.g. Boqueron Bay, in Cabo Rojo, which is suitable for oyster cultivation, but also for tourism).

The new entity may also be able to deal more effectively with some longstanding problems. Attention should be given, for example, to pollution control in shellfish breeding grounds. Also, there is need throughout the island for community education of the kind now being provided along the east coast by the Sea Grant Program of the Humesco Regional College.
CONCLUSION

In its examination of the principal coastal problems of Puerto Rico, this chapter has identified a number of needs: modifications of established programs or new measures that need to be taken. Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program, described in the next chapter, attempts to satisfy many of these identified needs. It is useful, therefore, to recall here all of the needs identified in Chapter 3, as well as new policies established or recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COASTAL PROBLEMS</th>
<th>NEW RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Measures Effective on Approval of PRCHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOODING</td>
<td>Additional New Measures Needed During Implementation Phase of PRCHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOLOGIC HAZARDS</td>
<td>Public education program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refinement of Reg. No. 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate Maps for coastal hazard areas — HIGH PRIORITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL EROSION</td>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Planning process to meet OCZM requirements will be submitted by Oct. 1, 1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehensive study of coastal erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEFS</td>
<td>Prohibition of coral extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designation of Natural Reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Completion of reef inventory — HIGH PRIORITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HANGROVES</td>
<td>Designation of Natural Reserves Amendment of Reg. No. 13 to prohibit mangrove alteration Mangrove management plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New policies: Special protection for mangrove wetlands Designation of Special Planning Areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This table includes only new measures, which become effective on approval of the PRCHP, or are needed during implementation of the PRCHP. For measures already in effect, see the text of Chapter 3.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COASTAL PROBLEMS</th>
<th>NEW RESPONSES</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL NEW MEASURES NEEDED DURING IMPLEMENTATION PHASE OF PECHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEACHES</td>
<td>New policy: Access to Federal beaches hereafter declared surplus</td>
<td>Statute requiring registration of crown grants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Rights</td>
<td>Public Access</td>
<td>Beach access inventory, -identify “inmemorial” rights of way. -Beach access plans; element on: -need for acquisition -feasibility of providing passage. Regulation or statute on access dedication requirement. Statute to reaffirm servidumbre de vigilancia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squatters</td>
<td>Squatters</td>
<td>Housing for squatters (without the use of CZM funds).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadows and Visual Obstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Beach Holdings</td>
<td>Refinement of Reg. No. 9 (Neighborhood Facilities Regulation).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDLIFE</td>
<td>Designation of Natural Reserves Better enforcement of regulations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL PROBLEMS</td>
<td>NEW RESPONSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Measures Effective on Approval of PRCMP</td>
<td>Additional New Measures Needed During Implementation Phase of PRCMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL WATERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Oil Spills</td>
<td></td>
<td>Updating EQB's oil spill contingency plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Erosion &amp; Sedimentation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Runoff and erosion control regulations - HIGH PRIORITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Dredging, diking, filling</td>
<td>Criteria for diking, filling, dredging, and deposit of dredged sediments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL FORESTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Desighnation of Natural Reserves Management plan for Guanica Forest Research in Guanica Forest (field lab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CULTURAL &amp; HISTORIC SITES</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inventory of archeological sites Law or regulation to protect archeological sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL DEPENDENT INDUSTRY</td>
<td>New policies: Sites for coastal-dependent development Designation of Special Planning Areas</td>
<td>Detailed management plans for Special Planning Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAND FOR CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feasibility study on alternative sources. See also, DUNES, above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINERAL DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>New policies (from section on Coastal Dependent Industry)</td>
<td>Identify and protect potential sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COASTAL PROBLEMS</td>
<td>NEW RESPONSES</td>
<td>ADDITIONAL NEW MEASURES NEEDED DURING IMPLEMENTATION PHASE OF PRCMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENERGY</td>
<td>New policies (from section on Coastal Dependent Industry)</td>
<td>Identify and protect sites for coastal-dependent facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Planning process for energy facilities to meet OCZM requirements will be submitted Oct. 1, 1978)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECREATION</td>
<td>Improved beach access, facilities, and maintenance — see Section on BEACHES, above.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renting beach concessions — see section on BEACHES, above.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>Feasibility studies (without the use of CZM funds).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL FISHING</td>
<td>Feasibility studies (without the use of CZM funds).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOOTNOTES TO CHAPTER 3

Flooding


3. Planning Board, Propuestas, pp. 61.


5. Ibid.


Reefs

1. Additional information on reefs can be found in "Los Arrecifes de Coral de Puerto Rico," unpublished report prepared in 1974 by the staff of the Coastal Zone Management Project.


5. Ibid.

Mangrove Wetlands

1. Additional information on mangrove wetlands can be found in "Los Sistemas de Manglares de Puerto Rico," unpublished report prepared in 1976 by the staff of the Coastal Zone Management Project.


5. The table is adapted from Lugo, Ariel E., Mangrove Classification and Principles of Management, draft report prepared for the Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources Coastal Zone Management Project, 1976.

Beaches - Introduction


Beaches - A. Assuring the Public's Legal Right to Use the Beaches Themselves

1. Ley de Muelles y Puertos de 1968, 2103(a).


3. This recommendation first appeared in Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 59-60.

Beaches - B. Public Access to the Coast

1. Citations of Carreteros and other cases.)

2. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 62


5. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 62

6. (citation for Carrero Balsam conditioned approval)

7. (citation for Rio Mar project requirement on access)

8. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 63

9. This policy is derived from the California Coastal Plan. Precadent for this type of requirement may be found in Calif. Public Resources Code, Sec. 27403(a); Calif. Subdivision Map Act (Govt. Code, Sec. 66410).


13. Letter from Wallace Gonzales Oliver, Secretary of Justice, to Enrique Salas Claquell, President of the Planning Board, April 24, 1972. See also Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 60.

15. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 60.

Beaches - C. Removing Squatters from the Coast:

Beaches - D. Protecting Beaches Against the Shadows and Visual Domination of High-Rise Buildings
2. The San Juan City Edges Project, p. 65.
3. Ibid.
4. Puerto Rico Planning Board, "Emiendas adoptadas al reglamento de sonificación," Reg. No. 4, December 13, 1975, Sec. 57.01

Beaches - E. Providing Services and Facilities for Beach Users
1. ESB, Environmental Report, 1971, p. 5-5
2. ESB, Regulation for the Control of Solid Waste, sec. 6.1
3. ESB, Proposed Solid Waste Management Authority, p. 72.
4. Gonzalez Puig, Miguel, Estudio evaluativo de la factibilidad de implementación de un sistema de limpieza mecanizada de playas en las costas sur y oeste de Puerto Rico, Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources, August, 1976.
5. Opinion of the Secretary of Justice (Trias Monge) 1956-12.

Wildlife
1. (Great Encyclopedia).
2. (Federal endangered species list).
Coastal Waters - A. Reducing Pollution from Waste Discharges


2. Ibid.


4. See "Energía - uso y implicaciones en Puerto Rico" (EQB) for more information.


6. P.L. 92-500; Sec. 301(b)(1)(A)-(B).

Coastal Waters - B. Reducing Damage from Oil Spills

1. Telephone interview, U.S. Coast Guard Official, San Juan.

2. California Coastal Plan, p. 35.

3. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 74

4. The Federal Deepwater Port Licensing Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-627) created an additional liability fund, the Deepwater Port Liability Fund, Sec. 10 (f) of the Act.

Coastal Waters - C. Minimizing Erosion and Sedimentation


2. Ibid.

3. A similar recommendation was contained in the report, Puerto Rico's Water Resources Problems and Research Needs: Proceedings of Workshop No. 1, DHR and Water Resources Research Institute, Mayaguez Campus University of Puerto Rico, July 10-12, 1974.

Coastal Waters - D. Minimizing Environmental Damage from Dredging, Diking and Filling

1. This policy is derived from the California Coastal Plan.

Coastal Forests


2. Descriptive material and management recommendations abstracted from The Master Plan for the Commonwealth Forests of Puerto Rico, Department of Natural Resources, October 1976, pp. 51-70.

3. DHR, Area of Planning and Evaluation of Resources, Master Plan for the Commonwealth Forests of Puerto Rico, October 1976
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Cultural and Historic Sites

1. Information from the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture.
3. Information from the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture.
5. Information from the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture.

Coastal-Dependent Industry

1. (Citation for UWA application for power plant sites).
2. (Citation for Zones of tourism interest)
3. Puerto Rico and the Sea, p. 60
4. EQB, A Regulation for the Control of Atmospheric Pollution, amended version, 1974, Sec. 3.3.3.

Sand for Construction

1. See Environmental Report 1971, EQB for discussion of other alternatives for sand for construction.

Energy

2. Ibid
4. Bonnet and Perez, supra.

Transportation
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THE COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM: PRINCIPAL ELEMENTS

As Chapter 3 makes clear, Commonwealth and Federal programs already address many of the problems of Puerto Rico's coast. The main thrust of the Coastal Management Program is to extend, refine, and improve those programs, particularly the Commonwealth programs, so that they respond more effectively to coastal problems.

Many of the needed measures have been described in Chapter 3. In discussing reefs, for example, Chapter 3 identified a need for a regulation barring coral extraction. And in discussing flooding, it identified a need for mapping coastal high hazard areas. A full list of the identified needs appears in the Conclusion section of Chapter 3.

Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program described in this chapter, includes most of the needed measures identified in Chapter 3. (A few are omitted, for reasons that are explained in each case.) Instead of grouping the measures by problem areas (e.g., reefs, flooding), however, this chapter groups them under four broad program elements: Guiding development on public and private property; Active management of coastal resources; Promoting coastal development; Research.

The Program described in this chapter includes additional elements as well. Besides the needs identified in Chapter 3, opportunities to increase program effectiveness are to be found in ongoing measures such as training and enlarging professional staffs and streamlining administrative procedures. Also, because several Commonwealth agencies will need to work together to carry out the Program, an organizational change is needed: establishment of a Coastal Management Unit in the Department of Natural Resources. Needed measures, such as these, are included in Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program.

The first section of this chapter deals with the first broad program element: Guiding Development on Public and Private Property. The first portion of this section provides a description of development guidance activities already established, including the four Commonwealth agencies principally responsible for those activities. The remainder of the section describes the relevant elements of the Coastal Management Program: policy and regulatory refinements as well as procedural and organizational changes.

The second section of this chapter deals with the second broad program element: Active Management of Coastal Resources. Established activities are described first, followed by program elements intended to improve their effectiveness.
The third and fourth sections of the chapter deal with the two remaining program elements: Promoting Coastal Development, and Research. For convenience, a summary of Chapter 4 is included in Figure 1.

Figure 4-1

THE COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM: PRINCIPAL ELEMENTS

I. GUIDING DEVELOPMENT ON PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PROPERTY

1. ONGOING ACTIVITIES: FOUR COMMONWEALTH AGENCIES RESPONSIBLE FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT

THE PUERTO RICO PLANNING BOARD
THE REGULATION AND PERMITS ADMINISTRATION
THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PROCESS OF RPA AND THE PLANNING BOARD
THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD
THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
LINKAGES ASSURING CONSISTENT ACTION IN GUIDING DEVELOPMENT

2. IMPROVING COASTAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDANCE

A. REFINING THE POLICIES AND REGULATIONS THAT GUIDE DEVELOPMENT

Policy making in more detail
Mangrove wetland protection: policies established
Beach access: policies established
Coastal-dependent development: policies established

Refining regulations and criteria
Runoff and erosion control (HIGH PRIORITY)
Community facilities along the shorefront
Access dedication requirements
Floodable areas
Dredging and filling

Site specifications: Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves
Detailed policies, plans, and regulations for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves

B. PROCEDURAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE: THE COASTAL MANAGEMENT UNIT

Preparing detailed policies and plans for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves
Proposing additional Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves
Participating in the review of development proposals within Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves and within other portions of the coast

II. ACTIVE MANAGEMENT OF COASTAL RESOURCES

1. ONGOING ACTIVITIES: THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND OTHER AGENCIES PARTICIPATING IN MANAGING COASTAL RESOURCES

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
OTHER AGENCIES
2. IMPROVING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN COASTAL AREAS

A. BUILDING UP FIELD SERVICES AND FACILITIES

Training and enlarging field staffs
Providing equipment for field staffs
Instructing children and adults about natural systems of the coast
Planning for field services and facilities
Establishing concentrated management areas

B. ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES

The Coastal Management Unit
Preparing detailed plans for field services and facilities
Coastal overview, monitoring, grant administration

The Ranger Corps ("Cuerpo de Vigilantes de Recursos Naturales")

C. ESTABLISHING A SYSTEM OF NATURAL RESERVES

Preparing legislation

D. CLARIFYING AND EXTENDING PUBLIC PROPERTY RIGHTS IN COASTAL RESOURCES

Buying additional coastal property

Clarifying public property rights
Legislation for registration of exclusionary crown grants
Legislation reaffirming validity of vigilance easement

Obtaining public access rights to surplus Federal beaches
Policy established

E. OTHER MEASURES TO PROTECT COASTAL RESOURCES

Adopting additional regulations: coral extraction; sand extraction; squatter communities; archeological site protection

Updating oil spill contingency plan

III. PROMOTING COASTAL DEVELOPMENT

FEASIBILITY STUDIES OF ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF SAND FOR CONSTRUCTION

IV. RESEARCH

1. POLICY RESEARCH

Coastal erosion hazards, beach access, geologic hazards

2. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDIES

Alternative sources of sand for construction

3. RESOURCE AND HAZARD INVENTORIES

Mapping of coastal high-hazard areas (HIGH PRIORITY)
Complete inventory of coral reefs (HIGH PRIORITY)
Detailed resources inventories of Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves
Field laboratory activities in the Guanica Forest
I. GUIDING DEVELOPMENT ON PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PROPERTY

Guiding development on public and private property is one emphasis of the Coastal Management Program. Responsibility for guiding development, on the coast as in the rest of Puerto Rico, falls principally to four Commonwealth agencies:

The Puerto Rico Planning Board, which, for more than a generation, has played a uniquely powerful role in guiding land use and in integrating the programs of Commonwealth agencies.

The Regulations and Permits Administration, established in 1975, to handle building and use permits and some other operational duties previously handled by the Planning Board.

The Environmental Quality Board, established in 1970, whose responsibilities include the establishment of environmental public policy, as well as pollution control and the processing of environmental impact statements.

The Department of Natural Resources, operating since 1973, which has a number of operational functions pertaining to conservation and natural resources.

These four agencies are described below, with emphasis on their development guidance powers and programs and on principal linkages among them. "The Development Control Process of RPA and the Planning Board" is summarized following the description of the Regulations and Permits Administration. This is followed by a description of program refinements included in the Coastal Management Program.

*aIn addition to the four principal agencies, other agencies play a role in guiding coastal development. The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture, for example, exercises historic-district controls in Old San Juan and Ponce. And, the Culebra Conservation and Development Authority exercises controls in Culebra.*
1. ONGOING ACTIVITIES: FOUR COMMONWEALTH AGENCIES RESPONSIBLE FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT

THE PUERTO RICO PLANNING BOARD

The Puerto Rico Planning Board, created in 1942, derives its principal powers from the Puerto Rico Planning Board Organic Act, Law No. 75 of June 24, 1975. The Board seeks to coordinate the activities of all governmental sectors so as to accomplish an integral, balanced development of Puerto Rican society.

Administratively attached to the Office of the Governor, the Board has 3 members (and one alternate member). The Governor appoints all the members and names one as Chairman. The Chairman, in addition to his other duties, serves as executive director of the agency. He is empowered to designate one of the other members as vice-chairman.

The Organic Act requires the Board to adopt three principal kinds of planning documents:

a. The Integral Development Plan of Puerto Rico. This is to set forth overall strategies for integral development. The plan (and its future amendments) become effective when adopted by the Board and approved by the Governor (Sec. 13).

b. Land-Use Plans. These are to be adopted by the Board, although they may be prepared either by the Board or by other governmental entities. After a land-use plan is adopted by the Board and approved by the Governor, compliance with the plan is mandatory: "Every work or project to be performed by any person or entity shall be consistent with the recommendations of the land-use plans..." Land use plans also provide a basis for zoning maps. (Sec. 14). (See Map 22)

*The term "land" is defined by the Planning Board Organic Act (Sec. 3) to include water as well as land.
c. The Four-Year Investment Program. This is to assure Planning Board control over the plans
and programs of the other agencies. The Act specifies (in Sec. 15) that "All the government
bodies shall submit to the Planning Board their respective functional and/or operational
programs of 4 years, which the Board shall integrate upon preparing and adopting the
Four-Year Investment Program...no government body shall develop any work, project, or
investment not contemplated within the Program adopted by the Board, unless said work,
project, or investment be authorized by the Governor."

Several statutory provisions are intended to assure that property, both public and private, is
not used in conflict with adopted plans. First, the Law (Sec. 21) bars any improvement, acquisi-
tion, sale, or change in the use of public property unless its proposed location, nature,
and extent are free from conflict with the integral development plan, the land-use plans, and
the four-year investment program. Second, as already noted, Sec. 14 requires "every work or
project to be performed by any person or entity" to be consistent with the recommendations of
approved land-use plans. Third, the Board is given broad regulatory powers (Sec. 16). The
Board is directed to adopt the following regulations:

a. Zoning regulations, which may be applied anywhere in Puerto Rico. (Former law restricted
zoning to urban and certain other areas.) One of the several elements that may be
included in the regulations is designation of areas to be preserved for farming and for
natural areas (Sec. 16(1)).

b. Regulations on Control of the Use of Beaches, Bathing Resorts, and other Bodies of Water
(Sec. 16(2)). No such regulation has been prepared or adopted, however.

c. Subdivision Regulation. The law directs the Board to take into account, among other
matters, the desirability of avoiding subdivision in areas not ready for development because
of a lack of public facilities; distance from other built-up areas; the agricultural
importance or exceptional beauty of the land; or other analogous social, economic, physical,
or environmental deficiencies (Sec. 16(3)).

The possible benefits of such a regulation—for example, to encourage private investment
for the development of publicly-owned beaches—have been noted in Chapter 3, in the
sections on Beaches and Recreation.
In special cases, where application of regulations would be impractical and where approval of a project would be undesirable because of factors such as health, safety, order, public improvements, more adequate use of the lands, environmental conditions, or exceptional beauty, the Board may deny authorization for projects even if they comply with applicable regulations (Sec. 17).

**Plans adopted by the Board.** The Objectives and Policies element of the Board’s Islandwide Land Use Plan, quoted in Chapter 2, was formally adopted by the Board on June 8, 1977, and approved by the Governor on June 22, 1977. The Board has also adopted, in principle, Urban Expansion Plans for each municipality (except those in the San Juan Metropolitan Area). A draft of the Integral Development Plan is currently being reviewed by the Board. This Coastal Management Program document will, by virtue of its adoption by the Board and approval by the Governor, constitute the coastal element of the Land Use Plan.

**Regulations adopted by the Board.** A number of planning regulations have been adopted by the Board. Some of these apply throughout the Commonwealth, while others apply only in limited areas. The more important of these regulations are the following:

Reg. No. 3: **The Subdivision Regulation**, controls land subdivision everywhere in Puerto Rico. It exempts only subdivisions in rural areas creating lots of at least 25 cuerdas each, for agricultural use.

Reg. No. 4: **The Zoning Regulation**, controls development in any area for which the Board has adopted zoning maps. Much of the coast is subject to the Zoning Regulations (see Map 23); the remainder, though unzoned, is subject to other development controls as described below in the section on "The Development Control Process of RPA and The Planning Board."

Reg. No. 7: **The Building Regulation**, establishes a building code applicable everywhere in Puerto Rico.

Reg. No. 9: **The Neighborhood Facilities Regulation**, prescribes the improvements that subdividers must provide in new developments. The regulation applies everywhere in Puerto Rico.

Reg. No. 11: **The Simple Subdivision Regulation**, provides standards, which are often less strict than those otherwise applicable under Regs. No. 3 and 4, for "simple" subdivisions (those containing no more than 10 lots and requiring only "simple" public facilities).

Reg. No. 12: **Regulation for the Certification of Construction Projects**, provides for the certification of construction plans by architects and engineers as a means to facilitate issuance of construction and use permits.
Reg. No. 13: The Floodable Areas Regulation, restricts construction in areas subject to flooding. It applies to floodable areas everywhere in Puerto Rico.

"A-95" Agency. The Planning Board derives additional control powers by virtue of its designation as the Commonwealth's clearing house for purposes of U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95.⁶

Judicial Review of Planning Board Decisions. Planning Board decisions are subject to judicial review as described below in "The Development Control Process of RPA and the Planning Board."

THE REGULATIONS AND PERMITS ADMINISTRATION

The Regulations and Permits Administration (RPA), created in 1975, derives its power from its Organic Act, Law No. 76 of June 24, 1975. The agency was established to handle permitting and other operational duties previously handled by the Planning Board.

RPA is headed by an Administrator, who is appointed by the Governor. Permitting lies at the heart of RPA's duties. Under the RPA Organic Act (Sec. 17), no building may be built, altered, or moved, nor may any facilities be installed, nor may any land be subdivided or developed or urbanized, without authorization of RPA. The Administrator may dispense with permit requirements, however, for certain buildings in those unzoned areas having population densities of no more than four families per cuerda (Sec. 20). RPA may also grant variances in cases of hardship (Sec. 10).

RPA exercises additional powers delegated to it by the Planning Board pursuant to its Organic Act (Sec. 11, clause 19). Such delegated powers must be exercised consistently with Board policies and regulations.

Most of the regulations and standards applied by RPA in exercising its powers are established by the Planning Board. RPA does have power to adopt some additional regulations (e.g., those governing simple subdivisions (Sec. 9)). All, however, must be approved by the Planning Board (Sec. 7), except emergency regulations, which must be approved by the Governor (Sec. 15).

⁶As explained in Appendix B, the Planning Board will also have extensive responsibility for Federal consistency procedures established pursuant to section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA).
A five-member Board of Appeals on Constructions and Subdivisions, whose members are appointed by the Governor, hears appeals from certain decisions of RPA (Sec. 30). The Board may not, however, alter any Land Use Plan adopted by the Planning Board or review decisions on project locations or densities. Board of Appeals decisions are subject to judicial review, solely on issues of law, on petition of RPA, the Planning Board, or any aggrieved party.

THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PROCESS OF RPA AND THE PLANNING BOARD

Statutes, regulations, and Planning Board resolutions establish the principal development control process applicable in Puerto Rico. In general, major development is subject to review in all areas. In zoned areas, regulations apply even to minor development (e.g., homes on individual lots).

In zoned areas, all development requires a building permit from RPA. Two principal issues must be resolved before RPA can grant a permit:

First, does the proposed project comply with applicable zoning?

Second, is the project classified, by Planning Board Resolution JFJ-12-1, as one that presents no risk of causing significant environmental impact? (The zoning classification, the nature of the project, and its proximity to natural and other features all affect its classification under this resolution.) For example, a project is deemed potentially significant if it is located within 100 meters of a lake or mangrove, or within 50 meters of a river or other specified feature, or involves leveling of land to a height or depth of more than 3 meters, or is located outside zoning districts specified for each use.

If the project both complies with zoning and is classified as environmentally insignificant, RPA grants a permit. If the project complies with zoning but is not classified as environmentally insignificant, RPA submits the project to the Planning Board for review before deciding to grant or deny the permit. (The Board, if appropriate, seeks advice from the EQB or DNR before making its recommendation to RPA.) If the project does not comply with zoning because of the proposed use or density, no permit can be granted unless the project is reviewed and approved by the Planning Board.

In addition, urbanizations in zoned areas require Planning Board approval under other regulations, including Reg. No. 3, The Subdivision Regulation, and Reg. No. 9, The Neighborhood Facilities Regulation.
In unzoned areas, RPA has waived building permits pursuant to the RPA Organic Act, for many types of development. Most large projects, however, are subject to permit requirements or are otherwise controlled:

Urbanizations (generally, residential projects that create 11 or more lots or condominium units) are subject to discretionary review and approval by the Planning Board, pursuant to Board Resolution P-139. In reviewing these projects, the Board normally determines compliance with the urban expansion plans that have been approved in principle for nearly all municipalities. (After adoption of this coastal program document as an element of the Board’s Islandwide Land-Use Plan, the Board will also determine compliance with this document.)

Simple subdivisions (less than 11 lots) are subject to discretionary subdivision review by RPA pursuant to Planning Reg. No. 11.

Any industrial project, commercial center, hotel, "villa turística," or "trailer camp," requires a building permit, pursuant to a directive by the Planning Board and agreement between RPA and the Planning Board (July 21, 1976, as revised June 8, 1977). Before approval, RPA submits these projects to the Planning Board for review. Although these projects need not be located within urban expansion limits, the Board reviews them for compliance with its Islandwide Land Use Plan. (After adoption of this document as an element of that Plan, the Board will also determine compliance with this document.)

The statute authorizes appeals from control decisions of RPA and the Planning Board. In the case of RPA:

Many decisions are appealable to the five-member Board of Appeals on Constructions and Subdivisions, already described. The types of decisions appealable are specified in Sec. 30 of the RPA Organic Act. Decisions of the Board of Appeals are judicially reviewable.

RPA decisions on housing development cases are also judicially reviewable (on matters of law only). (Sec. 32, RPA Organic Act.)

Certain RPA decisions, taken pursuant to Planning Board delegations, are reviewable in the same manner as Board decisions. (Sec. 32(c), Planning Board Organic Act.)

Additional review procedures for development within Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves established by the Coastal Management Program are set forth below.
Planning Board decisions are appealable as follows:

Decisions disapproving public works projects are appealable to the Governor. (Sec. 21, Planning Board Organic Act)

"Any party aggrieved by an action, decision, or resolution" of the Board, "in its adjudicatory function" may (after seeking reconsideration by the Board) file a petition for review in the Superior Court of Puerto Rico. Review is limited to matters of law. (Sec. 32(a), Planning Board Organic Act)

"Quasi-legislative" decisions, such as the adoption or amendment of regulations, are final, subject only to challenge within 30 days for noncompliance with statutory requirements for adoption or promulgation. (Sec. 32(b), Planning Board Organic Act)

THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

The Environmental Quality Board (EQB) derives its powers from Law No. 9 of June 18, 1970, as amended. The Act establishes a Commonwealth environmental policy virtually identical to that established for the United States by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA).

Administratively attached to the Office of the Governor, the Board has eight members. Five of these are ex-officio: the Secretaries of Agriculture, Health, Natural Resources, and Transportation and Public Works, and the Chairman of the Planning Board. The three remaining members are appointed by the Governor. The staff of the Board is headed by an Executive Director, who is also appointed by the Governor.

Although the EQB has broad responsibilities, two are of particular importance in guiding public and private development of coastal areas:

Environmental impact statements. Law No. 9 requires the preparation of environmental impact statements in connection with governmental actions significantly affecting the environment. Responsibility for administering the requirement is assigned to the EQB. The requirement of Law No. 9 is substantially identical to the one contained in NEPA, and the administrative responsibilities of EQB for impact statements are comparable to those of the U.S. Council on Environmental Quality and EPA.
Pollution control. The EQB is the Commonwealth agency responsible for air and water pollution control. It adopts and administers pollution-control plans, standards, and regulations, and it receives Federal aid under the Clean Air Act and the 1977 Clean Water Act. (The EQB does not build or operate wastewater treatment facilities, however; those are the responsibility of the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority.) EQB also certifies Federal NPDES permits for wastewater discharge, prior to their issuance by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, pursuant to the 1977 Clean Water Act.

The EQB is also the Commonwealth agency responsible for control of solid waste. The EQB's Division of Solid Waste exercises this authority. Actual collection and disposal of solid waste, however, is carried out by municipalities.

Law No. 9 gives the EQB broad powers to adopt and enforce regulations, including the power to issue cease and desist orders, and to request execution of such orders in the event of noncompliance.

Coordination between the EQB and the Planning Board is mandated by the Planning Board Organic Act (Sec. 25). All plans and policies formulated by the Environmental Quality Board must, immediately after their preliminary approval, be submitted to the Planning Board so that it may determine their conformity with integral development policies and strategies of the Planning Board. If an impasse develops between the agencies, the Governor is empowered to resolve it.

THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR), which began operating in 1973, derives its powers from its Organic Law, Law No. 23 of June 20, 1972, and from earlier laws establishing programs that were transferred to DNR by its Organic Law. The Law directs that the Department discharge its operational responsibilities consistently with public policies established by the Environmental Quality Board.

The Department of Natural Resources is a cabinet-level agency, headed by the Secretary of Natural Resources.

Among the statutory responsibilities of the Department are those relating to:

   (Art. 6(a) of the Department's Organic Law.)

2. Water, including the granting of franchises for the use of public waters.
   (Art. 5(q), Art. 6(d), and (g))
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3. Sand and other "materials from the earth's crust," including the regulation of sand extraction (Art. 6(c)).

4. Wildlife and sportfish, including the regulation of hunting and fishing (Art. 6(f)).

5. Forests, including the management of Commonwealth forests (Art. 6(f)).

6. Territorial waters, submerged lands, and the maritime-terrestrial zone, including the granting of permits and franchises for the use thereof (Art. 5(h)).

Although most of the Department's efforts are related to the management and protection of resources (and are, therefore, considered in the next section of this chapter), the Department does participate in the development guidance process:

Of particular importance to the coastal management program is DNR's power to endorse or disapprove applications for dredging or for construction of piers and other structures in navigable waters. When a Corps permit is required, the Corps does not grant them if the Department denies an endorsement.

The Department also reviews and comments on (1) Draft Environmental Impact Statements referred to it by the Environmental Quality Board, and, (2) major development applications referred to it by the Planning Board and RPA.

**LINKAGES ASSURING CONSISTENT ACTION IN GUIDING DEVELOPMENT**

Numerous linkages assure consistent action, not only by the four agencies principally responsible for development guidance, but also by the other Commonwealth agencies and corporations. The linkages fall into three categories: (a) linkages at the level of policies and plans, (b) linkages at the level of regulatory adoption and amendment, and (c) linkages at the level of permitting and project review.

---

*Federal law appears to prohibit extraction of resources from the submerged lands surrounding Puerto Rico. Proposed legislation (H.R. 7027) would confirm Commonwealth ownership of submerged resources and clarify the right of the Commonwealth to authorize extraction.*
a. **Linkages at the level of policies and plans.** As already noted, this Coastal Management Program document will, by virtue of its adoption by the Planning Board and approval by the Governor, constitute the coastal element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan. As such, it will establish Commonwealth policy with respect to coastal management. Compliance by all Commonwealth agencies will, thereafter, be required by statutory provisions already described.

Moreover, the Planning Board has a continuing obligation, established by Sec. 13(3) of its Organic Act, to advise, coordinate, and assist government bodies in the "preparation, adoption, and implementation of their respective functional and sectorial plans and programs..." This obligation includes the coastal management sector, for which the Department of Natural Resources has responsibility.

DNR, as already noted, is required by its own Organic Law to discharge its operational responsibilities consistently with policies established by EQB. Moreover, it is required by the Planning Board Organic Act to submit its functional and operational four-year program to the Planning Board for integration into the Four-Year Investment Program. The Planning Board prepares guides for use by the Department and other agencies in preparing their functional and operational programs.

EQB, as already noted, is required by the Planning Board Organic Act, to submit any proposed policies to the Planning Board, so that it may determine conformity with integral development policies and strategies of the Planning Board.

Policy coordination between the Planning Board and RPA is assured because RPA's principal duty is to administer regulations adopted by the Board. RPA's own regulations require approval by the Board. And RPA is required, by statute, as already indicated, to exercise delegated responsibilities consistently with Board plans and policies, as well as to maintain close liaison with the Board, EQB, and DNR.

b. **Linkages at the regulatory level.** At the regulatory level, all four agencies principally responsible for development guidance may adopt or amend regulations. Informal consultation among the agencies, at executive, as well as staff levels, provides continual linkage, which is supplemented by technical consultation via required public hearings.

Among links at the regulatory level are these:
Planning Board. The Board’s Land Use Plans (including this coastal element of the Board’s Inlandwide Use Plan) serve as a basis for zoning maps. All Board regulations and amendments become formally effective only when signed by the Governor.

RPA. Regulations adopted by RPA do not become effective until approved by the Planning Board (except emergency regulations, which require approval of the Governor).

EQB. EQB regulations and amendments must be approved by an eight-member Board whose membership includes the Chairman of the Planning Board, the Secretary of Natural Resources, and three other cabinet members.

DNR. The few DNR regulations that guide development do not require formal approval by other agencies. Nevertheless, informal referral and consultation, at both staff and executive levels, occur as a matter of course with the Planning Board and EQB. (DNR is, of course, the Commonwealth agency bearing sectorial responsibility for coastal management, so interagency linkages are not critical to assure adherence to coastal management policies.)

c. Linkages at the level of permitting and project review. At the level of permitting and project review, informal and formal linkages are also extensive. As already described, statutory provisions require public projects to be in compliance with Planning Board plans and programs. To assure compliance, public projects are individually reviewed by the Board (site approvals), or RPA (other elements). After site approval by the Board, additional elements (e.g., construction plans) of some types of projects are exempt from additional review. The Board’s review process includes the referral of many project proposals to the EQB and DNR for their comments.

Private projects subject to development controls are reviewed individually by the Board or RPA, as explained above in the section on the “Development Control Process of RPA and the Planning Board.” These projects, too, are often referred to DNR and EQB, and often other agencies as well, for comment or consultation. RPA has the power and duty to establish close coordination with the Planning Board, EQB, and DNR. (Sec. 5(r) of RPA Organic Act.)

In addition, many Federally-funded projects require A-95 clearance. The Planning Board is the A-95 agency.
Projects having significant environmental impact are subject to Environmental Impact Statement requirements of Commonwealth law, administered by the EQB. EQB routinely refers EIS's to DNR and other agencies for comment and coordinates its review with the Planning Board.

DNR grants Commonwealth endorsements for Corps of Engineers permits. Requests for such endorsements are transmitted to DNR by the Planning Board as part of its review of project proposals.

2. IMPROVING COASTAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDANCE
Puerto Rico's traditional process for guiding development provides essential control for coastal management. But, there are important opportunities to make the process more effective. Many needed refinements of the process have been identified in Chapter 3 as responses to specific coastal problems; these are included in the Coastal Management Program described below. In addition, the Program includes a number of other improvements not previously identified; these include organizational and procedural changes and measures to increase staff capabilities.

A. REFINING THE POLICIES AND REGULATIONS THAT GUIDE DEVELOPMENT
Puerto Rico's traditional process for guiding major projects is characterized by broad policies applied on a discretionary basis to individual development proposals. This approach remains the best one available in many situations where facts are too complex or rapidly changing to permit the reconciliation of policy conflicts before development is proposed. Wherever possible, however, the Coastal Management Program seeks to refine policies and regulations so that they may provide clear early guidance. These refinements are intended both to increase the effectiveness of the guidance process and to alleviate hardships sometimes created by its uncertainties.

The Coastal Management Program includes several kinds of policy and regulatory refinements, as described below. Some of these are accomplished by the adoption of this Program document. Others are to be accomplished as rapidly as practicable under Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program.
(1) Policy-making in more detail. The program includes the establishment of policies, in greater detail than the general policy statements previously adopted, to govern the following:

Mangrove wetlands (for which the new, more detailed policies are set forth in Chapter 3, in the section on Mangrove Wetlands);

Beach access (for which the new, more detailed policies are set forth in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches); and

Coastal development (for which the new, more detailed policies are set forth in Chapter 3, in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry).

This program document, by virtue of its adoption as an element of the Planning Board’s Land Use Plan, establishes these policies.

(2) Refining regulations and criteria for improving development guidance. The program includes the revision of regulations and criteria governing the following:

Runoff and erosion control (HIGH PRIORITY) (as discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Coastal Waters);

Community facilities along the shorefront (as discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches);

Access dedication requirements (as discussed in Chapter 3, in section on Beaches);

Floodable areas (as discussed in Chapter 3, in sections on Flooding, Mangrove Wetlands and Dunes);

Dredging and filling (as discussed in Chapter 3, in section on Coastal Waters).

These revisions are to be prepared and adopted as rapidly as practicable:

Federal Coastal Zone Management funds (306 funds) may be requested for this purpose. *

*Section 306 funds are funds available pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, for implementation of state coastal management programs. Under some circumstances, funds may also be available to Puerto Rico under other sections of that Act.
Site specifications: Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves

The program includes designation of Special Planning Areas and the recommendation of several sites for future designation as Natural Reserves.

(a) Special Planning Areas

Special Planning Areas are defined as important coastal resource areas subject to serious present or potential use conflicts, and, therefore, requiring detailed planning. The following are hereby designated as Special Planning Areas:

- All mangrove wetlands; and

- The following areas as shown on Map 29:
  A. Boca de Cangrejos Special Planning Area
  B. Pandura-Guardarraya Special Planning Area
  C. Jobos Bay Special Planning Area
  D. Southwest Special Planning Area
  E. Isabela Special Planning Area
  F. Laguna Tortuguero Special Planning Area
  G. Vieques Special Planning Area

(b) Natural Reserves

Natural Reserves are important coastal resource areas, subject to serious present or potential use conflicts, which are to be preserved in substantially their present condition (or, in the case of natural areas whose restoration is practicable, restored to their previous natural condition). The following areas, as shown on Map 29, are hereby recommended for future designation as Natural Reserves.

---

*For convenience of the general public in reviewing this document, the terms "Special Planning Areas" and "Natural Reserves" are used in place of the terms "Areas of Particular Concern" and "Areas for Preservation and Restoration" used in Federal regulations. All Federal lands are excluded from all recommended Natural Reserves and all designated Special Planning Areas.*
1. Constitution Bridge Mudflats Reserve
2. Pidones Forest Reserve
3. Torrecilla Alta Pterocarpus Forest Reserve
4. Río Espíritu Santo Reserve
5. El Faro Reserve
6. La Cordillera Reef Reserve
7. Ceiba State Forest Reserve
8. Humacao Swamp and Pterocarpus Forest Reserve
9. Guaymas Reef Reserve
10. Jobos Bay and Mar Negro Reserve
11. Punta Ferrones Reserve
12. Caja de Muertos Reserve
13. Guanica Forest Reserve
14. La Parguera Reserve
15. Boquerón Reserve
16. Laguna Joyuda Mangroves Reserve
17. Tourmalines Reefs Reserve
18. Espinar Swamp Reserve
19. Arecibo Lighthouse Reserve
20. Caño Tiburonas Reserve
21. Cueva del Indio Reserve
22. Hacienda la Esperanza Reserve
23. Laguna Tortuguero Reserve
24. Dorado Pterocarpus Forest Reserve
25. Mona and Monito Islands Reserve
26. Vieques Bioluminescent Bays Reserve

The Special Planning Areas listed are not the only coastal resource areas subject to serious use conflicts, and the Natural Reserves listed are not the only coastal resource areas that warrant preservation. It may be possible, as planning proceeds, to establish additional Planning Areas and Reserves in the future. Even without these designations, all of Puerto Rico is subject to Commonwealth planning and regulatory processes intended to reconcile use conflicts. And, general policies calling for the protection of natural areas apply to all such areas, not just to Natural Reserves.

The Planning Area and Reserve designations do have important consequences, however. One consequence is to specify these as areas deserving priority in the allocation of scarce public resources for inventorying, planning, regulation, enforcement, and the provision of public facilities and services consistent with their planned uses. An additional consequence of Natural Reserve designation (though not of Special Planning Area designation) is to specify these as areas in which preservation and restoration are the dominant public policies—and from which activities inconsistent with preservation and restoration are therefore to be excluded, except in cases of overriding public necessity.
This program document, by virtue of its adoption as an element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, establishes the Special Planning Areas listed above, and recommends future establishment of the Natural Reserves listed above. Future designation of Natural Reserves will be formalized by statute or by amendment of the Board's Land Use Plan.

4) **Detailed policies, plans and regulations for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves.** Designation of Planning Areas and Reserves is only the first step toward assuring wise use of these resource areas. Continuing management efforts are essential. One element of those management efforts will be continuing refinement of the policies, plans, and regulations that guide development in Planning Areas and Reserves.

**Policies:** Detailed policies, such as the mangrove wetlands protection policies established by this program document, strengthen the process that controls development in Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. The Coastal Management Program includes, as a continuing element, the preparation of additional detailed policies, comparable to those already established for mangrove wetlands, to guide development of other resource features designated as Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.

**Plans:** Detailed plans, based on resource inventories and full consideration of use alternatives, present additional opportunities to optimize the use of these areas. The Planning Board has already adopted such a plan for the Laguna Tortuguero Special Planning Area, and draft plans have been prepared for the Commonwealth forests. The Coastal Management Program includes the preparation of detailed plans for additional areas designated as Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.

**Regulations:** The development control process appears largely adequate to control development within Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. This is clearly the case in the many areas owned by the Commonwealth government or its
instrumentalities. Even for areas in private ownership, there should be few
instances of inconsistent development. To minimize these instances, the
Commonwealth will rely on its development control powers (including the
application of those powers to require the "clustering" of development
on those portions of private lands that are not designated as Natural Reserves).
The Commonwealth will also rely on the denial of Federal dredge and fill
permits for activities inconsistent with approved policies and plans (and,
if necessary, on denial of Commonwealth endorsements necessary to obtain
those permits). In some instances, however, it may prove desirable to
supplement these measures with special zoning regulations so that minor,
as well as major, development will be controlled. The Coastal Management
Program includes the preparation of any special zoning designations that
prove desirable to provide additional protection for Special Planning Areas
and Natural Reserves. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be
requested for this purpose.
B. PROCEDURAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE: THE COASTAL MANAGEMENT UNIT

The Commonwealth agencies responsible for development guidance in the coastal zone are chronically short of staff members trained in the professional disciplines necessary for protection of natural areas and systems. Accordingly, the Program includes the hiring of additional professionals, coupled with the procedural and institutional changes that will bring their skills to bear on important coastal development problems.

To this end, the Program includes the establishment of a Coastal Management Unit, which will include specialized professionals competent to evaluate the impact of development on natural systems. The Unit, administratively located in the Office of the Secretary of Natural Resources, will have several responsibilities, of which four affect development control. (Additional responsibilities are described below.) These four responsibilities are:

1) Preparing detailed policies and plans for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves

The Coastal Management Unit will have primary responsibility for preparing detailed policies and plans for areas designated as Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. Working closely with the staffs of the Planning Board and other Commonwealth agencies, the Unit will prepare draft plans for submission to the Secretary of Natural Resources who, after any appropriate modifications, will submit them to the Planning Board with a recommendation that they be incorporated as elements of the Board's Land Use Plan.

2) Proposing additional Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves

The Coastal Management Unit will also have primary responsibility for recommending the designation of additional areas as Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. Such recommendations, together with the inventories and studies necessary to support them, will be submitted to the Secretary of Natural Resources who, after any appropriate modifications, will submit them to the Planning Board with a recommendation that they be incorporated as elements of the Board's Land Use Plan.

3) Participating in the Review of Development Proposals

As the principal source of expertise on the impact of development on coastal systems, the Unit will assist the Secretary of Natural Resources in carrying out the following responsibilities for reviewing coastal development:
(a) Within Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves: Neither the Planning Board, the Department of Natural Resources, the Environmental Quality Board, nor the Regulations and Permits Administration will grant any permit or approval (including A-95 clearance) for the kinds of development specified below, until the Secretary of Natural Resources has had a reasonable opportunity (not less than 30 days) to evaluate the impact of the proposed development on natural systems.

Within Special Planning Areas, other than mangrove wetlands: This mandatory referral will occur before approval of any land subdivision, urbanization, industrial project, commercial center, hotel, or "villas turistas," and, before approval of any development of the publicly-owned maritime zone ("zona maritima-terrestre"), or of coastal waters or submerged lands.

Within mangrove wetlands and Natural Reserves: This mandatory referral will occur before approval of development of any kind.

Assisted by the Secretary's evaluation of impact on natural systems, the originating agency will proceed to make its decision in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and plans, including this document after its adoption as an element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan.

(b) Within other portions of the coast: Whenever the Department of Natural Resources reviews proposals for coastal development, including proposals referred to it by other agencies, the Department will not approve such proposals if such proposals are inconsistent with this Management Program.

Organizational changes--specifically, the formation of the Coastal Management Unit--can facilitate implementation of the above program elements by DNR. This change can aid forceful implementation by establishing a focus of responsibility within the Department for coastal management.

(4) Continuing Consultation

The Coastal Management Unit will also have responsibility for continued consultation with Commonwealth and Federal agencies and the general public.

*Federal consultation and consistency are discussed in Appendix B.
II. ACTIVE MANAGEMENT OF COASTAL RESOURCES

Actively managing coastal resources is a second emphasis of Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program. This management includes measures to protect and maintain the resources—through permitting, franchising, surveillance, enforcement, and the like. It also includes measures to enhance public use and enjoyment of the resources—through such measures as property acquisition, beach cleaning, and the provision of appropriate recreational facilities.

The Department of Natural Resources has principal responsibility for management of Puerto Rico's coastal resources. This section, after describing the Department and noting other Commonwealth agencies that also participate in management, describes management improvements included in the Coastal Management Program.

1. ONGOING ACTIVITIES: THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND OTHER AGENCIES PARTICIPATING IN MANAGING COASTAL RESOURCES

Paramount responsibility for managing coastal resources falls to the Department of Natural Resources. Other agencies contribute to management, however, most often by managing portions of the coast over which they have custody.

THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

The Department of Natural Resources, in addition to the development control responsibilities described in the previous section, has extensive responsibilities for affirmative management of coastal resources. These include regulatory and franchise powers as well as responsibilities arising from Departmental custody of extensive coastal areas.

Regulatory and franchise responsibilities of the Department pertain to four principal kinds of resources—"economic minerals," sand and gravel, water, fish and wildlife (See Organization Chart):

— "Economic minerals": As explained in Chapter 3, copper, nickel, and petroleum, are among the minerals that may be suitable for commercial extraction in Puerto Rico.

— Sand and other "materials from the earth's crust" are also a responsibility of the Department. As described in Chapter 3, regulations governing the extraction of these materials from public and private property are adopted and administered by the Department.
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Water: Departmental management of ground waters is exercised principally through a permitting system that controls the quantity and rate of groundwater extraction. With respect to surface waters, dredging or filling require a permit from the U.S. Corps of Engineers. The Department can prevent the granting of a Corps permit by denying an endorsement.

Fish and Wildlife: The Department has responsibility for managing sport fish and wildlife resources. As explained in Chapter 3, this responsibility includes habitat modification and preservation, inventory of species and populations, and regulation of sport fishing and hunting activities.

The Department also has jurisdiction over extensive public lands, including:

-- The Commonwealth forests. As indicated in Chapter 3, in the sections on Mangrove Wetlands and Coastal Forests, some Commonwealth forests are wholly or partly within the coastal zone. These include the Guanica Dry Forest and mangroves in four other forests.

-- Territorial waters, submerged lands, and the maritime zone, including public beaches, except those relatively limited areas assigned by law to the custody of other agencies.

OTHER AGENCIES

Among other agencies that have some responsibility for management of coastal resources, several administer publicly owned portions of the coast. These include:

-- The Public Parks and Recreation Administration, which administers 13 bathing beaches. (See Chapter 3, sections on Beaches, Recreation.)

-- The Puerto Rico Ports Authority, linked to the Department of Transportation and Public Works, which administers 15 ports and 13 airports. (See Chapter 3, section on Transportation.)

-- The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture, which administers numerous Commonwealth-owned historic and cultural monuments in the coastal area. (See Chapter 3, section on Cultural and Historic Sites.)

-- Several agencies whose operations include the administration of some sites in the coastal zone. These include the Water Resources Authority (power plants), the Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (sewage treatment plants and outfalls), the Land Authority (agricultural land), and the Land Administration (agricultural and other land).

"The space on the coasts...that is bathed by the sea in its ebb and flow." Law of Piers and Ports of 1968, Sec. 2103(n).
In addition to these operating agencies, two other entities deserve mention as participants in the active management of coastal resources:

- The Tourism Development Company, which, as part of its overall mission, assists in beach cleaning, as described in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches.

- The Puerto Rico Conservation Trust, organized as a charitable trust with governmentally-appointed trustees, administers a fund of several million dollars (contributed by some of the Island's major petroleum refiners) for acquiring or preserving natural areas. The Trust has acquired in recent years a number of key coastal properties, including "El Faro" at the northeast corner of Puerto Rico.

2. IMPROVING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN COASTAL AREAS

There are important opportunities to increase the effectiveness of Puerto Rico's established programs for managing coastal resources. A number of improvements that can help to protect the resources and foster their optimum use have been identified in Chapter 3. Additional measures, notably institutional changes and other measures to increase staff capabilities, are also needed. Both kinds of improvements are included in the Coastal Management Program described below.

A. BUILDING UP FIELD SERVICES AND FACILITIES

No element of Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program is more important than building up services and facilities in the field—at beaches, forests, reefs, dunes, and other key coastal areas. Needed services are extensive—from maintenance and protective surveillance to educational and recreational programs for children and adults. Needed equipment and facilities, too, run a broad range—from beach cleaning machinery and parking lots to underwater nature trails.

The Program puts particular emphasis on services and facilities that will foster use and enjoyment of coastal areas by the general public. Use of the coasts for recreation and education, beneficial in itself, appears also to be the best available way to enhance public awareness of coastal resources and thus to enlarge the "constituency" demanding their protection. Also, some of the needed management measures, notably surveillance and beach cleaning, are labor-intensive measures that present an opportunity to create badly needed jobs.
The Program includes the following components intended to build up field services and facilities:

(1) **Training and enlarging field staffs.** The Department of Natural Resources needs a field staff that is larger and substantially better trained than the one now available for management of coastal resources. Staff training is a high-priority component of the Program. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.

(2) **Providing equipment for field staffs.** Beach cleanups in much of the Island have no mechanized equipment. Some personnel assigned to surveillance and maintenance of coastal forests have virtually no equipment at all. The Coastal Management Program includes the provision of basic equipment suitable for increasing the productivity of field staff responsible for coastal resources. This includes beach cleaning machinery (as discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches).

(3) **Instructing children and adults about natural systems of the coast.** Understanding of natural systems that affect coastal resources contributes to public appreciation of those resources. The most effective instruction for this purpose is that provided at coastal sites themselves. Accordingly, the Program includes the provision of nature instruction in coastal resource areas. Instruction will be initiated at the Guanica Forest (as recommended in Chapter 3, in the section on Forests).

(4) **Planning for field services and facilities.** Although the training, equipment, and instruction programs just described can begin immediately, their future dimensions must be determined by a continuing, detailed planning process for individual resource areas. The needed planning process is very closely related to the process described in the previous section on development guidance. Accordingly, that process will consider field service needs—including staffing, facilities construction and maintenance, educational and recreational services—in addition to measures necessary to guide development.

(5) **Establishing concentrated management areas.** Because the Department of Natural Resources has such limited funds and trained field personnel available for coastal resource management, it cannot provide optimum services at all of the coastal resource areas—particularly beaches—under its jurisdiction. Concentration of
services in key management areas, selected because of their importance or because of the intensity of the pressures upon them, appears essential. Accordingly, the Program includes the establishment of concentrated management areas. The first of these should be the Southwest Special Planning Area because of its size and importance, and because of the concentration of Natural Reserves in the area. A marine-focused area at the proposed La Cordillera Natural Reserve would also be appropriate.

B. ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES

The Program includes two organisational changes, both within the Department of Natural Resources. The first of these, establishment of a Coastal Management Unit, was described in the previous section on development guidance. The second is the Ranger Corps.

(1) The Coastal Management Unit. In addition to the development guidance responsibilities already described, the Coastal Management Unit will have responsibilities for active coastal resource management. These responsibilities include:

(a) Preparing detailed plans for field services and facilities. Detailed planning for management needs—staffing, facilities, services—will, as already noted, be carried out in conjunction with planning needed for development guidance. This integrated planning will be a responsibility of the Coastal Management Unit.

(b) Coastal overview, monitoring, grant administration. The Unit will also have responsibility for continuing coastal management initiatives; for coordinating and monitoring progress in implementing the Program; for recommending coastal spending priorities to the Secretary of Natural Resources each year, and for obtaining and administering Federal grants for coastal management.

(2) The Ranger Corps ("Cuerpo de Vigilantes de Recursos Naturales"). The training, equipment, and other management measures already described would have substantial beneficial impact even in the absence of institutional change. The opportunity for effective action is greatly increased, however, by creation of a Ranger Corps, a new unit of the Department of Natural Resources. Legislation establishing the Corps was enacted by the Legislative Assembly in June 1977, and the Corps has already begun operations.
Creation of such a corps had been proposed for several years. To cite one important example, the citizens' committee on Puerto Rico and the Sea had recommended legislation establishing a Natural Resources Marine Environmental Sanctuary Warden Division.

The Ranger Corps is the focus for improvement of field services for management of coastal resources. To this end:

- Intensive efforts are being made to provide professional leadership for the Corps.

- Staff training efforts, described previously, are being focused initially on the Corps.

- The Corps will be assigned responsibility for the concentrated management areas, described previously, and the number and size of these areas will be increased only as the growing capability of the Corps permits.

Funding for the Ranger Corps is being provided, in part, by the Federal Department of Labor, pursuant to the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973. In addition, Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for costs incurred during initial years of the Corps.

C. ESTABLISHING A SYSTEM OF NATURAL RESERVES

Administrative action, without legislation, appears sufficient both to improve the management of coastal resources and to protect them—particularly Natural Reserves—against inappropriate development. Nevertheless, legislation designating some publicly owned coastal resources as natural reserves appears desirable. Statutory designation would fix public attention on the reserves, would establish preservation and management policy with additional formality, and might increase practical opportunities to obtain Commonwealth funds for management.

The citizens' committee on Puerto Rico and the Sea recommended legislation creating a system of Marine Environmental Sanctuaries and Critical Environmental Areas. The general locations of the proposed marine sanctuaries are shown on Map 26. A bill to establish these sanctuaries is pending in the Legislative Assembly, as are bills to designate Mona and Mona Island as natural reserves.
SANTUARIOS MARINOS PROPUESTOS POR PUERTO RICO Y EL MAR
MARINE SANCTUARIES AS PROPOSED BY PUERTO RICO AND THE SEA
In keeping with these approaches, the Coastal Management Program includes the preparation of legislation to create a system of Natural Reserves, ultimately to include marine, estuarine, and terrestrial areas. The draft legislation will designate Mona and Mona Island as initial components of the system and will make clear that additional areas may be added in the future. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for preparation of future legislation of this type.

D. **CLARIFYING AND EXTENDING PUBLIC PROPERTY RIGHTS IN COASTAL RESOURCES**

The measures discussed above for management of coastal resources focus on lands and waters owned by the public. Extending and clarifying public property rights presents some opportunity to extend the benefits of the management program.

1. **Buying additional coastal property.** Opportunities for buying additional public lands are limited because lands are expensive and funds are scarce; no purchase of privately owned coastal resources are, therefore, anticipated at this time. The Program does not rule out future purchases; however, if the continuing planning process shows them to be desirable and sufficient, funds can be obtained.

Possible acquisitions to provide beach access will be evaluated during the 1977-78 fiscal year when the Department of Natural Resources conducts the shoreline access planning mandated by the 1976 amendments to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act. Other acquisitions, which are likely to be concentrated in or adjoining Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves, will be evaluated as an integral part of the continuing planning process to be conducted by the Department. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.

2. **Clarifying public property rights.** The program includes the preparation of the following draft legislation, for submission to the Legislative Assembly of Puerto Rico. This legislation is intended to remove present uncertainties about the extent of certain public property rights in coastal resources.
Legislation requiring the registration of any Spanish crown grants alleged to authorize the exclusion of the general public from any part of the maritime zone. (The need for this legislation is noted in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches.)

Legislation reaffirming the validity of the easement of vigilance (servidumbre de vigilancia) created by Spanish law. (The need for this legislation is noted in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches.)

This legislation is to be prepared as rapidly as practicable. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.

(3) Obtaining public access rights to surplus Federal beaches. The Program includes the establishment of the following beach access policy:

If any beaches now owned by the Federal Government in Puerto Rico are hereafter declared surplus to the needs of the Federal Government, such beaches shall, to the maximum extent practicable, be made accessible for permanent recreational use by the general public. (The need for this policy is noted in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches.)

This program document, by virtue of its adoption as an element of the Planning Board's Land Use Plan, establishes this policy.

E. OTHER MEASURES TO PROTECT COASTAL RESOURCES

The following additional measures are needed to refine requirements and procedures presently available to protect coastal resources. The need for these refinements has been identified in Chapter 3.

(1) Adopting additional regulations. The Program includes the adoption of regulations governing the following:

- Coral extraction. (The need for this regulation is discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Reefs.)

- Sand extraction. (The need for updating of the existing regulation is discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Dunes.)

- Squatter communities. (The need for this regulation is discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Beaches.)

- Archaeological site protection. (The need for this is discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Cultural and Historic Sites.)

These regulations are to be prepared as rapidly as practicable. Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.
II. PROMOTING COASTAL DEVELOPMENT

Promoting and assisting coastal development is a third element of the Coastal Management Program. Most of the few development measures identified as necessary in Chapter 3, however, are of concern to other ongoing programs and do not appear to warrant the use of Federal Coastal Zone Management funds. Promoting coastal development accordingly represents only a minor program element.

The following developmental needs identified in Chapter 3 are to be satisfied without use of Federal Coastal Zone Management funds:

- Provision of housing for displaced squatters. This is an ongoing activity of the Department of Housing and its attached corporation, the Urban Renewal and Housing Corporation. Since Federal regulations do not, in any event, permit Federal 306 funds to be used for housing construction, their potential usefulness to satisfy this need would, at best, be limited to planning and design costs.

- Promotion of commercial fishing and aquaculture. These are ongoing activities of the Department of Agriculture, the Agency for Community Action, and other agencies, which receive substantial funding under other Federal programs.

The Coastal Management Program does include measures responding to another developmental need identified in Chapter 3: The need to assure adequate supplies of sand for construction. As Chapter 3 makes clear, this is a high-priority item, essential to reduce pressure for extraction of sand from beaches, dunes, and other inappropriate sites. Specifically, Chapter 3 identifies a need for studies to determine the economic feasibility of various alternative sources—such as sand manufacturing and the extraction of underwater deposits. These studies should be conducted by, or with the cooperation of, the Commonwealth's Economic Development Administration. Every effort will be made to obtain financial assistance for such studies from other Federal programs. If funding is not otherwise obtainable, however, Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.
IV. RESEARCH

Research is the fourth element of the Coastal Management Program. Only research deemed necessary for the early solution of specific coastal problems is included in the Program. Much of the needed research has already been identified elsewhere in this program document, but it is useful to stress the importance of research by considering it separately here.

1. POLICY RESEARCH

Policy research, to determine the scope and nature of known problems, is the first needed type of research. Several examples were identified in Chapter 3, including research on:

- Coastal erosion hazards;
- Beach access, including the preparation of an inventory and plan; and
- Geologic hazards.

The first two types of research—on coastal erosion and beach access—are included in the Coastal Management Program, and are scheduled to begin during FY 1977-78. The third type—research on geologic hazards—is not deemed a specifically "coastal" problem warranting a request for Federal Coastal Zone Management funds.

2. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDIES

Economic feasibility studies are a second type of research included in the Program. Specifically, as noted previously, Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for studies of the feasibility of alternative sources of sand for construction.

3. RESOURCE AND HAZARD INVENTORIES

Resource and hazard inventories are a third type of research, which is essential for solution of some critical coastal problems. The Program includes the following research of this type:

- Mapping of coastal high-hazard areas (HIGH PRIORITY). (The need for this research is discussed in Chapter 3, in the sections on Flooding, Mangrove Wetlands and Dunes.)
- Completing the inventory of coral reefs (HIGH PRIORITY). (The need for this research is discussed in Chapter 3, in the section on Reefs.)
Detailed resource inventories of Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. (The continuing inventory and planning process for these areas is discussed previously in this chapter.)

Field laboratory activities in the Guanica Forest. (The need for these activities is noted in Chapter 3, in the section on Forests.)

Inventory of archeological sites (the need for this inventory is discussed in Chapter 3, Section on Cultural and Historic Sites).

These, and similar tasks identified in the future as needed for early action in response to recognized coastal problems, are to be undertaken as rapidly as practicable. The "306" or other Federal Coastal Zone Management funds may be requested for this purpose.
PART THREE: IMPACTS
A. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT AFFECTED

Three sections of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program* describe the natural and man-made resources in Puerto Rico's coastal zone -- Chapters 1 and 3 and Appendix C. An overview of Puerto Rico's coastal resources, as well as a summary of overall problems and objectives to which coastal management must be related, is provided in Chapter 1 of the PRCMP. This chapter also describes the coastal features of the eight regions into which the Island has been divided for study purposes, and discusses socio-economic features, such as population distribution, income, employment, and others. Maps 29 to 37 show the natural and man-made features of these regional sectors, as well as the coastal zone boundary.

Chapter 3 considers Puerto Rico's coastal problems in three broad categories: Coastal hazards, coastal resources, and coastal development. The maps accompanying Chapter 3 provide additional illustrative information concerning these coastal problems.

Appendix C describes the Special Planning Areas designated in Chapter 4 of the PRCMP and those areas which are recommended for designation as Natural Reserves. An island-wide summary map (Map 29) shows these areas.

B. RELATIONSHIP OF THE PROPOSED ACTION TO LAND USE PLANS, POLICIES AND CONTROLS FOR THE AREA

The objectives and policies elements of Puerto Rico's Islandwide Land Use Plan, which establishes the policy framework for the PRCMP, is included in Chapter 2 of the program document. When adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board and approved by the Governor, the PRCMP will be the coastal element of the Land Use Plan.

Chapter 4 explains how the Commonwealth government is organized -- including the relationships among the responsible agencies -- to guide development, manage coastal resources, and promote coastal development. Discussion of relevant plans, regulations, permitting and project review procedures, additional statutory authorities, and other measures is included in this chapter.

Discussion of applicable plans, policies, and controls in relation to specific coastal problems can also be found in Chapter 3 of the program document.

*All cross-references are to Parts II and IV of this FEIS.
C. PROBABLE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION ON THE ENVIRONMENT

1. Impacts Directly Resulting from Federal Approval

A. Program Funding. Federal approval of the PRCPF will permit OCZM to award program administrative grants (pursuant to Sec. 306 of the CZMA) to Puerto Rico. The types of activities for which Puerto Rico will use these funds are described in Chapter 4 of the program document. These include increased funding for DNR's Ranger Corps, refining and preparing regulations, providing additional beach cleaning services, and developing detailed plans and policies for areas of particular concern.

B. Federal Consistency. Approval of the PRCPF will mean that all Federal agencies must follow the provisions of Section 307(c) and (d) of the CZMA. These consistency provisions and the manner in which Puerto Rico intends to implement them are described in Appendix B.

C. National Interest. Federal approval of the Program is dependent in part on a finding that the Commonwealth has adequately considered national interests in the siting of facilities and management of areas that are of more than local concern, such as energy and defense facilities, recreational areas, wetlands, etc. While a State is not compelled to propose a program which accommodates certain types of facilities, the impact of this procedure will assure that such national interests are not arbitrarily excluded or restricted from the coastal zone. The national interest in Puerto Rico's coastal zone is outlined in Appendix B.

D. Other Coastal Management Assistance. Federal approval will also assure continued eligibility of the Commonwealth to receive funds under additional coastal zone management assistance programs, such as Coastal Energy Impact Program, interstate coordination, beach access, island preservation and research and training.

2. Impacts Resulting From Commonwealth Government Implementation

Since the PRCPF relies in great part on existing management and regulatory techniques, changes and impacts of program implementation will not be as extensive as in many coastal states. For example, as previously discussed, the basic (islandwide) policies and objectives (Chapter 2) have already been adopted and would be applied to coastal
as well as inland use decisions even without the Coastal Management Program. However, the improvements and refinements to existing techniques (new policies, institutional reorganization, etc.) will have significant impacts. The following discussion focuses on the impacts of these measures.

A. Summary of Environmental Impacts. The Puerto Rico coastal zone exists as a delicately balanced ecological system. One activity not properly controlled will often have significant adverse impacts on other resources. It is believed that the implementation of the PRCMF will have a positive impact on the natural environment which should be visible and measurable over the next five years and longer. The program is designed to prevent further destruction of coastal resources and where possible restore them for the benefit of all concerned.

The PRCMF has identified the coastal resources which are being destroyed through unwise management and ignorance (see Chapter 3). Among those resources identified are reefs, mangrove wetland coastal forests and wildlife. Several measures in the PRCMF have been identified and/or created to address those resources. Those measures include: the adoption in 1977 by the Planning Board of the Objectives and Policies; the adoption of this PRCMF by the Planning Board and Governor in the summer of 1978 which designates Special Planning Areas, nominates Natural Reserves and creates new policies (criteria) for mangrove wetlands, beaches (2), dredge and filling, and siting of coastal dependent development; and better enforcement of evaluations by DNR's Ranger Corps.

For example: 1) Puerto Rico's coral reefs are fragile and easily destroyed by marine or land based activity through sedimentation and other pollution. Extraction of coral is another threat to Puerto Rico's reefs. Through the Planning Board objectives and policies element of the Inlandwide Land Use Plan a general policy provides for the avoidance of "activity and land subdivisions which could cause the deterioration or destruction of...reefs..." Furthermore, the PRCMF has recommended that those reefs of known importance, be chosen as natural reserves (APC's) (See p 58). Puerto Rico also hopes to complete a detailed inventory of reef systems during its first year of 306. 2) In previous years, approximately three quarters of Puerto Rico's original mangrove wetlands have been destroyed and some of the remaining ones are threatened.

*As explained in Chapter 4, the term "land" is defined by the Planning Board Organic Act (Sec. 3) to include water as well as land.
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The program identifies a general policy for the protection of mangrove wetland as part of the Islandwide Land Use Plan and creates a new policy (see pp 62-63) which restricts new development and protects against dredging filling and land development.

3) Wildlife, including unendangered as well as endangered species, represents a significant natural resource. Although the decline of wildlife in Puerto Rico may be attributed to several causes, habitat destruction is the most important. The PRCHP identifies a general policy from the Islandwide Land Use Plan provides for the avoidance of "activities and land subdivisions which would cause the deterioration or destruction of ... habitats of endangered species." The use of natural reserve designation and the vigilance of selected areas by the Ranger Corps should also assist in the protection of wildlife (specifically endangered species).

Losses due to natural hazards will be mitigated, through erosion and other development controls. In turn the protection of key resources (reefs, mangrove wetland, dunes) will enhance the natural hazard mitigation mechanisms.

The PRCHP provides protection for offshore islands. Mona and Monito Islands, specifically, are to be the initial components of a system of Natural Reserves. Culebra is subject to special protection under the Law for the Conservation and Development of Culebra, as explained in The Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program, which has been incorporated in full in the PRCHP.

In addition, Vieques** has been designated as a special planning area. (See App. C)

Through the designation of mangrove wetlands and severe geographical areas as Special Planning Areas Management efforts will be concentrated toward more balanced development. As a result some of the coastal resources within those areas may be lost. More specifically in Special Planning Areas some resources may be lost in an attempt to create a buffer between the resource and necessary development.

However the overall effect will be to reduce or limit destruction through a careful balancing of social, economic, environmental and cultural values. Each plan will create the means for conflict resolution within these areas.

Similarly, detrimental effects resulting dredge and fill activities in coastal waters, port expansions and energy facilities siting will occur which result in resource losses. However, under the new criteria established in Chapter 3, DNR will not endorse permits for dredging activities unless there is no less environmentally damaging alternative available.

**Federal lands are excluded from the Special Planning Area.
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B. **Summary of Institutional Impacts.** The major institutional impact will be more efficient management of the coastal area. The commitment to maintaining consultation efforts will result in better coordination among government agencies in carrying out their responsibilities in coastal areas. More specifically, designation of Special Planning Areas will provide the means for the Planning Board and DNR to work directly with other agencies, including Fomento and PRIDCO, to concentrate planning efforts in areas of significant potential conflict. Enhanced management capabilities will also be obtained through increased funding for DNR’s Ranger Corps.

Administratively, DNR will acquire additional responsibilities. DNR will participate in the review of all development proposals within Special Planning Areas and provide overall coastal monitoring. DNR will also be primarily responsible for preparing detailed plans, policies, and regulations for Special Planning and Natural Reserves.

Other Commonwealth agencies will be able to receive funds for certain implementation measures. For example, the Program recommends updating of EQB’s oil spill contingency plan, and refinement of the Planning Board’s Community Facilities Regulation and Floodable Areas Regulation.

The Planning Board will retain its overall responsibility for policymaking and principal development controls. As the A-95 agency, the Planning Board will acquire responsibilities related to Federal consistency determination (See Appendix B).

C. **Summary of Social and Economic Impacts.** The PRCHP seeks to conserve and protect important coastal resources, while it encourages needed economic development. The designation of Special Planning Areas, in particular, will enhance rational, environmentally acceptable decision making in regard to development projects. The coastal development policies (Chapter 3) will encourage development in the
Coastal development policies give priority to coastal-dependent uses, and state that sites suitable for coastal-dependent industry should be reserved for those uses, except where natural systems destruction is unacceptable. New development will be restricted in some instances by the policy on mangrove wetlands protection and the criteria for dredging (both in Chapter 3). The overall effect of the PRCMF, however, through increased planning efforts and improved management techniques, is expected to provide greater efficiency in facility siting and balanced use of resources.

The islandwide objectives and policies (Chapter 2) seek to provide the maximum benefits to the general public through planned use of Puerto Rico's land and water resources.

Certain implementation measures of the PRCMF will also provide additional public benefits. The beach access policies, plans, and regulations (Chapter 3) will maximize feasible use and enjoyment of Puerto Rico's beaches. Certain groups may incur increased costs -- for example, squatters, in complying with regulations on sewage discharge. The PRCMF, though, recognizes a governmental responsibility to assist in the provision of adequate housing for some displaced squatters.

Coastal zone management funds will be requests to improve public facilities and services, such as beach cleaning. The PRCMF will devote additional funds also for public education efforts -- an education program for residents of coastal hazard areas and nature instruction in coastal resource areas.

D. PROBABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH CANNOT BE AVOIDED

A review of the PRCMF said its policies which would be used as a basis for decision making indicated that the probable effects of program implementation would be environmentally beneficial. However, there would probably be a number of adverse impacts to both the natural and socio-economic resources of the coastal zone.

Development attributed to new growth and economic needs will be concentrated in some areas rather than expanded to new areas. Some development (for example, some non-coastal-dependent uses) will be displaced inland while the consolidation and concentration of development will have positive effect of preserving valuable and fragile coastal resources, it also can cause congestion and the concentration of pollutants thereby creating social and economic costs.
A number of adverse impacts will/are associated with the siting of facilities for the purpose of degrading, energy etc., though consideration of the national interest in those facilities must be given those projects will also be evaluated based on NEPA among others.

Some damages are lost of resources may occur within a Special Planning Area when there is no preferable site or alternative for needed development.

Some agricultural land inland will probably continue to be lost to development. However, Islandwide policies should help to minimize the adverse impact of this conversion.

At this time it is difficult to determine what the specific adverse impacts will be as a result of the implementation of this program. However it is clear that adverse impacts have occurred without the PRCHP (as noted in Chapter 3). This program has been developed to minimize those adverse environmental impacts to those coastal resources.

E. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL, SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY

In certain instances the approval of the proposed Program will restrict local short-term uses of the environment. It will also provide a long-term assurance that the natural resources and benefits of the Puerto Rico coastal zone will be available for future use and enjoyment.

With or without the implementation of the Program, intense short-term uses and gains, such as provided by residential, industrial, and commercial development, are expected to be realized. Without the aid of specifically coastal guidance as provided by the Program, this development could result in long-term restrictions on coastal resource use and benefit because of degradation to the environment and loss of basic coastal resources. With coastal management, however, a framework is provided for better resolution of the traditional conflict among coastal resources users.

The PRCHP provides a sound basis for decision making, protects the important natural systems and directs uses to areas with natural capabilities and infrastructure capabilities to absorb growth. The PRCHP recognizes the importance of the coastal zone to Puerto Rico's economic well-being and the dynamic nature of coastal processes. It establishes a process for balanced management of the coast — allowing growth to continue while protecting key resources.
F. IRREVERSIBLE OR IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES THAT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE
PROPOSED ACTION SHOULD IT BE IMPLEMENTED

The approval of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program will not prevent certain areas
of the coast from being intensely, and for all practical purposes, irreversibly developed.
This will result in the loss of some environmental resources. However, development would
occur in the absence of Program approval. The coastal management program channels develop-
ment away from fragile and important coastal resource areas and to appropriate sites based
upon land and water resource consideration. In some cases, alternative locations may not
be feasible and disruption or total loss of natural systems unavoidable -- for example,
loss of some mangroves for port or airport expansion, when there is no less environmentally
damaging alternative. However, the mangrove protection policies, dredging criteria, and
provisions for detailed planning of Special Planning Areas seek to minimize such irreversible
losses.

G. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PUERTO RICO COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Introduction:

Given the nature of the proposed action of approving the Puerto Rico Coastal Management
Program, all alternatives would involve a decision to delay or deny approval. Delay or
denial of approval could be based on the failure of the Puerto Rico Program to meet any
one of the requirements of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). In approving
a CZM program, affirmative findings must be made by the Assistant Administrator for
Coastal Zone Management on over twenty such requirements.

During the early development of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program several areas
of potential deficiencies were identified. However, those deficiencies have now been
addressed by Puerto Rico and the Assistant Administrator has made a preliminary determination
that Puerto Rico has met the requirements for approval under Section 306 of the Coastal
Zone Management Act. In order to elicit public and agency comment and assure that the
Assistant Administrator's preliminary assessment is correct, this section identifies
areas where there are possible deficiencies and considers alternatives of delay or denial
based upon each.

The generalized impacts of delay or denial of approval of the Puerto Rico Coastal Manage-
ment Program, regardless of delay or denial, are as follows:
(1) **Loss of Federal monies to administer the program**

Under Section 306, Puerto Rico would receive approximately $1 million per year to administer its coastal management program. Most basic to a loss of Federal funds will be the inability of the Commonwealth to provide adequate staffing and administrative support to its regulatory, and special management and natural resource planning elements of the program.

Additionally, the drafting and adopting of refined regulations would not take place. Such additional refinements would be aimed at simplifying permit decisions and increasing the predictability of those decisions.

(2) **Loss of consistency of Federal actions with Puerto Rico's coastal management program**

Program approval would mean that Federal actions, in or affecting the Puerto Rico coastal area would have to be consistent with the Commonwealth's management program under Section 307(c) of the CZMA. Loss of consistency would be of particular concern as the coastal area is heavily influenced by Federal activities (i.e., Corps, Navy, FWS, etc.).

(3) **Loss of adequate consideration of the national interest in the siting of facilities which are other than local in nature as required by Section 306(c)(8) of the CZMA**

By delaying or denying program approval, Commonwealth would be under no obligation to give adequate consideration to coastal resources and facilities that are of national interest. This may result in loss of public benefit that the use of such resources may provide. The national interest also encompasses a concern for the protection of resources such as water, air, wetlands, and wildlife. Consideration of the need for the national interest in facilities must take into account the impacts of facilities on these key resources.

**Alternative 1:** The Assistant Administrator could delay or deny program approval if the new policies and criteria established in the program were not enforceable or sufficient.

Federal regulations require that sufficient policies be of an enforceable nature to ensure the implementation of and adherence to the management program.
The Commonwealth establishes with the adoption of the program, four new policies dealing with mangrove wetlands protections, beach access, and coastal development.

The following are the new policies:

SPECIAL PROTECTION FOR MANGROVE WETLANDS.

All remaining mangrove wetlands—as well as buffer areas necessary to protect their water areas, vegetation, waterfowl, fish and other wildlife values—shall be protected as follows:

..Restrict new development in mangrove wetlands, except that deemed to be in the general welfare by the Government of Puerto Rico. New development, including digging, filling, and dredging, in existing or restorable mangrove wetlands shall be permitted only as follows:

...Natural Reserves. Any alteration of those mangrove wetlands designated as Natural Reserves, shall to the maximum extent practicable: (a) maintain or enhance the existing functional capacity of the wetland (i.e., some alteration but no net reduction in the quantity and quality of species); (b) conform to a mangrove management plan approved by the Planning Board following issuance of an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to Law No. 9 of June 18, 1970; and (c) be limited to minor incidental public service facilities (e.g., burying cable or pipelines), restorative measures, or nature study.

...Other mangrove wetlands. Any alteration of other mangrove wetlands shall to the maximum extent practicable: (a) conform to a mangrove management plan approved by the Planning Board following issuance of an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to Law No. 9 of June 18, 1970; and (b) be limited to the following: (1) essential military facilities; (2) expansion of existing commercial fishing harbors, ports, or airports; (3) the minimum necessary entrance channel to a marina or other boating facility excavated from dry land; (4) those portions of coastal-dependent energy facilities that cannot be located on dry land or in open water areas; (5) minor incidental public service facilities such as buried cable or pipelines; (6) measures undertaken to restore biological productivity of degraded mangrove wetlands; and (7) nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activity.

APPROPRIATE ACCESS TO FEDERAL BEACHES HEREAFTER DECLARED SURPLUS.

...If any beaches now owned by the Federal government in Puerto Rico are hereafter declared surplus to the needs of the Federal government, such beaches shall to the maximum extent practicable be held for permanent recreational use by the general public unless more appropriate use is determined to be in the general welfare by the Government of Puerto Rico.

PUBLIC ACCESS TO BEACHES

...Shorefront development, governmental and private, shall if practical be designed to facilitate rather than obstruct shoreline access by the general public. It is recognized that the general welfare sometimes requires access restriction (e.g., to protect critical environmental areas, to protect endangered species or for public safety and security reasons). Nevertheless de facto segregation of public beaches, as a result of development patterns that facilitate use by shorefront landowners while preventing access by the general public, is rejected in Puerto Rico.
Sites for coastal-dependent development

Urban development, including those kinds of industry not requiring (or strongly benefitting from) a shorefront location shall, to the maximum extent practicable, be located away from the shorefront. This is in keeping with the Planning Board policy calling for stimulating development "perpendicular to the coast" in coastal towns.

Coastal sites designated by the Planning Board as suitable for coastal dependent industries shall to the maximum extent practicable, be (1) protected against other kinds of development, and (2) be reserved for coastal-dependent industry except in those instances where natural systems destruction is unacceptable.

Sites reserved for coastal-dependent industry, but which are also important from the standpoint of natural values, shall be developed for industry only after the fullest practicable consideration of location and design alternatives available to protect natural systems.

There has been some concern or misunderstanding expressed during the program's development as to how these new policies are established and whether they are sufficiently comprehensive.

First, the above policies, as well as the program, are established as the coastal zone element of the Islandwide Land Use Plan with their adoption by the Planning Board. The formal adoption of the PRCP by the Planning Board will take place during the DEIS review period.
Secondly, the development of policies and criteria to guide coastal management was an ongoing process throughout the project effort. Numerous alternatives were considered at each stage of this process, but the basic decisions concerned the following:

1. Whether to develop a wholly new set of policies for the coastal management program, or

2. To build on established policies, adding refinements necessary for coastal management.

The second option was chosen. The decision was accordingly made to base PRCHP on the objectives and policies element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan (adopted and approved in June 1977). This element establishes policy in six areas—urban development, industrial development, agricultural development, floodable areas, infrastructure, and natural areas. These objectives and policies (quotes in Chapter 2), which apply to the entire Island, establish the framework for coastal management. When adopted and approved, the PRCHP will be the coastal element of the Islandwide Land Use Plan.

A thorough examination was made of the land use policies, as well as additional existing policies and criteria, for their applicability to, and effectiveness for, coastal management. Where specific policies from the islandwide plan apply to specific coastal problems, these are quoted in Chapter 3. Where other existing policy statements or criteria were judged applicable, these were incorporated as part of the PRCHP, and are quoted in Chapter 3 (for example, the EQB's mangrove wetlands resolution), or incorporated by reference (for example, Commonwealth and Federal standards on wastewater discharges).

In most instances, the islandwide policies, coupled with other existing policies were found to provide a sufficient policy base for coastal management. However, in a few cases, new policies appeared to be necessary. (See Chapters 3 and 4.)
Alternative 2: The Assistant Administrator could delay or deny program approval if the Commonwealth lacks the ability to continue to consider the national interest. The Federal regulations require the Commonwealth continue to consider the national interest during the implementation of the program.

In Appendix B, the program states that all affected Commonwealth agencies will consider the national interest in siting of facilities. By adoption of this document by the Planning Board, and the transmittal of PRCMP to OCZM by the Governor, this statement is considered binding.

The Commonwealth considered the option of making the consideration of national interest of the siting of facilities a new policy, but determined that the consideration, as such, is procedural and part of an ongoing and continuing process which is closely related to continuing Federal consultation.

Alternative 3: The Assistant Administrator, if more appropriate could give "preliminary approval" of the PRCMP.

The alternative of "preliminary approval" of the PRCMP under Section 305(d)(2) has been suggested in review comments.

NOAA considered the alternative of preliminary approval and determined that it would be inappropriate. A review of the basic proposed program and Appendix B resulted in the Assistant Administrator making an initial affirmative finding which would mandate approval and vitiate the need for Section 305(d)(2) approval.

H. CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH OTHERS

Several sections of the PRCMP document describe involvement and coordination with other agencies and the general public in development of the program. Appendix B summarizes public participation efforts, Federal and Commonwealth agency consultation, and local government participation. Appendix D provides documentation of Commonwealth and Federal agency consultation, including reports of meetings and agency comments on a preliminary draft of the PRCMP. Appendix E provides additional information on public participation.
PART FOUR: APPENDICES
APPENDIX A

COMMONWEALTH AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AFFECTING THE COAST
Appendix A

COMMONWEALTH AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AFFECTING THE COAST

The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 has created a new opportunity to mold the activities of all major contributors to the management of coastal resources into a comprehensive management program exhibiting internal consistency. Coordination is a complex task, encompassing Federal as well as Commonwealth agencies.

I. COMMONWEALTH AGENCIES

In addition to the four principal agencies described in Chapter 4, numerous Commonwealth agencies (including public corporations) have programs and responsibilities that affect the coastal zone. Brief descriptions of these agencies are included here.

Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW)

The Department, operating in its current form following reorganization in 1971, has a number of responsibilities which are important to the management of the coast:

Highways -- the Highway Authority of DTPW plans and constructs highways. The DTPW maintains highways, bridges, and related structures.

Ports and airports -- the Port Authority, linked to DTPW, is in charge of planning, construction and operation of harbors and airports and the administration of sea and air transportation of passengers and cargo.

Flood prevention -- DTPW shares responsibility with DNR for providing flood control in the Commonwealth.

Department of Agriculture

The Department of Agriculture has islandwide responsibility for numerous agricultural programs and activities. Affiliated agencies and public corporations include the Agricultural Council, the Agricultural Development Corporation, the Agricultural Credit Corporation, and the Land Authority.

Department of Housing

Functioning since 1972, the Department of Housing coordinates housing plans with guidelines established by the Planning Board and supervises governmental activities related to housing

The Puerto Rico Planning Board, the Regulations and Permits Administration, the Environmental Quality Board, and the Department of Natural Resources.
and urban renovation. The Department's related public corporation is the Urban Renewal and Housing Corporation.

The Public Parks and Recreation Administration

The Public Parks and Recreation Administration, operating since 1950, is in charge of development and maintenance of Puerto Rico's public recreation facilities. Under its jurisdiction in the coast are 13 balnearios (bathing beaches) and public beaches. PPEA's related public corporation is the Recreation Development Company.

Community Action Agency

The Community Action Agency, which is affiliated with the Puerto Rico Department of Labor, is involved in a number of programs geared toward improving the health and social welfare of the Island's population by generating employment.

Tourism Development Company

In recognition of the tourist industry's economic importance, the Tourism Development Company was created in 1970 to promote and improve tourism on the Island. The Company may acquire property to carry out its programs, and has a number of programs related to enhancing tourism.

Water Resources Authority

The Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority, originally established to develop the Island's hydro-electric potential, is the Commonwealth's power authority. It generates electric power, principally at major steam generating plants located along the coast, and distributes it, throughout the Island.

Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA)

The Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority is responsible for building, operating, and maintaining the Island's water and sewer system, including wastewater treatment facilities and ocean outfalls. The planning, design and construction of wastewater treatment facilities must be consistent with requirements of EQB, the Planning Board, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Institute of Puerto Rican Culture

The Institute of Puerto Rican Culture is responsible for preserving and restoring monuments of historical or cultural significance. The Institute has directed the restoration of almost 300 buildings in the Historic Districts of San Juan and Ponce and the preservation of other historic
monuments on the Island. The Institute administers Historic District regulations and is currently updating its list of historic sites.

Land Administration

The Land Administration, a public corporation created in 1962, has the responsibility for promoting efficient land use, particularly to achieve compact and contiguous urban residential development. To this end, the Administration has broad authority to buy and sell land. Among its other activities, the Administration often acquires land needed for public purposes by other Commonwealth agencies.

Economic Development Administration (Fomento)

Fomento coordinates the Commonwealth's economic development efforts. Along with its associated public corporation, the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company (PRIDCO), Fomento is instrumental in implementing programs to accelerate Puerto Rico's economic development, principally through industrial promotion.

II. FEDERAL AGENCIES

A prerequisite to the establishment of a comprehensive coastal management program is identification of the roles played by Federal agencies in coastal areas. This identification has aided the Commonwealth in providing an opportunity for Federal agencies to participate, to acknowledge their views and consider the national interest, and to provide a means for continuing coordination and consultation on the coastal management program.

Many of the Federal agency programs and activities mentioned in this appendix are described more fully in Chapter 3.

AGENCIES OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

Two Agencies representing the executive branch of the Federal government contribute significantly to coastal management in Puerto Rico:

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

An important tool of OMB is circular A-95, which provides for a review and comment process among agencies affected by Federal proposals. The use of the A-95 process as a mechanism for insuring coordination and consistency with the Puerto Rico Coastal Management program is discussed in Appendix B.
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). The CEQ was created by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and among other duties, provides guidelines for preparation of the environmental impact statements required by the Act.

DEPARTMENTS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Seven of the eleven departments of the Federal government have important responsibilities for the management of Puerto Rico's coasts. Some assist the public or private sectors through the administration of grant and loan programs. Others have regulatory or licensing responsibilities in coastal areas or perform a variety of direct actions, such as conducting research or managing Federal facilities.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Three of the Department's program areas are significant to management of the coast:

The Farmers Home Administration (FhHA) FhHA administers several loan programs which encourage development of the coast, for example, industrial development loans and water and waste development systems loans.

The Forest Service (FS) FS maintains and conducts research at the Institute of Tropical Forestry at the University of Puerto Rico. FS manages the multiple resources of the Caribbean National Forest (El Yunque) etc.

The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) SCS conducts five major programs in cooperation with Commonwealth and local agencies and with the private sector: Conservation operations, river basin surveys, watershed planning, flood prevention, and resource development. SCS has also conducted soil surveys and studies on sedimentation rates in selected reservoirs and has planned multi-purpose flood control projects, as well as participated in flood hazard mapping.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Many of this Department's administrative divisions potentially affect Puerto Rico's coastal zone, including the Industry and Trade Administration, the Office of Science and Technology, and the Office of Minority Business Enterprise. Three other divisions have noteworthy importance to coastal management:

The Economic Development Administration (EDA) EDA provides grants, loans, and technical assistance to aid in the development of public facilities and private enterprises that create jobs in areas experiencing severe unemployment and low income problems. Puerto Rico is eligible for EDA assistance.
The Overall Economic Development Program for 1976-77, as prepared by the Puerto Rico Planning Board and submitted to EDA, includes 122 projects in Puerto Rico.

The Maritime Administration (MARAD). MARAD administers Federal laws designed to promote and maintain an efficient merchant marine. MARAD is involved in a number of activities related to the commercial fishing industry and port facilities, including ship construction and operation aid.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), which includes the Office of Coastal Zone Management, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the National Weather Service, the Office of Sea Grant, and the National Ocean Survey. Among NOAA's constituent elements, those that promise to have the greatest impact on Puerto Rico's coastal zone are:

1. The Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM), through its administration of the Coastal Zone Management Act; and
2. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). NMFS has a number of responsibilities related to marine resources and their habitats, including protection of endangered species and marine mammals; permit review pursuant to the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; protection and conservation of valuable and necessary fishery resources pursuant to the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976; and, in cooperation with the states, in carrying out research and development projects under the Commercial Fisheries Research and Development Act of 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

The Department of Defense impacts Puerto Rico by permitting, construction, and mapping, and training as well as by its holdings:

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (CoE). The Corps is a focal point of long standing for significant coastal regulatory control. The Corps issues permits to private parties and public agencies to fill, dredge, or build in, on, over, or beneath navigable waters. The Corps is also involved in Puerto Rico through its Flood Plain Management Services Program (flood plain mapping and reports), and in flood control, water resources, navigation, urban and beach erosion control studies or projects.

The U.S. Navy. The Navy plays a role in national defense and conducts training activities at Roosevelt Roads and on Vieques.

Department of Defense land holdings. Department of Defense land holdings in Puerto Rico's coastal areas are shown on Map 27, Excluded Federal Lands.
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)

The Department of Energy was created to administer effective management of energy functions of the Federal government, including, the effective management of energy supply and conservation programs. The Department is responsible for the formulation and implementation of National energy policies. Within the specific directives of the CZMA, the Department encourages states to develop CZM programs to:

- Demonstrate in both broad policy statements and substance of the program; recognition of the national interest aspects of energy facility siting and acknowledge the unique importance of coastal locations for the siting of many energy facilities;
- Establish land use measures which accommodate the siting and development of energy facilities;
- Develop energy facilities siting process;
- Designate GAPC’s which include areas where energy development may be suitable.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, an independent Regulatory Commission within DOE is responsible for licensing non-Federal hydroelectric projects and transmission lines.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE (HEW)

Social services programs of HEW assist many Puerto Ricans through the Department’s many operating components.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD)

HUD's impact takes the form of grants, loans, and insurance measures. For example, the HUD 701 program has provided comprehensive planning assistance, which supports a broad range of planning and management activities of the Puerto Rico Planning Board. Two sub-programs of HUD also impact the coast:

1. The Federal Disaster Assistance Administration (FDAA) manages and coordinates the Federal disaster assistance and relief program; and
2. The Federal Insurance Administration (FIA) administers the National Flood Insurance Program.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)

Five subagencies of DOI have impact on Puerto Rico's coast:
The Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service (HCRS). HCRS is now responsible for maintaining the National Register for Historical Places through the Office of Archeological and Historic Preservation (OARHP). OARHP also administers a grant program for preservation planning programs, as well as acquisition and restoration of historic sites, and provides technical assistance and information on historic preservation technology. HCRS also administers a grant program under the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 to provide assistance in planning, acquiring, and developing outdoor recreation areas and facilities. In Puerto Rico, the Recreation Development Company and the Public Parks and Recreation Administration have been most active in utilizing these funds. HCRS also has responsibility for formulating and implementing a comprehensive Nationwide Outdoor Recreation Plan.
The Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). FWS guides the conservation, development, and management of the nation's fish and wildlife resources. Functions of FWS relative to coastal management include responsibilities under the 1973 Endangered Species Act, permit reviews pursuant to the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, management of National Wildlife Refuges and the management of certain transferred lands in Culebra. FWS also provides assistance for fish and wildlife restoration.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). The significant contributions of USGS include water resource studies, hydrologic data collection, and dissemination of the resulting data. USGS has prepared geological maps for the entire Island, has mapped coastal flood plains, and has conducted hydrologic investigations in cooperation with Commonwealth agencies. The USGS is also engaged, in close cooperation with the Department of Natural Resources, in a program of mapping the insular shelf that surrounds Puerto Rico, determining the potential mineral deposits there, and training Puerto Ricans in marine geology and in the techniques of scientific work at sea. USGS also has responsibility for evaluating, regulating, and inspecting Federal lands (including the OCS) in regard to mineral operations.

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM). BLM has responsibilities for managing Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) mineral resources, including, for example, the identification of areas to accommodate facilities which might be necessary for the development and protection of OCS resources. BLM also has responsibilities for managing mineral resources on Federal lands.

The National Park Service (NPS). NPS manages two historic forts in Old San Juan, and provides grants for the preservation of non-Federal historic properties.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)

Three subagencies of DOT have principal impact on the coast:

The U.S. Coast Guard. The Coast Guard affects Puerto Rico principally by promoting water safety; enforcing water pollution and oil spill regulations; placing, operating, and maintaining public navigation aids as required; permitting private navigation aids, bridges, and deepwater ports; and performing maritime search and rescue services. The Coast Guard maintains lighthouses at several locations and numerous other buoys and warning lights. The Coast Guard plays an essential role in National Defense.
The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The purpose of the FAA is to foster air commerce and safety, and to promote a national system of airports. FAA conducts airport planning and development aid grant programs.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). FHWA programs include financial incentives for highway planning and construction and highway beautification. FHWA's responsibilities also include jurisdiction over the transport of hazardous materials on the nation's highway system.

INDEPENDENT FEDERAL AGENCIES

In addition to the agencies of the executive branch and subagencies of the major departments of the Federal government discussed above, seven independent agencies have coastal functions affecting Puerto Rico:

The Community Services Administration (CSA). CSA administers a number of projects directed at solving basic social and economic problems by providing economic and educational opportunity and financial assistance to low income groups. For example, CSA provides funds to Puerto Rico for a fishery development program.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). EPA has a broad mandate to preserve and protect the physical environment. EPA has assisted in the preparation of air and water pollution controls applicable to Puerto Rico. EPA's impact on the coast is through the agency's enforcement, policy making and review functions, and the administration of several grant programs.

The Federal Maritime Commission (FMC). FMC regulates the waterborne foreign and domestic offshore commerce of the U.S. FMC also has responsibilities under P.L. 92-500, as amended, with respect to evidence of financial responsibility of vessel owners or operators which may be subject to liability to the U.S. for removal of hazardous substances, including oil, from U.S. navigable waters and adjoining shorelines.

General Services Administration (GSA). GSA has authority over the transfer and disposal of surplus Federal lands to other governmental entities and sales to the private sector.

Federal Regional Council (FRC). Of the Federal agencies that have a major impact on the coast, most include Puerto Rico in their New York regions. The New York FRC, which seeks to attain coordination among programs of its constituent agencies, has provided a forum for participation by many of those agencies in the development of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program.
The Water Resources Council (WRC). WRC is instrumental in the preparation of Commonwealth water and related land resources plans in cooperation with the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board and the Department of Natural Resources.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). NRC is responsible for licensing the construction and operation of nuclear facilities and for the general regulation of nuclear materials.
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THE PUERTO RICO PROGRAM AND THE COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT

The Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, (the CZMA) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to make annual grants to any coastal state for the costs of administering the state's management program in accordance with Section 306 of the CZMA.

Sections 306(a), (c), (d), (e), and (h) and Sections 307(b) and (f) of the CZMA establish requirements that must be satisfied before the Secretary approves a management program. This appendix relates Puerto Rico's Coastal Management Program to those requirements.

REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 306(a) OF THE CZMA

Pursuant to Section 306(a), the Assistant Administrator for Coastal Zone Management must find, before approval of a management program, that the program meets the requirements of Section 305(b). (The requirements of subsections 305(b)(7), (8), and (9), however, need not be met until October 1, 1978.)

(1) BOUNDARIES

The Management program must include "an identification of the boundaries of the coastal zone subject to the management program." (Sec. 305(b)(1))

(a) Inland boundary

Sec. 304 specifies that the coastal zone "extends inland from the shorelines only to the extent necessary to control shorelands, the uses of which have a direct and significant impact on coastal waters."

The coastal zone of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico includes:

1. A coastal strip, on the Island of Puerto Rico, extending (a) 1,000 meters inland from the shoreline, and extending (b) additional distances inland where necessary to assure the inclusion of key natural systems of the coast. The resulting inland boundary is shown on Maps 30-37.

2. In addition, all islands in the Commonwealth other than the Island of Puerto Rico. The coastal zone thus includes the entire area of Culebra, Vieques, Mona and all other offshore islands and keys.

Although there has been consensus that the boundary should include all offshore islands, selection of an inland boundary on the Island of Puerto Rico required a choice among several possible

* As explained on page B-5 to B-6 all Federal lands are excluded from the coastal zone
alternatives. The following possibilities have been considered, singly and in various combinations:

1. "Natural systems" boundaries, which would include ecological systems peculiar to the immediate littoral areas, with buffer areas needed to assure sound management.

2. "Fixed distance" boundaries paralleling the shoreline at some fixed distance inland.

3. "Key areas" boundaries, which would include those areas designated by the Program as particularly significant to the achievement of its objectives.

4. "Political jurisdiction" boundaries, which would follow the boundaries of municipalities or of barrios.

5. A "perimeter road" boundary, which would include the entire area lying seaward of the main highways that encircle the island (routes 2 and 3).

6. A "coastal plain" boundary, which would include all of the relatively flat, buildable areas that surround the largely mountainous center of the Island.

7. No boundary, thereby including the entire Island within the coastal zone.

The purpose of drawing a boundary between the coastal zone and the rest of Puerto Rico is to identify the area subject to the Federally aided Coastal Management Program. The nature of that Program substantially determines the appropriate boundary.

While the nature of the Program was still being determined, during a study period that lasted roughly three years, it proved useful to establish preliminary study boundaries that included much of Puerto Rico's coastal plain. A variety of modified "political jurisdiction" boundaries were tentatively selected during the study period. Several studies, and some maps in this document, consider data within a "second barrio study boundary", located about 7 kilometers inland, which generally includes shorefront barrios as well as contiguous barrios inland.

It was ultimately concluded, however, that the Program boundary should be drawn quite close to the shoreline. Several factors influenced this conclusion:

The unique opportunity presented to Puerto Rico by the Coastal Management Program is to promote development and resource management that is sensitive to the natural systems and features
of the immediate coastal area — features such as mangroves, beaches, dunes, reefs, and coastal waters. The need for this sensitivity is clear, and no other program provides a comparable opportunity to foster it.

The anticipated funding level of the Coastal Management Program in Puerto Rico is not excessive for a program focused on the immediate coastal area. That is, the importance and complexity of nearshore resources warrants the expenditure of all the Commonwealth and Federal funds expected to be available for coastal zone management.

The Commonwealth government already exercises direct land-use planning and control powers everywhere in Puerto Rico, and local governments have no such powers. There is thus no need to rely on the Coastal Management Program — or to extend its boundaries substantially inland — to obtain Commonwealth land-use control powers or to curb abuses of local powers.

The coastal plain comprises 80 percent of Puerto Rico's readily developable land (that is, of the land with a slope of less than 45 per cent). The coastal plain therefore receives most development pressures and much of the attention of the islandwide land-use planning and control processes. Therefore, if the Coastal Management Program were to include much or all of the coastal plain, the Program would substantially overlap or duplicate the islandwide program, and the unique opportunity to concentrate attention on problems of the immediate coastal area would be reduced.

The above factors pointed to a Program focused on the littoral area and to a Program boundary drawn close to that area. There remained the task of choosing among several possible nearshore boundaries. To help in making that choice, several objectives were established:

First, the boundary should include the important ecological systems of the immediate coastal area, since these are a major focus of the Program.

Second, the boundary should also include key sites for coastal-dependent development, since these sites are of great importance to the Island.

Third, the boundary should be drawn loosely enough to permit minor Program refinements, responsive to a continuing planning process, without the formality of boundary amendments (A boundary drawn tightly around key areas, for example, could hamper later enlargement of the areas, or enlargement of buffer areas around them, in response to future studies.)
Fourth, for administrative convenience, the boundary should be simple to describe and understand.

Neither a "natural systems" boundary, nor a "key areas" boundary, could satisfy these criteria. A "fixed distance" boundary, 1,000 meters inland, did appear to satisfy them except in a few places where key coastal systems extend somewhat farther inland. The result was the boundary finally chosen: 1,000 meters inland plus greater depths in the few places necessary to assure inclusion of key natural systems.

(b) Territorial sea

Section 304 defines the coastal zone as extending seaward to the outer limits of the United States territorial sea. "At present," according to comments received from the Office of Coastal Zone Management (OCZM), "this limit is 3 nautical miles from the appropriate baselines recommended by international law and defined precisely by the United States."

The coastal zone of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico extends seaward 3 nautical miles from all land areas—including offshore islands and keys—that are subject to Commonwealth jurisdiction. Map 25 shows the seaward boundary. (For the purposes of this program, the Commonwealth accepts the 3-mile limit. However, the Commonwealth does not relinquish any authority it may have over areas lying beyond the 3-mile limit specified by OCZM.)

(c) Islands, transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands, beaches

Section 304(a) specifies that the coastal zone include "islands, transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands, and beaches."

The Puerto Rico coastal zone, as just described, includes all such coastal features. (See Map 26.)

Puerto Rico, being subtropical, has no salt marshes as such, for these are mainly temperate ecosystems. Some types of mangrove wetlands may be regarded as roughly equivalent ecosystems, however.

Wetlands in Puerto Rico may be divided into two principal classifications—mangrove wetlands and other wetlands:

Mangrove Wetland This category includes natural tropical and subtropical ecosystems characterized by coastal forests, dominated (in Puerto Rico) by one or more of
the following species: Rhizophora mangle, Avicennia germinans, Laguncularia racemosa, or Conocarpus erecta, subjected to and influenced by the rise and fall of the tides, or fluctuations in salinity. Brackish waters provide the best growth conditions, but mangroves are also well developed in regions of pure sea water, around hypersaline lagoons and salt flats, and up rivers and streams, or behind coastal barriers (e.g., sand dunes) where salt water only occasionally reaches.

Other Wetlands: In Puerto Rico, this category includes all other swampy areas. Principal among these are freshwater lagoons and their typical surrounding vegetation and those inland areas along drainage depressions that channel terrestrial runoff toward the coast, usually being part of floodplains. Typical freshwater swamps, such as pterocarpus communities, are a small but important constituent of this category.

Beaches are defined as unconsolidated shores of sand or gravel. The nature and origin of the materials that form the beach can be terrigenous, marine, or volcanic. The particle size can range from 2 to 625 μm, which includes the size classifications for sand, pebbles, and cobbles. Islands, mangrove wetlands, other wetlands, and beaches are identified on Maps 30-37.

(d) Interstate boundaries

Puerto Rico has no common boundary with any state or territory of the United States.

(e) Excluded Federal lands

Sec. 304 defines the coastal zone as excluding "lands the use of which is by law subject solely to the discretion of or which is held in trust by the Federal Government, its officers, or agents." An opinion of the U.S. Attorney General has established that all lands owned, leased, held in trust, or otherwise used solely by the Federal Government are excluded from the coastal zone by the Act.

The Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program is intended to exclude from the coastal zone all those lands, but only those lands, whose exclusion is required
by the quoted language of Sec. 304 Map 27 identifies lands owned, leased, held in trust, or otherwise used solely by the Federal Government. The Commonwealth does not relinquish any authority it may have, separate from the requirements of the CZMA, over lands shown on the map as being excluded from the coastal zone for purposes of the Coastal Zone Program only.) Several maps (e.g., Map 13, Critical Areas for Endangered Wildlife) show resources or other features regardless of boundaries of Federal land. These maps are intended to show the full extent of coastal resources, even though some of these are excluded by law from the coastal zone.

The submerged lands surrounding Puerto Rico, according to an opinion of the Solicitor of the U.S. Department of the Interior dated June 19, 1975, are the property of the Federal Government. A bill recently introduced in Congress (H.R. 7227) would confirm Commonwealth ownership of submerged lands.

(2) USES SUBJECT TO MANAGEMENT

The management program must identify land and water uses which have a direct and significant impact on the coastal waters. (sec. 305(b)(2)). These uses are subject to the terms of the management program.

For this determination, the following definitions were developed:

**Impact** is any modification in an element of the coastal waters, such as quality, quantity, living resources, and aesthetics, or human or natural use thereof. Impacts can be both positive and negative.

**Direct** indicates a causal relationship, denoting that the impact on coastal water derives as a consequence from the action or use.

**Significant impacts** are those which: Affect the characteristics of the coastal marine and estuarine ecosystems; affect other uses of coastal waters; affect the interests of the public; are inevitable; or serve short-term objectives to the disadvantage of long-term objectives.
By applying these definitions, the following uses have been determined to be subject to management:

a) All uses of coastal waters and submerged lands;

b) All uses of the zona maritimo-terrestre (the publicly-owned "maritime zone" along the shorefront);

c) All uses within areas which will be designated as Natural Reserves;

d) The following major uses: Land subdivisions, urbanizations, industrial projects, commercial centers, hotels, "villa turísticas," and "trailer camps." (See discussion in Chapter 4.)

Permitted uses of water will be determined in each instance by review of development proposals in light of the policies set forth in the Islandwide Land Use Plan, including the new policies established by the FRCHP, the policies and standards of the Environmental Quality Board, and any plan and policies adopted by DNR. Most development of water areas requires a permit from the Army Corps of Engineers; the Department can prevent the granting of a Corps permit by denying an endorsement.

In addition, both Commonwealth and Federal law require the preparation of environmental impact statements before taking any action that would significantly affect the environment.

(3) AREAS OF PARTICULAR CONCERN

The Management Program must include an inventory and designation of Areas of Particular Concern within the coastal zone. (Section 305(b)(3))

Areas of Particular Concern (APC's) are designated in Chapter 4, and are shown on Map 29. These designations include a description of the nature of the concern and how the Management Program addresses and resolves the concern. Guidelines regarding priorities of uses in these areas, including lowest priorities, are found below. (For convenience of the general public in reviewing this document, APC's are called "Special Planning Areas" in the text.) These designations are based upon:

a) A review of natural and man-made coastal zone resources. An inventory of such resources appears on Maps 30-37.

b) Consideration of the following factors contained in 15 CFR 923.21(d):
(i) Areas of unique, scarce, fragile or vulnerable natural habitat, unique or
fragile figuration (for example, Niagara Falls), historical significance,
cultural value or scenic importance (including resources on or determined to
be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places).

(ii) Areas of high natural productivity or essential habitat for living resources,
including fish, wildlife and endangered species and the various tropic levels
in the food web critical to their well-being.

(iii) Areas of substantial recreational value and/or opportunity.

(iv) Areas where developments and facilities are dependent upon the utilization of,
or access to, coastal waters.

(v) Areas of unique hydrologic, geologic or topographic significance for indus-
trial or commercial development or for dredge spoil disposal.

(vi) Areas of urban concentration where shoreline utilization and water uses are
highly competitive.

(vii) Areas of significant hazard, if developed, due to storms, slides, floods,
erosion, settlement, and salt water intrusion.

(viii) Areas needed to protect, maintain or replenish coastal lands or resources in-
cluding flood plains, aquifers and their recharge areas, estuaries, sand dunes,
coral and other reefs, beaches, offshore sand deposits, and mangrove stands.

If the areas deemed significant upon consideration of the above inventory and factors,
several are recommended in Chapter 4 for future designation as Areas for Preservation
or Restoration (APR's). (For convenience of the general public in reviewing this doc-
ument, APR's are called "Natural Reserves" in the text.)

APC's in Puerto Rico contain a resource feature that both (1) has outstanding value when
judged by the criteria set forth above, and (2) has potential for more than one use or
may be sought by potentially incompatible users. Thus, APC's represent areas of signi-
ficant conflict, present or potential, over future use. Although all of Puerto Rico is
subject to direct planning and control by the Commonwealth government, the presence of
significant conflicts makes these areas of particular concern to the Commonwealth, which
will be subject to more intensive planning and management once the Program is approved.

(4) MEANS OF EXERTING COMMONWEALTH CONTROL

The Management Program must include an identification of the means by which the state
proposes to exert control over land and water uses, including a listing of relevant con-
stitutional provisions, laws, regulations, and judicial decisions. (Section 305(b)(4))

Chapter 4 contains a description of the powers and programs of the Puerto Rico Planning
Board, the Regulations and Permits Administration, the Environmental Quality Board, and
the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Special reference is made to the following
statutes and regulations:

Statutes:


b) Regulations and Permits Administration. Regulations and Permits Administration
   Organic Act, Law No.76 of June 24, 1975, and the following acts to which it refers:
- Airport Zone Act, Act No. 92 of June 16, 1953
- Act No. 374 of May 14, 1949 (Amended) (historic and tourist zones)
- Act No. 89 of June 21, 1955 (Institute of Puerto Rican Culture)
- Act No. 3 of March 2, 1951 (buildings of historic value)
- Act No. 3 of September 27, 1961 (control of buildings in floodable areas)
- Act No. 168 of May 4, 1969 (Puerto Rico building regulations)
- Act No. 135 of June 15, 1967 (certification of plans and specifications)
- Act No. 25 of June 8, 1962 (neighborhood facilities for urban development)
- Act No. 427 of May 13, 1951 (sign control)
- Act No 104 of June 25, 1958 (Horizontal Property Act)

(c) Environmental Quality Board. Public Environmental Policy Act, Law No 9 of June 18, 1970.

d) Department of Natural Resources. Department of Natural Resources Organic Act, Law No. 23 of June 20, 1972, and the following acts to which it refers:
  - Hunting Law, Law No. 374 of May 11, 1950
  - Fishing Law, Law No. 83 of May 13, 1936
  - Forestry Law, Law No. 22 of November 22, 1917
  - Law No. 19 of May 28, 1925 (forest planting)
  - Law No. 38 of April 25, 1930 (forest reserves)
  - Law No. 39 of April 13, 1946 (land acquisition)
  - Law No. 149 of May 9, 1945 (nurseries)
  - Mining Law, Law No. 6 of October 6, 1954
  - Watershed Law, Law No. 4 of April 20, 1967
  - Flooding (acquisition of property), Law No. 28 of May 14, 1964

Other statutes:

a) Law No. 144 of June 3, 1976 (extraction of materials from the earth's crust)
b) Law for the Conservation and Development of Culebra, Act No. 66 of June 22, 1975
c) Law No. 70 of May 30, 1976 (wildlife)
d) Law No. 132 of July 1975 (squatters)
e) Law No. 211 of March 26, 1946 (soil conservation districts)
f) Law No. 21 September 6, 1969 (litter)
g) Law of Ports for the Island of Puerto Rico, May 3, 1886
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(5) USE PRIORITIES IN PARTICULAR AREAS

The Management Program must include "broad guidelines on priorities of uses in particular areas, including specifically those uses of lowest priority." (Section 305(b)(5))

The priorities set forth below establish the broad guidelines for all APC's, which include (1) all mangrove wetlands and, (2) seven areas specified in Chapter 4.

For mangrove wetlands, use priorities are derived from the policy established in Chapter 3, section on Mangrove Wetlands. These priorities are as follows:
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a) (Highest priority). Uses specified in the mangrove wetlands policy as being permitted in Natural Reserves (APR's) (e.g., burying cable or pipelines).

b) Uses specified in the mangrove wetland policy as being permitted in other mangrove wetlands (e.g., nature study, aquaculture, or similar resource-dependent activity).

c) (Lowest priority). All other uses.

For other APC's, the Program provides for detailed planning, regulation, and enforcement, so that natural systems will be protected insofar as practicable in each case, consistent with achievement of needed economic development. In some cases, development disruptive of natural systems may be unavoidable. Such development will be permitted, however, only after full consideration of location and design alternatives that would cause less disruption of natural systems. (The Commonwealth's EIS requirements, already described, assure this evaluation.) As a result of this policy, the priorities of uses for APC's (other than mangrove wetlands) are as follows:

a) (Highest priority). Uses that maintain the integrity of estuaries and coastal waters.

b) Coastal-dependent uses that cannot practically be redesigned or relocated to cause less impact on natural systems. (See Chapter 3, section on Coastal-Dependent Industry.)

c) Uses strongly benefited by coastal location (including (1) industries such as power plants that need cooling waters or which use large quantities of imported products; and, (2) industries that serve or are supplied by water-related industries and therefore seek locations near them) and which cannot practically be redesigned or relocated to cause less impact on natural systems. (See Chapter 3, section on Coastal-Dependent Industry.)

d) Non-dependent, non-related uses that retain flexibility of future use and do not prematurely or inalterably commit shorelands to more intensive uses. (See Chapter 2, policy on protecting future options; Chapter 3, section on Coastal-Dependent Industry.)

e) (Lowest priority). Non-dependent, non-related uses that cause a permanent or long-term change in the features of coastal shorelands or coastal waters.
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The program must include a description of the organizational structure proposed to implement the program, including the responsibilities and interrelationships of local, areawide, state, regional, and interstate agencies in the management process. (Section 305(b)(6))

In his covering letter, which accompanies the Program document, the Governor has designated the Department of Natural Resources as the lead agency for administering the Coastal Management Program. This decision reflects the two emphases of the Program as described in Chapter 4: a) Guiding Development on Public and Private Property; and b) Active Management of Coastal Resources.

a) Guiding Development on Public and Private Property. Responsibility for development guidance functions, which are of a policy-making, planning, and regulatory nature, is concentrated in the Puerto Rico Planning Board (PRPB). The issuance of permits pursuant to PRPB regulations, as well as some other largely ministerial duties, are the responsibility of the Regulations and Permits Administration (RPA). Additional policies and regulations have been established by the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). All four agencies are described in Chapter 4.

The responsibilities of PRPB, which is administratively attached to the Office of the Governor, are extremely broad. The Board is responsible for the full range of public objectives, for considering the full range of methods available to achieve them, and for the entire area of the Commonwealth. Guiding development in the coastal zone is thus only one of many "sectors" for which the Board has planning responsibility.

The development guidance emphasis of the Program, as explained in Chapter 4, is not one of establishing a new development guidance process at the Commonwealth level, since such a process has been operative for many years. Rather, the Program emphasis is on refining the existing process -- by fine tuning regulations, for example, and by making more site-specific decisions before development proposals are submitted -- so that the process may respond more sensitively to the problems and opportunities of the immediate littoral area.

*The signed cover letter will be included in the final PRCFP.*
Although many of the needed refinements must ultimately be accepted or rejected by PRPB, the breadth of PRPB's duties dictates that the Board not be responsible for initiating them and pressing for their adoption and implementation. Other agencies, with narrower ranges of responsibilities, are better able to focus on the needs of individual sectors such as this. In the case of coastal management, principal sectorial responsibility is assigned to the Department of Natural Resources. (The Planning Board Organic Law (Section 13 (3)) obliges the Board to assist agencies in preparing and implementing their functional and sectorial plans and programs.)

b) Active Management of Coastal Resources. With particular emphasis on public lands and waters, active management of coastal resources is the second emphasis of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program. This emphasis includes measures to protect and maintain coastal resources (e.g., regulating resource extraction, franchising, surveillance, enforcement). It also includes measures to enhance public use and enjoyment of the resources (e.g., property acquisition, beach cleaning, provision of recreational facilities). The established responsibilities of the Department of Natural Resources, explained in Chapter 4, make it the appropriate agency to be responsible for this aspect of the program as well.

Linkages among the four principal agencies responsible for implementation of the Program are of critical importance. These are described in Chapter 4.

**REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 306(c) OF THE CZMA**

1) **ADOPTION, PARTICIPATION, FEDERAL POLICY**

The state must have developed and adopted a management program for its coastal zone in accordance with rules and regulations promulgated by the Secretary, after notice, and with the opportunity of full participation by relevant Federal agencies, state agencies, local governments, regional organizations, port authorities, and other interested parties, public and private, which is adequate to carry out the purposes of this title and is consistent with the policy declared in Section 303 of this Title. (Section 306(c)(1))

(a) **Public participation**

A description of public participation activities, including public information, informal reviews of preliminary drafts, and public hearings, is presented in Appendix E.
Federal participation

Descriptions of Federal agencies affecting the coast can be found in Appendix A. Federal agency comments and the Coastal Zone Project staff's responses may be found in Appendix D. Federal agency participation in formulation of the Program comprised four elements.

1. Consultation arising out of meetings on the Culebra Segment. Late in 1975, representatives of 10 Federal agencies met at the Federal Regional Council offices in New York to discuss the Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program and to identify subject areas of particular concern. Several of the agencies participated in discussions at the meeting about their coastal zone management concerns, activities, programs, problems, and expectations. Although the questions and most comments focused on the Culebra Segment, several suggested expectations of the Puerto Rico Program generally.

2. Informal consultation during document preparation. During staff work on preparation of this Program document, Federal agencies were extensively consulted both to obtain information on their present and future programs and to obtain suggestions on ways in which the Program could best be related to them. These consultations were handled principally by telephone or in person with agencies having representatives in Puerto Rico.

3. Meetings to review draft document. A meeting was held on August 16, 1977, at the Federal Regional Council offices in New York to facilitate contributions by Federal agencies to revision of a preliminary draft of this document. A total of 12 agencies participated in this meeting. A list of these agencies and their concerns, together with copies of written comments received and the Coastal Zone Project staff's responses, is contained in Appendix D. All expressed agency views were considered in preparing later drafts of this document.

4. Additional agency consultations to review draft document. In addition to the New York meeting, individual consultations were held with several Federal officials who were unable to attend those meetings or who wished to explore subjects raised there in greater depth. All expressed agency views were considered in preparing later drafts of this Program document.
Future Federal agency participation will comprise 3 elements:

1. Informal consultation during preparation of plans, policies and regulations. For example, in drafting regulations for coral extraction, the Coastal Management Unit would consult the Bureau of Land Management. Or, in drafting a plan for a Special Planning Area that contains habitats of endangered species as well as potential energy facility sites, the Coastal Management Unit would seek technical and policy advice from the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department of Energy, among others.

2. Consultation and coordination with Federal agencies affected by program implementation. For example, in controlling dredging and filling, DNR will continue close coordination with the Corps of Engineers.

3. Formal consultation prior to amendments and refinements to the PRCMP as specified by OCMR regulations.
(c) Commonwealth agency consultation

Descriptions of Commonwealth agencies affecting the coast can be found in Appendix A. Commonwealth agency comments and the Coastal Zone Project staff's responses can be found in Appendix D. Commonwealth agencies have participated in preparing the Program in several ways:

1. Informal consultations were held with a number of agencies during the planning phase of this program, both to obtain information and to determine how the Program might best respond to the needs of these agencies.

2. Prior to the adoption of the Objectives and Policies element of the Planning Board's Islandwide Land Use Plan, personnel of the Planning Board reviewed preliminary drafts with the heads of Commonwealth agencies or their representatives. These meetings were held during 1976.

3. A series of meetings, with technical personnel of agencies principally affected, was held during the summer of 1977 to review a preliminary draft of this Program document. All expressed agency views were considered in preparing later drafts of this Program document.

(d) Local governments

The coastal zone includes portions of 43 municipalities, although none of these has authority to control land use. All the municipalities were given an opportunity to participate in the development of the Program:

A preliminary draft of the Program document and DEIS were sent to the mayors of all coastal municipalities, with requests for comments (or for opportunities to meet with them in person to discuss the Program). All expressed views were considered in preparing later drafts of this Program document.

(e) Regional organizations

There do not appear to be any regional organizations within the Commonwealth's coastal zone.

(f) Port Authorities

The Puerto Rico Ports Authority is linked to the Commonwealth Department of Transportation and Public Works. Participation by the authority is included above in the discussion of participation by Commonwealth agencies.
Consistency with Federal policy in Section 303 of the CZMA

Through its policies and implementing authorities, the Program is intended to be consistent with and to enhance the national policies of Section 303 of the CZMA by

- providing for the preservation, protection, development, and where possible, the restoration or enhancement, of the resources of the coastal zone,
- developing and implementing a management program designed to achieve use of coastal land and water resources with full consideration having been given to ecological, cultural, historic, aesthetic and economic development values,
- providing a means (through the Federal consistency procedures) for continued cooperation and participation of Federal agencies in the administration of the Program,
- encouraging the participation of the public, Federal, Commonwealth, and local governments in the development of the Program, and
- encouraging cooperation through the continued implementation of and adherence to this Management Program of various Commonwealth agencies, particularly regarding environmental problems.

COORDINATION WITH LOCAL, AREAWIDE, INTERSTATE PLANS AND GOVERNMENTS

(a) Local, areawide, interstate plans

The state must have "coordinated its program with local, areawide, and interstate plans applicable to areas within the coastal zone existing on January 1 of the year in which the state's Management Program is submitted to the Secretary, which plans have been developed by a local government, an areawide agency designated pursuant to regulations established under Section 204 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, a regional agency or an interstate agency." (Section 306(c)(2)(A))

This requirement is inapplicable, since no local, areawide, regional, or interstate agency has developed any plan applicable within the coastal zone.

(b) Effective mechanism for continuing coordination.

The state must have "established a mechanism for continuing consultation and coordination between the management agency ... and with local governments, interstate agencies, regional agencies, and areawide agencies within the coastal zone to assure the full participation of such local governments and agencies in carrying out the purposes of this title ..." Special provision is made for any management program decision that would conflict with local zoning. (Section 306(c)(2)(B))

The statutory provision relating to conflicts between the management program and local zoning ordinances is not applicable, since there are no local zoning ordinances in Puerto Rico. As already noted, the coastal zone includes portions of
43 municipalities. One interstate agency may be affected: The Caribbean Fishery Management Council, which has management responsibility in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, under the Fisheries Conservation and Management Act.

A preliminary draft of this Program document was sent to each of these entities. All expressed views were considered in preparing later drafts of this Program document. For the future, the Commonwealth will continue to consult with these entities, using a mutually acceptable procedure, to obtain their participation and assistance and to assure continuing coordination between their programs and the Coastal Management Program.³

For the future, the organizational structure includes formal mechanisms for public involvement, such as:
- Public information programs of the Commonwealth agencies,
- Public hearings required by Commonwealth law before adoption of plans and regulations, and
- The presence of private citizen members of the Environmental Quality Board.

These mechanisms are regarded as supplements to the most important mechanism: The political process, through which private citizens influence agency actions by expressing their interest to the Governor and their legislators.

No formal new mechanisms for updating are believed to be necessary, since all Commonwealth programs must be updated in response to changing conditions. Accordingly, program updates/revisions (as necessary) will be a regular part of Planning Board and Department of Natural Resources activities.

(3) PUBLIC HEARINGS

The state must have held public hearings in the development of the management program. (Sec. 306(c)(3)) Section 311 requires that public hearings be announced at least 30 days in advance and that all documents and other agency materials must be made available at the time of the announcement.

After a draft of this document had been made available to serve as a basis for hearings, the required hearings were held in San Juan, Ponce, and Mayaguez on January 24, 26, and 31 of this year. Public notice of the hearings was given on December 21, 1977 by publication in three newspapers. Copies of a draft of this document were made available to the general public at the time of the public notice, by placing copies at the library

³ To cite one important example, the EOR is developing an islandwide (208) water quality management plan. As that plan is developed, close coordination with the FRCPF will be maintained.
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of the Planning Board and the Coastal Zone Project Office in DNR. Summaries of thehearings are included in Appendix E.

(4) REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY GOVERNOR

The management program and any changes thereto must have been reviewed and approved bythe Governor. (Sec. 306(c)(4)).

The Governor's approval is contained in his covering letter, which accompanies thisprogram document.

(5) DESIGNATION OF SINGLE AGENCY

The Governor must have designated a single agency to receive and administer the grantsfor implementing the management program (sec. 306(c)(5)).

In his covering letter, which accompanies this document, the Governor has certifiedthat the Department of Natural Resources is the single designated agency to receive andadminister grants for implementing the coastal management program, and furthermore, thatthe Department of Natural Resources is designated as the lead agency for implementationof the coastal management program.

Among the statutory powers of the Department, conferred by Article 5 of its Organic Act,are powers to advise the Governor, the Legislative Assembly, and other governmental bodiesconcerning the implementation of public policy governing natural resources; to make con-tracts and agreements with Federal, state, and Commonwealth agencies in order to achieve the objectives of the Department and its programs; and to accept funds from such agencies.

These powers, explicitly or by implication, include the capabilities required by OCZN to accept and administer grant funds; to monitor and evaluate management of Puerto Rico'scoastal resources and to make periodic reports thereof to OCZN, the Governor, and thelegislature; and to request approval from OCZN for changes to the management program ifand when such become necessary.

(6) ORGANIZATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION

The state must be organized to implement the management program. (Sec. 306(c)(6)).

Commonwealth organization for implementation of the Program has been described inChapter 4.

The signed letter will be included in the final PRCNP.
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The State must have authority to implement the program, including the authority required under subsection 306(d) of the CZMA. (See 306(c)(7)).

The authorities of the PRB, EPA, EQB, and DNR to administer land and water regulations and guide development are described in Chapter 4. Authorities to acquire land are set forth below in the discussion of section 306(d).

(8) CONSIDERATION OF THE NATIONAL INTEREST

In order to meet the requirements of subsection 306(c)(8) of the Act states must:

(1) Describe which national interest in the planning for and siting of facilities... were considered during program development and the sources relied upon for such consideration;

(2) Indicate how and where the consideration of those national interests is reflected in the substance of the management program including, where appropriate, indication of when and where national interests in identified facilities may compete or conflict with other national interests in coastal resources conservation...;

(3) Describe a process for continued consideration of identified national interests... during program implementation... including a clear detailed description of administrative procedures and decision points where such interests can be considered.

(a) Identification and Consideration of National Interest in the Commonwealth Coastal Area

One of the Commonwealth's (DNR) principal sources of information for identifying and incorporating consideration of the national interest in the planning for and siting of facilities into the development process and various policies set forth in this document, has been through comments and policy statements of affected Federal agencies (see Appendix D). Federal legislation and Presidential Executive Orders have also been consulted in defining the national interests.

(b) National Interests Considered

National Defense

The Department of Defense and the Army, Navy, Air Force and Coast Guard were contacted during the period of program development. The following are major objectives of National defense:

- to ensure sovereignty of the nation and protect citizens from physical harm or expropriation, and
- to establish and maintain the facilities necessary to carry out the first objectives.
The Commonwealth recognizes the importance of national defense facilities. The
military presence in the Commonwealth remains significant in terms of facilities and
area. While the management program excludes Federally owned and leased land from
the coastal zone, it anticipates that the defense agencies will conform to the
PRCHP to the maximum extent practicable and that Federal consistency mediation
procedures will be used as necessary.

Specifically, the following policies found in Chapter 3 indicate how and where
on the management program the national interest in defense facilities are con-
sidered;

- Special protection of mangrove wetlands
- Criteria for diking, filling, dredging and deposit of dredged sediments.

Energy Production and Transmission

The following agencies were contacted and/or have sent comments during the period
of program development: Department of Energy, Corps of Engineers, and the Depart-
ment of Interior (BLM and USGS). Furthermore, the National Energy Plan objectives
were examined. The following are the major objectives for energy:

1. To reduce dependence on foreign oil and vulnerability to supply interrup-
tions, and

2. To have renewable and essentially inexhaustible sources of energy to sustain
economic growth.

National Interest in energy production is being further considered in the development of
the required energy facilities siting planning process (which will not be completed
until September 30, 1978). The Islandwide Land Use policies in Chapter 2 include
specific infrastructure policies concerning energy facilities (Public Policy 16).
A discussion of the need to reserve coastal-dependent sites including those for
energy facilities is included in the section on Coastal-Dependent Industry, and
coastal waters in Chapter 3. Furthermore, Utilities Conservation Action Now Plan
was examined and many of the conservation measures and alternative renewable
resources were discussed.
Transportation

The following agencies were contacted and/or commented on the management program: Department of Transportation (Coast Guard), Federal Aviation Administration and the Corps of Engineers. Also the National Transportation Needs Study for Puerto Rico and the Coast Duties (14 U.S.C. 2). The following are the major objectives for transportation:

- to develop a balanced transportation system
- to provide safe, efficient, and convenient access via one or more modes of transportation for the movement of people, goods and services, to, from and through the coastal area.

National interest in transportation facilities is recognized in the objectives and policies quoted in Chapter 2, including the following objective:

To use the programming and construction of infrastructure as a tool, which, when linked to land use planning, serves to direct and promote the comprehensive development of the country.

Furthermore, the importance of transportation facilities is reflected in the dredge and fill criteria found in Chapter 3.

Recreation

The following agencies were contacted and/or commented on the management program during its development: NPS, BOR, and FWS. Also the National Historic Preservation Act and Puerto Rico's SCORP were examined. The following are the major objectives for recreation:

- Recreation should be considered as an equal among competing users of the coastal area
- To provide high quality recreational opportunities to all people of the U.S. while protecting the coastal environment
- To protect existing recreation areas from adverse contiguous uses
- To accelerate the identification of co-cost transfer of surplus and under-utilised Federal property
National interest in recreation was described and considered in Chapter 3 in the following sections: Reefs, mangroves, dunes, beaches, coastal forests, cultural and historical sites and recreation. The policies and objectives found in Chapter 2 represent further consideration (esp. 3.00, 14.00, 17.04, 18.00).

(c) Continued Consideration

All affected Commonwealth agencies are committed, as the result of the approval of the PRMP, to consider the national interest in making permit decisions and approving plans for or in the coastal zone. To identify the national interest, Puerto Rico will rely on comments and policy statements of Federal agencies; Federal legislation; Presidential executive orders; plans, reports, and studies by relevant agencies; and testimony at public hearings and other public input. Decision points where the national interests can be considered are detailed on pages 155–157, the development control process for the Regulations and Permits Administration and the Planning Board. Furthermore, Federal agencies will be consulted during the development of management plans for natural reserves, and special planning areas, and new management measures proposed for development during implementation (see Table 3-2) through draft reviews, bilateral meetings/contact and other mutually agreed upon procedures.

(9) AREAS FOR PRESERVATION OR RESTORATION

The management program must make provision for procedures whereby specific areas may be designated for the purpose of preserving or restoring them for their conservation, recreational, ecological, or aesthetic values. (Sec. 305(c)(9)).

Areas for preservation or restoration (APR's) are recommended for future designation in Chapter 4, are shown on Map 29, and are described in Appendix C. In all cases, the objective of designation is to preserve important natural features and values. (For the convenience of the general public in reviewing this document, APR's are called "Natural Reserves" in the text.) As indicated in Chapter 4, formal designation of APR's is to be done by statute (as already proposed, for example, by pending legislation for Mona and Mona Island), or administratively by the Planning Board.

REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 306(d) OF THE CZMA

AUTHORITY FOR MANAGEMENT

The state must have authority for the management of the coastal zone in accordance with the Management Program. Such authority must include power

(1) "to administer land and water use regulations, control development in order to ensure compliance with the management program and to resolve conflicts among competing uses,"
(2) to acquire fee-simple and less than fee-simple interests in lands, waters and other property through condemnation or other means when necessary to achieve conformance with the Management Program." (Section 306(d))

Statutory power to adopt and administer land and water use regulations, and to control development, is conferred by the statutes described in Chapter 4.

The power to resolve conflicts among competing uses is inherent in the planning and regulatory powers conferred by the Planning Board Organic Act. As described in Chapter 4, the Governor is explicitly empowered to resolve conflicts between the Planning Board and EQB.

The Department of Natural Resources has power to acquire property, by condemnation or otherwise, pursuant to the General Expropriation Law of Puerto Rico, 23 L.P.R.A., Sections 2910-13. In addition, the Puerto Rico Land Administration, which often acquires land needed by other public agencies, has broad power to acquire land or interests therein, by expropriation or otherwise. (Puerto Rico Land Administration Act, 23 L.P.R.A., Sections 311-30)

Requirements of Section 306(e) of the CZMA

(1) Techniques for Controlling Land and Water Uses

The Management Program must provide "for any one or a combination of the following general techniques for control of land and water uses within the coastal zone:... (b) Direct state land and water use planning and regulation..." (Section 306(e)(1))

The Commonwealth uses the method listed in Section 306(e)(1)(B) of the CZMA: Direct Commonwealth land and water use planning and regulation.

(2) Assuring Local Regulations Not Unreasonably Restrictive

The Management Program must provide "for a method of assuring that local land and water use regulations within the coastal zone do not unreasonably restrict or exclude land and water uses of regional benefit." (Section 306(e)(2))

There are no local regulations of land or water uses anywhere in Puerto Rico, nor is there enabling legislation for adoption of such regulations. (The Planning Board Organic Law, Article 24, does authorize the Board to delegate some regulatory powers, including the amendment of zoning maps, to local planning commissions. No such delegation has occurred, however. In any event, the statute also requires the Board to establish guidelines sufficient to assure that any delegated powers are exercised consistently with policies and regulations of the Board.)
SEGMENTATION

If a state Coastal Zone Management Program is adopted in segments, the state must adequately provide for the ultimate coordination of the various segments of the Management Program into a single program. (Section 306(h))

The Culebra Segment of the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program was adopted prior to the remainder of the Program so that early attention could be devoted to the urgent coastal zone management needs of Culebra Island and its surrounding keys and waters. The Culebra Segment was approved by NOAA in early 1977.

Following approval of the full Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program, as described in this Program document, Puerto Rico will conduct a single unified Coastal Zone Management Program; the Culebra Program, as described in the Culebra Segment document, is incorporated in full into the Program.

The management measures described in this Program document apply to Culebra as well as to the rest of Puerto Rico's coastal zone. In addition, Culebra is subject to special provisions (notably to the Law for the Conservation and Development of Culebra and to the Culebra Conservation and Development Authority). These provisions, as described in the Culebra Segment document, respond to the special problems of Culebra. The Culebra provisions are supplementary to the measures applicable throughout Puerto Rico's coastal zone, as described in this Program document, and are believed to be fully consistent with those measures.

REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 307 (c) and (d) OF THE CZMA

FEDERAL CONSISTENCY

In addition to general requirements for cooperation that the CZMA places on all Federal government agencies (e.g., Section 303(c)), specific requirements address the consistency of Federal activities, development projects, licenses and permits, and financial assistance with the Coastal Program. (Section 307 (c)(1,2,3) and (d))

(a) Standards for Determining Consistency

In determining whether Federal activities, development projects, licenses and permits, and financial assistance are consistent with the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program, the following shall be applied:

1. The objectives and policies element of the Islandwide Land Use Plan adopted by the Puerto Rico Planning Board on June 8, 1977. (Set forth in Chapter 2 of this Program document.)
2. The following additional policies and criteria:
   - Policy on special protection for mangrove wetlands (Chapter 3, section on Mangrove Wetlands),
   - Policy on appropriate access to Federal beaches hereafter declared surplus (Chapter 3, section on Beaches, part A),
   - Policy on public access to beaches (Chapter 3, section on Beaches, part B),
   - Criteria for digging, filling, dredging, and deposit of dredged sediments (Chapter 3, section on Coastal Waters, part D), and
   - Policy on sites for coastal-dependent development (Chapter 3, section on Coastal-Dependent Industry).

3. The water quality standards adopted by the Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (Chapter 3, section on Coastal Waters, part A);

4. Any additional policies, regulations, and plans, including plans for Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves, that are incorporated into the Program in the future.

Federal agencies administering authority within the Puerto Rico coastal zone boundary are required to minister said authority in conformance with Section 307 of the CZMA and NOAA implementing regulations.

(b) Commonwealth Agency Responsible for Consistency Review

The Planning Board is the single agency designed to receive and review consistency certifications and determinations. The Planning Board acts as a clearinghouse. However, the Planning Board and DNR have divided the responsibility prior to the official certification. All official information, notice, etc., will go to the Planning Board (and will come from the Planning Board).

(c) Federal Activities and Development Projects (Section 307(c)(1) and (2))

Section 307(c)(1) and (2) of the CZMA require that Federal activity, including development projects significantly affecting the coastal zone "shall be conducted in a manner which is, to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with approved state management programs."

In the case of excluded Federal lands, activities on these lands that have an impact on the coastal zone beyond the boundaries of the Federal properties will be subject to this provision, as will activities beyond the boundary of the coastal zone that significantly affect the coast. Federal agencies themselves determine whether or not an activity or project will significantly affect the coastal zone and whether or not it is consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the Coastal Management Program. The Federal agency must, however, notify Puerto Rico of its proposed action and its consistency determination.
Certain categories of Federal action can generally be acknowledged as not affecting the coastal zone. These include:
- Radio transmission and maintenance of navigation aids placed or authorized by the U.S. Coast Guard; and
- Any action for which the agencies' environmental impact procedures, established pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, do not require issuance of an Environmental Impact Statement or negative declaration.

Other activities and projects generally can be considered as significantly affecting the coastal zone. These activities include:
- Federal agencies applying for licenses and permits,
- Development projects in the coastal zone,
- Land acquisition in the coastal zone,
- Road construction in the coastal watershed,
- Waste discharge in the coastal watershed,
- Activities affecting or altering surface runoff quality or quantity in the coastal watershed, and
- Dredge, fill, development, construction, or waste discharge in coastal waters.

To save time and funds, and to avoid conflicts involving substantial commitments of resources, consistency should be assessed at the earliest possible time. Preferably, this should occur as an integral part of the earliest planning and budgetary decisions.

The Puerto Rico Planning Board is the single Commonwealth agency responsible for reviewing Federal agency determinations that their projects and activities are (or are not) consistent with the PRCHP. The Board's A-95 project notification process will be used (and, as necessary, extended) to provide specific opportunity for review of consistency determinations. If a Federal consistency determination is under review, an item will be added to the A-95 notification requesting reviewing agencies to comment whether the project or activity is consistent with the Coastal Management Program. The Department of Natural Resources' Coastal Management Unit will be among the recipients of A-95 notifications in such cases.
Federal Licenses and Permits (Section 307(c)(3)(A & B))

Section 307 (c)(3) of the CZMA provides that any applicant for a Federal license or permit to conduct an activity significantly affecting land or water uses in the coastal zone, must certify that the proposed activity complies with, and will be conducted in a manner consistent with, the Commonwealth's Coastal Management Program. At the same time, the applicant must supply a copy of such certification to the Commonwealth, along with all necessary information and data. The Commonwealth will then review the application, and at the earliest possible time, but within 6 months, notify the Federal agency of its concurrence or objection. The CZMA requires that: "No license or permit shall be granted by the Federal agency until the State or its designated agency has concurred with the applicant's certification or until, by the State's failure to act (within 6 months) the concurrence is conclusively presumed..."

Table B-1 lists the kinds of Federal licenses and permits which may significantly affect the coastal zone, which the Commonwealth wishes to review for consistency with the Coastal Management Program. Such review is desired only for those licenses and permits that authorize activities within the boundaries of the coastal zone. If it is found that the issuance of other kinds of Federal permits and licenses causes significant effects on coastal land and water uses, the list will be expanded through appropriate OCZM procedures for changes to the PRMP.

Table B-1 designates the single Commonwealth agency (the Planning Board in some cases, the Department of Natural Resources in others) that is responsible for consistency review of each listed type of Federal license and permit. If the same Commonwealth agency is responsible both for consistency review and for review under another Federal or Commonwealth law or regulation, the two types of review will be combined. In all cases, the review process will include notifications of the type required for A-95 clearance. Reviewing agencies will be requested to comment whether the license or permit is consistent with the Coastal Management Program. The Department of Natural Resource's Coastal Management Unit will be among the recipients of notifications.

Federal Assistance (Section 307(d))

Section 307 (d) of the CZMA establishes consistency requirements for Federal financial assistance to the Commonwealth and to local governments. Federal assistance includes any grant, loan, contract, subsidy, guarantee, insurance, or other form of financial aid. If any such aid "affects the coastal zone," it must be consistent with the Coastal Management Program.

The Puerto Rico Planning Board is the single Commonwealth agency responsible for determining that Federal assistance significantly affecting the coastal zone is consistent with the Coastal Management Program. The Board's A-95 notification process will be used to review financial aid applications to assure consistency with the Coastal Management...
Program. In cases in which Federal assistance may affect the coastal zone, an item will be added to the A-95 notification, requesting reviewing agencies to comment whether the assistance is consistent with the Coastal Management Program. The Department of Natural Resource's Coastal Management Unit will be among the recipients of A-95 notifications in such cases.

TABLE D-1

FEDERAL LICENSES AND PERMITS WHICH MUST BE CERTIFIED FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE PUERTO RICO COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Federal license or permit</th>
<th>Commonwealth agency responsible for preliminary consistency review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense - U.S. Army Corp of Engineers:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits and licenses required under Sections 9 and 10 of the River and Harbor Act of 1899.................................DNR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits and licenses required under Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (Ocean Dumping)....................................................DNR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits and licenses required under Section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972 and amendments........DNR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Regulatory Commission:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits and licenses required for siting and operation of nuclear power plants.........................................................Planning Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Interior - Bureau of Land Management:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits for pipeline rights-of-way........................................DNR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation - U.S. Coast Guard:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits for construction of bridges under 33 USC 401, 6591-507, and 529-536..........................................................Planning Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits for deep-water ports (33 CFR 158 et seq.)................Planning Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy/FERC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Permits for construction and operation of natural gas pipelines and terminal facilities......................................................Planning Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Interior - U.S. Geological Survey (Section 307(c)(3)(B)):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- OCS plans that describe in detail Federal license and permit activities (Review pursuant to Section 307(c)(3)(B) of the CZMA).................................................................DNR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Final consistency review is the responsibility of the Planning Board.
(f) Processing of Comments on Consistency

Puerto Rico will rely upon the public notice provided by the Federal agency reviewing the applications for the Federal license or permit. If such notice does not satisfy the minimum requirements of OCZM regulations adopted pursuant to Section 307(c)(3), the Commonwealth agency will give additional required notice. The Commonwealth agency will consult with affected Federal agencies to determine whether the Federal notices comply with OCZM regulations.

The Commonwealth agency will review all comments received within the time limit specified in OCZM regulation. In addition, the Commonwealth agency will make its own initial determination of consistency. If timely comments are received suggesting that the action is not consistent, or if the Commonwealth agency itself makes an initial determination that the action is not consistent, the responsible agency will attempt, through negotiation, to modify the project or take other appropriate steps to achieve consistency. If the conflict cannot be resolved to the mutual satisfaction of all reviewers, the responsible agency will review all comments and make a determination of consistency or lack of consistency on behalf of the Commonwealth.

REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 307(f) OF THE CZMA

AIR AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL REQUIREMENTS

The Management Program must "incorporate" requirements established by, or pursuant to, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, and the Clean Air Act, as amended (Section 307(h)).

The policies and requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the Clean Air Act are the minimum water and air pollution control requirements applicable to the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program and are incorporated by reference.

The Management Program has been developed in consultation with the Environmental Quality Board, which is the Commonwealth agency responsible for pollution control. Continuing close cooperation with the Environmental Quality Board is planned and anticipated.
APPENDIX C

DESCRIPTIONS OF SPECIAL PLANNING AREAS
AND NATURAL RESERVES
DESCRIPTION OF SPECIAL PLANNING AREAS AND NATURAL RESERVES*

Chapter 4 recommends several portions of the coast for future designation as Natural Reserves and designates a few, larger areas as Special Planning Areas. (See Map 29.) This appendix briefly describes these areas.

NATURAL RESERVES

1. Constitution Bridge Mudflats Reserve ** (Ownership: Commonwealth)

These mudflats, adjacent to the Constitution Bridge in San Juan Bay, are one of the best areas in all Puerto Rico for shorebirds, gulls and terns. Per acre, it supports the greatest diversity and concentration of birds anywhere on the islands.

The mudflats are used as nesting areas by the Brown Pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis) which is on the Federal endangered list. The largest roost of Louisiana Herons (Egretta thula) known in Puerto Rico and the second largest roost of Snowy Egrets (Egretta thula) are found next to Constitution Bridge. In addition, a rookery of about 1,000 Cattle Egrets (Bubulcus ibis) is established in the area.

Raising of the flat by as much as several feet in some places as a result of man-made land fills has led to the destruction of a large part of the area previously inhabited by waterbirds and the invasion of the site by mangroves. Mangroves are occupying the elevated southern flats at such a rate that they threaten to completely eliminate this area as a significant waterfowl habitat.

2. Pinones Forest Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)

The Pinones State Forest includes Pinones Lagoon as well as adjacent mangroves. Total area of the Forest is 1,608 acres. It is of great ecological importance and a nesting area for many species of birds on the Federal and Commonwealth endangered list including: the Osprey (Pandion haliaetus) and the Brown Pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis).

---

*For convenience of the general public in reviewing this document, the terms "Special Planning Areas" and Natural Reserves" are used in place of the terms "Areas of Particular Concern" and "Areas for Preservation and Restoration" used in federal regulations.

**First priority for this area is the Martin Pena Channel Project. The size of the natural area to be recommended as a Natural Reserve will depend on the development of the Marine Pena Channel Project.
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Carmelita Island within the Pinones Lagoon holds the largest population of Snowy Egretta thula known in Puerto Rico.

The bioluminescent Pinones Lagoon is one of the few places having environmental conditions which support large populations of bioluminescent dinoflagellates. Because of the rarity of these bioluminescent organisms the area is of particular importance to marine biologists. The area's proximity to metropolitan San Juan makes tourist and other visitor excursions relatively convenient.

At Punta Vacia Talega, ancient barrier dunes dating back to Pleistocene glaciation, together with the rugged coastline and high elevation, provide distinct geological values to an area already rich in unique habitats. The beaches and dunes, the forest, and the ocean comprise an integral ecological system.

The area's recreational potential is also noteworthy. There are approximately 5,200 lineal feet of good beaches, ideal for swimming, snorkeling, shell collecting, hiking, picnicking, fishing, motor boating, and water skiing.

3. Torrecilla Alta Pterocarpus Forest Reserve (Ownership: private)

This Pterocarpus forest is one of six to be found in the entire coastal study area. The endangered West Indian Tree Duck (Dendrocygna arborea) is found here in significant numbers. Adjacent hills are reported to be major feeding areas for the threatened White Crowned Pigeon (Columba leucocephala). Other rare waterfowl have also been observed in the forest area.

4. Rio Espiritu Santo Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth and private)

This large complex consists of mangroves, fresh water swamp (ownership: private) and Pterocarpus forest (ownership: private) in the Rio Espiritu Santo area.

The mangroves, some 1,007 cuadras in extent, are of prime ecological value for the preservation of wildlife. The system as a whole supports rare and endangered species of wildlife, including the nearly extinct Puerto Rican Parrot. Until recently, Ensenada Comexon between Punta Pique and Punta Miquillo was among Puerto Rico's finest natural areas. In the last few years, developments have destroyed several parts of the system. Nevertheless, it remains one of the Island's prime wildlife areas. The Ensenada Comexon is known to support the threatened White-Crowned Pigeon (Columba leucocephala), the endangered West Indian Tree Duck (Dendrocygna arborea), and the nearly extinct Puerto Rican Parrot (Amazona vittata).
5. **El Faro Reserve** (Ownership: Conservation Trust and other private)

El Faro, a headland in the northeastern part of the Island, embraces varied topographical features such as hills, valleys, cliffs and beaches, as well as inland lagoons, mangrove forests, and coral reefs. Laguna Grande, the largest lagoon, is bioluminescent. The area provides habitat to a wide range of birds, marine organisms and plants. The lagoons are spawning grounds of fish and crustaceans.

As land's end, this sizable open space in the path of growing urban expansion, has been mentioned as an ideal site for a research center. It is an area of extraordinary beauty with a great range of living organisms, where one can still observe ecological processes, unaltered by human activities. Scientists who have studied the area are of the opinion that it should be preserved forever in its natural state.

At present, the Conservation Trust owns the 444 cuerdas tract of land comprising El Faro.

Access to the site is restricted.

6. **La Cordillera Reef Reserve** (Ownership: Commonwealth and private)

La Cordillera is a chain of small islands off the coast of Fajardo. The whole area is rich in cays and coral reefs in good condition. Reefs near Icacos Islands, Palominito Cay, and Diablo Cay exhibit a great diversity of coral species.

The chain of islands supports several thousand nesting seabirds. Among these are approximately 1,000-2,000 Brown Noddyta (Anous stolidus); 1,000-2,000 Bridled Terns (Sterna anaethetus), approximately 500 Sooty Terns (Sterna fuscata), one of Puerto Rico's only colonies of Roseate Terns (Sterna dougallii), several hundred Brown Boobies (Sula leucogaster) and a few Laughing Gulls (Larus atricilla). Most of the islands of the Fajardo Cordillera have little or no potential human use and are a primary area for Puerto Rico's wildlife. Together with their adjacent reefs, they should be managed as a wildlife sanctuary, with limited recreational uses, such as picnicking, and snorkeling, using an underwater trail through the reefs.

7. **Ceiba State Forest Reserve** (Ownership: Commonwealth)

The Ceiba State Forest consists of about 363 acres in two areas: 271 acres of mangrove in Fajardo (Santa Rita) and 92 acres in Naguabo (Hacienda La Esperanza). Salt flats support a great variety of wildlife including shorebirds, fish and crustaceans.
Among the animals dependent on this mangrove system and adjacent coastal waters is the endangered Manatee or Sea Cow (*Trichechus manatus*). In addition to the Manatee, the mangrove ponds support the largest population in Puerto Rico of the White-cheeked Pintail (*Anas bahamensis*), an endangered duck. Similarly, the endangered White-crowned Pigeon (*Columba leucocephala*) and Yellow-shouldered Blackbird (*Agelaius xanthomus*) have breeding colonies within the mangrove. Large numbers of the rare Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*) are found only on the nearby mudflats of the Roosevelt Roads base.

8. **Humacao Swamp and Pterocarpus Forest Reserve** (Ownership: private)

The Humacao Swamp, though little studied, is one of the least disturbed fresh water areas, making it one of Puerto Rico's prime wildlife habitats.

Heavily forested, it contains the most extensive remaining tract of the rare *Pterocarpus officinalis*. The area also supports great numbers of egrets, herons, and waterfowl, including the West Indian Tree duck, which is on the Commonwealth endangered species list.

9. **Guayama Reef Reserve** (Ownership: Commonwealth)

Staghorn coral reefs and soft coral reefs, from 50 to 84% living, are found near the coast of the municipality of Arroyo. Between the coast, near Punta Figuras, and the Guayama Reef Reserve there is an abundance of *Thalassia*. The water is normally clear and good for the practice of aquatic sports, especially diving. Although these reefs are believed to be in good condition, they have not been fully explored. Fuller exploration should be assigned high priority.

10. **Jobos Bay and Mar Negro Reserve** (Ownership: Commonwealth and private)

A large petrochemical development encircles the second largest mangrove swamp on the Island. Commonwealth owned mangrove swamps constitute a large part of this estuary. The entire area is being considered for industrial expansion. Offshore cays are important as habitats for bird and marine species. Coral reefs, in excellent shape, abound offshore.
11. **Punta Petrona Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)**

This area consists of mangrove areas and cays—all portions of the Aguirre State Forest.

The Punta Petrona mangroves are extensive and undisturbed, full of channels and ponds, and support a diverse fauna. Although not extensively studied, their undisturbed character and long distance from human settlements suggest that they are a prime wildlife area.

The Caracoles Cays are a group of beautiful, uninhabited mangrove islets supporting dove and herons.

12. **Caja de Muertos Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)**

This area is comprised of Caja de Muertos Islands (Ownership: Commonwealth, but leased to a private party) and nearby Berberia Cay (ownership: Commonwealth).

Caja de Muertos is notable for sea turtles, great numbers of which are reported on its beaches. The island has an abundant and unique herpetofauna of great scientific interest.

Berberia Cay is characterized by its completely undisturbed vegetation which not only provides an important nesting area for pigeons and doves, but also makes it practically impossible to survey.

13. **Guanica Forest Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth and private)**

This area comprises the Guanica State Forest and adjoining cays and reefs (ownership: Commonwealth) as well as the adjoining Bahia de la Ballena area (ownership: private), which is bordered by the Forest and the sea.

The adjoining reefs and mangroves, most of them in good condition, are abundant with marine life. Special care, however, is needed to protect these important resources from pollutants of nearby industrial complexes.

The Forest—classified as a sub-tropical dry forest—is the habitat for approximately half of all the species of land birds in Puerto Rico. The Puerto Rico Whip-poor-will breeds only in this forest and has been placed on the endangered species list. Seven vegetation associations
are recognized, which include more than 700 plant species of which 246 are trees or large shrubs. This diversity is comparable to no other area of equal size in Puerto Rico. Of the 48 rare or endangered species in Puerto Rico, 16 are found only within the boundaries of this forest.

14. **La Parguera Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)**

This area comprises three bays (La Parguera Bay, Monsío Jose Bay, and Montalva Bay), their bordering mangroves, and nearby cays and reefs. (Ownership: Commonwealth, except those mangrove areas owned by the Conservation Trust. Parts of the mangroves are included in the Boqueron State Forest.)

The bioluminescence of La Parguera and Monsío Jose Bays have made the La Parguera area one of Puerto Rico's most important and best known natural areas. This habitat supports the Yellow-shouldered Blackbird, (*Agelaius xanthomus*), a species that is endemic to Puerto Rico and on the Federal endangered species list. The cays of La Parguera also support large numbers of herons, pelicans and terns. The Manatee (*Trichechus manatus*), an endangered species, is also found there.

Montalva Bay with its cays, reefs, and mangrove system supports a great variety of marine life. The area is highly suitable for the pursuit of recreational, ecological and scientific studies.

15. **Boqueron Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth and Conservation Trust)**

This area comprises the Boqueron State Forest (except those parts included in the La Parguera APR) and the Commonwealth-owned Boqueron Bird Refuge and the Conservation Trust-owned property at Guaniquilla. (The Cabo Rojo Lighthouse would also be included if it were not located on excluded Federal land.)

The Boqueron State Forest, distinguished by the salt ponds near the lighthouse, is the best area in Puerto Rico for shorebirds. The site supports a small breeding population of Snowy Plovers as well as large numbers of herons, pelicans, and the Yellow-tailed Tropicbird.
The Boqueron Bird Refuge is one of the finest areas for herons. It supports other species including the Black-crowned Night-heron (Nyctanassa violacea), which is on the Commonwealth endangered species list, and the Brown Pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis), which is on the Federal list. More than 100 bird species have been recorded in the refuge.

The channels and mangrove complex located within the forest are important not only because they provide wildlife benefits, including fish, waterfowl, and bioluminescent organisms, but also because it has great undeveloped recreational potential. The complex provides rich protected substrate, a habitat got a large variety of organisms, which, in turn serve as the food base for marine fauna. Some fish of commercial importance are found among the mangrove roots, while others spend part of their life cycle there breeding and spawning.

The mangroves also provide nesting grounds for both native and migratory birds.

Punta Guaniquilla at Cabo Rojo is the promontory which defines the northern limits of the Bahía de Boqueron. The property has a variety of natural characteristics, a mangrove fringe, and two lagoons, rolling hills coming down to the sea and natural caves in a dense forest.

One of the lagoons has a unique rock formation. The other lagoon, surrounded by a mangrove fringe, is known to be a habitat for the endangered Antilles tree duck. This area was initially acquired by a petroleum firm for industrial purposes and was thus under development pressure.

The Conservation Trust has subsequently acquired it to protect these important natural features. The area consists of around 400 cuerdas.

16. Laguna Joyuda Mangrove Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)

Laguna Joyuda, with some 75 acres of bordering mangroves, is a brackish lagoon and, as such is of ecological importance. It is one of the few areas where a mixture of herons, sea swallows, and coastal aquatic birds tend to congregate. This same area is also well known for great barracuda, snook, haddock, jewfish, shapper, ladyfish, and tarpon. Forage and bait fish, such as mojarra, mullet, and snook are abundant, and small marine fish.

17. Tourmaline Reef Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)

These reefs have been included for their magnificent state and their marine life. Though information on coral reefs is very scarce, increased rates of sedimentation and waste discharge have killed some nearshore reefs and degraded many others.

18. Espinar Swamp Reserve (Ownership: Private)

This is a small swamp in Aguadilla. This freshwater swamp has been reputed to support the endangered species West Indian Tree Duck (Dendrocygna arborea).
19. Arecibo Lighthouse Reserve (Ownership: U.S. Federal Department of Transportation)

Preservation of this important lighthouse is desirable, although it is located on excluded Federal land.*

20. Cano Tiburones Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth and private)

Although much of this freshwater swamp was partially drained in earlier decades to create agricultural land, it remains important because the large natural supply of freshwater offers great potential as an outstanding habitat for waterfowl and aquatic freshwater birds.

21. Cueva de Indio Reserve (Ownership: Private)

This cave, in the Barrio Islote de Arecibo, is of particular archeological importance.

22. Hacienda la Esperanza Reserve (Ownership: Conservation Trust)

La Esperanza contains an exceptional seascape of coves and cemented dunes and a vast alluvial plain with rich agricultural soils. It includes the estuarine zone of the Manati River and haystack hills characteristic of the karst region.

La Esperanza is also of great historic value, being the site of one of the oldest and most important sugar mills on the Island. It has been included in the National Register of Historic Places of the National Park Service. The property includes 2,265.75 cuerdas.

23. Laguna Tortuguero Reserve (Ownership: Commonwealth)

Tortuguero Lagoon is the only natural fresh water lake in the Island. It serves as habitat for many endangered species and endemic species of plant and animal life. A moratorium on new development has already been proposed by the Puerto Rico Planning Board. However, many pharmaceutical industries are being constructed or projected around the lagoon area. The large input of water needed by these industries poses a threat to the natural systems of the lagoon.

24. Dorado Pterocarpus Forest Reserve (Ownership: Private)

This forest is classified as a sub-tropical humid coastal forest. Though the size of the Pterocarpus forest in Dorado is smaller than the Pterocarpus forest in Humacao, it is in good condition and constitutes, jointly with the other forests, an important habitat for wildlife.

*Other lighthouses on excluded Federal lands, such as the Aguadilla and Cabo Rojo lighthouses should also be preserved.
25. Mona and Mona Island Reserves (Ownership: Commonwealth)

The fauna of Mona Island is the most unique of any of the islands of Puerto Rico. Seven species of amphibians and reptiles are found nowhere else in the world except on Mona. Among these are the famous Mona Island Ground Iguana (Cyclura stejnegeri), a relic of the past, the Mona Blind Snake (Typhlops monensis), and the Mona Boa (Epicrates monensis).

The island is also important as a nesting area of various sea turtles. Mona is believed to support one of the largest known nesting aggregations of the endangered Hawksbill Sea Turtle (Eretmochelys imbricata) known anywhere in the world. It is also known to support a relic breeding population of the Green Sea Turtle (Chelonia mydas). The Green Sea Turtles on Mona appear to have been isolated from other breeding colonies in the Caribbean for so long as to have developed some characteristics distinct from those of other islands.

The rest of this unique fauna includes a tree frog and several lizards. The avifauna of Mona Island is also noteworthy. The cliffs around the island sustain the largest population of breeding White-tailed Tropicbirds (Phaethon lepturus), in Puerto Rico. A large colony of approximately 500 pairs of Red-footed Boobies (Sula Sula), also nests here. A subspecies of the Yellow-shouldered Blackbird (Agelaius xanthomus), endemic to Mona and now may be in danger of extinction, if the recently established Glossy Cowbird (Molothrus bonariensis) parasitizes its nests. Mona used to be the nesting ground of many thousands of White-crowned Pigeons (Columba leuccephala), a threatened species, and could probably be so again if hunting is carefully controlled. There is no question that Mona Island is one of Puerto Rico's prime wildlife areas.

Mona is presently the outstanding seabird nesting area in Puerto Rico. This is the only locality where the Magnificent Frigatebirds (Fregata Magnifera), and Masked Booby (Sula dactylatra) are known to breed in Puerto Rico. There are 200 nesting pairs of the former and 50 pairs of the latter. Fifty pairs of Blue-faced Boobies (Sula dactylatra) make up the largest breeding colony of this species anywhere in the United States territory.

In addition to the above mentioned species, the island is virtually covered with nests of Red-footed Boobies (Sula Sula), Brown Boobies (Sula leucogaster), and Sooty Terns (Sterna fuscata).
There are also a few nests of Laughing Gulls (*Larus atricilla*) and Brown Noodies (*Anous stolidus*) nests on the cliff ledges.

26. **Vieques Bioluminescent Bay Reserve** (Ownership: Commonwealth and Federal)

This area consists of several bioluminescent bays and a salt pond, and is rated as a wildlife habitat of exceptional value. Federally owned portions of the proposed reserve are excluded from the coastal zone (and the reserve).

The phenomenon of bioluminescence has been observed in Puerto Mosquito, Tapon Bay, Puerto Ferro, and Puerta del Manglar, but the time-period of bioluminescence has not yet been studied in detail. In addition, Mosquito Bay and Ferro Bay are important areas for waterfowl including the endangered White-cheeked Pintail (*Anas bahamensis*), several species of doves and pigeons including White-crowned Pigeon (*Columba inornata*). The endangered Manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) has also been reported from within a mangrove channel in Mosquito Bay. The very rare Key West Quail Dove (*Geotrygon chrysa*) has been reported in the arid scrub of the Ferro Peninsula.

The large salt pond at the head of Tapon Bay is a good locality for waterfowl, pigeons, doves, and shorebirds including the endangered White-cheeked Pintail (*Anas bahamensis*), and threatened White-crowned Pigeon (*Columba leucocephala*).

**SPECIAL PLANNING AREAS**

Criteria for selection of Special Planning Areas included: (1) size and quality of existing natural systems, (2) intensity of developmental pressures, (3) economic importance and (4) consensus among government, industry, and environmental groups. The list from which Special Planning Areas were selected was based on a thorough inventory in which every site and/or project was mapped using the following categories: Archeologic and/or cultural value, natural areas, recreation, development with direct access needs to the coast, sites with unique geographical value for development, hazard areas and significant man-made features.

Upon applying these criteria the following areas have been designated as Special Planning Areas:

A. Boca de Campeche Special Planning Area
B. Pandula-Guadarrama Special Planning Area
C. Jobos Bay Special Planning Area
D. Southwest Special Planning Area
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In addition, all mangrove wetlands are Special Planning Areas.

A. Boca de Cangrejos

Location: Loiza Municipality

Area: 7,176 cuerdas

Ownership: Private and Commonwealth

This Special Planning Area (SPA), famous for its natural beauty and located immediately east of metropolitan San Juan, extends from Punta Cangrejos to the Loiza River. This area contains an aquarium, several squatter developments and recreation attractions. It is subject to increasing development pressures.

Among the natural features which should receive important protection consideration are mangrove forests, lagoons, fresh water swamps and beaches. The mangrove forest comprises 3,136 cuerdas and is the largest in Puerto Rico. The Pinones Forest, managed by the DNR, lies within this mangrove forest; the remainder of the mangrove forest is privately owned. Most of the mangrove forest is the black-white type, with a fringe of red mangrove protecting it on the ocean side.

Two large lagoons — Pinones and Torrecilla — are located within the mangrove forest. These lagoons support 30 known species of fish, bioluminescent dinoflagellates, and some 46 species of birds, many not found outside the forest.

South of the mangrove forest is a freshwater swamp — "El Pajonal" — which supports two bird species found on the Federal list of endangered species. Also within this swamp are some isolated groves of pure Pterocarpus forest.

One of the longest stretches of undeveloped beach in Puerto Rico protects the mangrove forest from frequent flooding. The beaches, including partially remaining dunes, have suffered erosion in places, both from natural causes and man-induced by sand extraction. Offshore from the beaches lies extensive coral reefs, portions of which were previously known as the "submarine garden of Boca de Cangrejos."
Other significant natural features within the area include Punta Vacia Talega, an exposed cemented barrier dune, and "mogotes" or "haystack hills" in the limestone area south of the mangrove forest.

Culturally and historically important human habitats are also found within this area. The Pinones-Torrecilla area is home to several families whose ancestors originally settled the area. Nearby Loiza Aída is an historically important town because of its old church, and culturally important because of the annual "fiestas de Loiza Aída." Also, east of Punta Vacia Talega is a "conchero," the remains of an Indian settlement, relatively unknown but considered by some to be of great archeological importance.

PRIDCO has on several occasions proposed more intensive development of this area, primarily for tourism. In addition, the Planning Board has proposed the development of a new community in this area, and a private firm has proposed the development of a tourist-residential community. Environmentalists have urged the conservation of this area. The proposed development has therefore become a major issue.

Among the development issues facing this area is the need to balance growth potential from expanding tourist-residential facilities and other urban activities proposed by the government and private enterprise against the protection of remaining natural areas. Expanding recreational use of the limited natural resources poses another important development issue.

The presence of highly competitive uses having great economic, ecological, tourist-recreational, educational and scenic value underline the importance of identifying this as a Special Planning Area.

B. Pandura-Guardarrayas

Location: Municipalities of Yabucoa and Naunabo

Area: 12,960 cuerdas (8,837 cuerdas of land; 4,123 cuerdas of water)

Ownership: Private

This Special Planning Area (SPA) located on the southeast coast of Puerto Rico stretches from the Yabucoa Valley in the north to the Guardarrayas Range in the south. The area is known primarily for a scenic seascape provided by mountain ranges and rolling hills coming down to the sea in addition to pocket beaches. Sparsely settled, the area contains several
shoreline settlements and faces growing industrial and residential pressure. The need to balance future residential and industrial development with a spectacular coastline is clearly evident.

The shoreline is irregular. It is punctuated by several rock promontories such as Punta Guayama to the north, Punta Yeguas and Punta Toro in the center and Punta Toro to the south. The river mouths of the Guayama and Maunabo Rivers in addition to several beach systems are additional natural features. The Yabucoa Valley remains rural in character notwithstanding that it is the site of a major oil refinery. The area has natural features well worth protecting.

Towards this end, the Conservation Trust has recently acquired a 300-cuerda tract of land at Punta Yeguas which was previously exposed to the pressure of industrial and residential development.

The endemic tree frog (Eleutherodactylus coqui) is confined to boulder piles within the Pandura Mountain Range. Portions of this range, where the frog is common and where development is impractical, should be set aside for the protection of the frog.

Outstanding scenic qualities and attractive beaches in this area make it one of Puerto Rico's best conservation opportunities. At the same time, the location of a major oil refinery strongly suggests the possibility of other industrial development taking place. Planning for any such development, however, must take account of problems of water supply, water quality degradation, and saline intrusion.

An SPA study for this area is therefore required to resolve the priorities between recreation, residential, and industrial uses and to establish conservation measures for the scenic landscape.

C. Jobos Bay

Location: Guayama and Salinas Municipalities

Area: 17,166 cuerdas (6,579 cuerdas of land; 10,585 cuerdas of water)

Ownership: Commonwealth and Private

This Special Planning Area (SPA), located on the south coast of Puerto Rico, stretches from Municipal Road 7710 in the Municipality of Guayama to Playa de Salinas near Punta Salinas in the Municipality of Salinas. The area is primarily rural in character and includes several important natural features in addition to small settlements. There is consequently the need
to balance the continuing growth of the area with adequate protection of some of the most important natural resources in Puerto Rico.

Among the most important natural resources of this area are forests, mangroves, cays and bodies of water. Two of the four portions making up the Aguirre State Forest are located here and consist of mangroves and tidal flats. Although many of the mangroves bordering Jobos Bay were removed to make way for a large industrial plant, valuable portions remain. The mangrove forests support at least 60 species of birds, three of which are endemic to Puerto Rico. Some fish of commercial value are found among the mangrove roots, while others spend part of their life cycle there breeding and spawning.

Although the mangrove forest of Aguirre is not in pristine condition, the entire coastal zone is considered one of the most productive areas for sport and commercial fisheries on the south coast.

Jobos is a prime area supporting the Manatee, an endangered species on the Federal list. The relatively undisturbed mangrove system of Mar Negro, with its extensive system of channels, salt ponds, and islets, is of great importance as a wildlife habitat, particularly for the endangered Manatee. The Caribe and de Barca Cays, located southwest of Punta Pozuelo at the mouth of Jobos Bay, support important egret rookeries.

Boating and picnicking are frequent recreational uses in this area, despite the lack of public facilities. Week-end and recreational use is heaviest during the summer months, although there are no records of visitors to the area.

This area is the site of a major industrial complex, and the Economic Development Administration has proposed continuing industrial development of the Jobos Bay Area. The area is attractive for industrial development primarily because of natural ports and flat topography. In addition, the Water Resources Authority power plant complex is located in the area together with an outfall for discharging cooling waters. There is therefore need to provide an SPA Plan for this area which balances industrial and residential development with that of natural resource protection.

D. Southwest

Location: Municipalities of Guayanilla, Yauco, Guanica, Lajas and Cabo Rojo

Area: 67,385 cuerdas (27,996 of land; 39,387 cuerdas of water)

Ownership: Private and Commonwealth
This Special Planning Area (SPA) extends from Punta Ventana and Guanica Forest in the Municipality of Guayanilla to Punta Guaniquilla in the Municipality of Cabo Rojo. This vast area is known for its industrial development and significant natural features. The area attracts many visitors. Consequently, there is a clear need to resolve the issues of industrial and residential, tourist, agricultural and recreational pursuits with that of the effective management of natural resources. Management of the area's limited water resources must be given particular attention in future planning.

The area has been divided into three sub-areas:

1. Guanica
2. La Parguera and Montalva Bays
3. Boquerón

1. **Guanica.** This sub-area stretches from Punta Ventana to Punta Montalva including Guanica Bay, the Guanica Dry Forest, and a small industrial complex. Guanica Bay, now seriously polluted, needs to be protected. In recent years two of the three pollution-causing industries have closed down, and a local group interested in clearing up the Bay has been formed. The Bay has also been proposed as a ship building area.

The Dry Forest is divided into two separate segments, in part by Guanica Bay. The Forest is a unique natural resource which is well documented in other places.

The Guanica subarea also has historic and cultural value in that it includes the landing site of the American Troops in 1898 and the Guanica Lighthouse. Cama Gorda Public Beach, as well as other beaches such as that at Ballena Bay, Cerro Capron, provide an opportunity for recreational activities.

2. **La Parguera and Montalva Bays.** This sub-area extends from Punta Montalva to Punta Holina and includes La Parguera and Montalva Bays. This sub-area is rich in natural features, including mangroves, cays and reefs that display exuberant marine life such as the light-emitting dinoflagellates found in La Parguera and Monsíño José Bays.

Recently the Conservation Trust acquired approximately 350 cuerdas of land surrounding La Parguera Bay in an effort to protect the "atmosphere" of the area from further environmental deterioration.

*See Chapter 3 of the PRGMP (Section on Coastal Forests) and the Master Plan for the Commonwealth Forests of Puerto Rico.*
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Competing uses here include commercial and vacation-residential uses. Illegally constructed houses along the shoreline at Parguera present a difficult problem to resolve and are a source of pollution because of the lack of sewage treatment facilities.

Magueyes Island is the site of the Graduate School of Marine Sciences of the University of Puerto Rico which uses the wide spectrum of marine and coastal ecosystems in the sub-area to carry out academic and scientific activities.

3. Boqueron. This sub-area extends from Punta Molino to Punta Guaniquilla. The area is known for its natural resources which attract increasing numbers of visitors. At the same time, its potential as an industrial area is demonstrated by proposals for development by PRIDCO.

Some of the natural resources for preservation, recreation and tourism are: (a) Rincon Lagoon, a large salt water body—surrounded by mangroves; (b) the Commonwealth-owned Boqueron Forest, a unique ecosystem comprising water, land mangrove and fish and wildlife in danger of environmental deterioration as a result of increased use by visitors; (c) the heavily-used beaches at El Combate, Pajaros, and Boqueron; and (d) coral reefs at Bajo Enmedio and offshore sand deposits at Salinas; (e) significant natural features such as points (Cabo Rojo, Punta Jaguay, Punta Aguila, Punta Hoya Casabe and Punta Melones in addition to Punta Guaniquilla, bays (Sucía, Salinas and Boqueron Bays), and salt flats.

The salt flats are the source of moderately important salt industry. PRIDCO development proposals for port complexes indicate a growing potential as an industrial area. In addition, there have been recent efforts at aquaculture in Cabo Rojo.

There is clear need for the preparation of a plan for this SPA which will help to resolve the present and potential land use conflicts.

E. Isabela Dunes

Location: Municipality of Isabela

Area: 5,034 cuerdas (1,368 cuerdas of land; 1,666 cuerdas of water)

Ownership: Private

This Special Planning Area (SPA) extends from Bajuras, midway between the town of Isabela and the settlement of Jobos, to Punta Aguieras, north of Borinquen Airport (formerly Ramay Air
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Force Base). The natural beauty of the area has always attracted visitors and has resulted in the nearby development of vacation homes and tourist facilities. At the same time, extraction of sand for construction purposes has seriously damaged the beach system along this part of the coast which, in turn, has resulted in flooding and irreparable damage to the flora in the area. More detailed study is required in order to resolve these conflicting issues as soon as possible.

The Jacinto Dunes are considered to be the most important dune formation worthy of strong conservation efforts. Covering an area of approximately 215 cuerdas, this formation is the result of wind action and erosion taking place over hundreds of years. Vegetation not only stabilizes the dunes but also helps them continue to grow. The dune system supports wildlife, safeguards adjacent lands from erosion by the sea, and protects wildlife and settlements from heavy winds and flooding.

The issue is particularly critical because sand is a vital part of the construction industry. Extensive extraction of sand for construction threatens the very existence of the dune system.

There is therefore an urgent need to provide an SPA Plan for this area which balances development considerations with that of natural resource management.

F. Laguna Tortuguero

Location: Municipalities of Vega Baja and Manati

Area: 8,750 cuerdas including the Laguna

Ownership: Private and Commonwealth

In recent years, Laguna Tortuguero — an important natural resource — has been subject to developmental pressures. This has led to a proposed moratorium on new development by the Planning Board in order that the balanced management of the area occurs.

As a natural resource, the Lagoon is unique for several reasons. First, it is the largest body of fresh water located so close to the ocean. Second, the area — lagoon, swampy area, and beach — supports several types of fauna. The lagoon supports three endangered species:
(1) Least Grebe (Podiceps dominicus), (2) Ruddy Duck (Oxyura jamaicensis), and (3) Purple Gallinule (Porphyria martinica). A swampy area in the south central portion of the Lagoon area supports the wintering population of the Sora (Porzana carolina), a rare immigrant Rail confined to fresh water swamps in Puerto Rico. The beach north of the Lagoon is believed to support sea turtles (e.g. the endangered Hawksbill Turtle (Eretmochelys imbricata)). Third, for its size, the lagoon supports the most diverse flora anywhere in Puerto Rico, including at least four species endemic to the locality. The most important factor in the maintenance of the natural state of the ecosystem of the Lagoons and nearby swamps is the provision of fresh water to those systems.

Several studies describe and identify the area as an important natural resource in danger of possible irreparable damage: 1) Ecological Study of the Tortuguero Lagoon by the Water Research Institute, University of Puerto Rico in Mayaguez; 2) Ecological Study of the Tortuguero Lagoon by Gustavo Candelas; 3) Study on the Flora and Fauna of the Tortuguero Lagoon by Carlos A Rios; and 4) Protection of the Tortuguero Lagoon by the New Puerto Rico workshop. In addition, the 208 planning process has identified potential water resource problems in the Barceloneta-Manati area as a result of the growth of water-intensive industries.

The proposals for development of the area include industrial, residential and recreational uses. The proposed DuPont factory, when completed, will occupy 180 acres to the southwest of the Lagoon and the industrial wastes could adversely affect the Lagoon if adequate systems for disposal and treatment are not provided. Two proposed residential projects to the south and east of the Lagoon respectively could directly affect the quality of the Lagoon.

Recreational uses based on environmental considerations have been proposed by the Department of Natural Resources.

Recently, the Governor appointed a special committee to review the status of the Laguna Tortuguero, thereby demonstrating the importance of resolving the issues concerning the lagoon. It follows that a plan of this SPA would be especially appropriate.

G. Vieques

Location: Approximately 9 miles southeast of Puerto Rico

Area: 6,844 Cuardas (33,000(Total) - 26,136 (Excluded Federal lands)).

Ownership: Commonwealth, Federal, and Private

There are no permanent fresh water streams on Vieques Island, and precipitation is minimal.
Almost 3/4 of the island belongs to the Navy (approximately 26,156 of the 33,000 cuerdas). These portions of the island constitute excluded Federal land. Other lands include 1,900 cuerdas belonging to the Commonwealth Land Authority for agricultural uses; 1,200 cuerdas reserved by Fomento for future industrial uses, 700 cuerdas belonging to the Social Programs Administration; 2,500 cuerdas in private lands for farming purposes, and 600 cuerdas for urban uses.

Vegetation in Vieques is similar to that of the semi-arid region of southwest Puerto Rico. The coast is fringed by mangrove wetlands and bays that exhibit the phenomenon of bioluminescence, such as Puerto Mosquito, Bahia Tapon, Puerto Ferro, and Puerto del Manglar. Many coral reefs are found in Vieques, and the broad insular shelf provides favorable conditions for proliferation of marine life. The most important natural areas in Vieques include its beaches, like Sun Bay, which has complete facilities; and mangrove systems like the ones in Laguna Kiana, Ensenada Honda, Bahia Tapon, Bahia Mosquito, Playa Grande, Bahia Ferro and Bahia Chiva, all of which are of great importance for Puerto Rico's wildlife.

The most important coral reefs bordering the coastal waters near Vieques are Ensenada, Cana Honda, Punta Vaca, Isabel Segunda, Caballo Blanco, Mosquito and Corona. These corals are of varied shapes and colors, and several species of fish are associated with them.

The eastern part of the island (within the Navy Base and therefore excluded Federal lands), exhibits numerous swamps and the adjacent hills of Mt. Pirata, as well as Kinani Lagoon, all of them natural areas of importance to Puerto Rico's wildlife, especially to endangered species such as the White-crowned pigeon (Columba leucocephala).

The Vieques population, approximately 8,500 inhabitants, depends mainly on agriculture, fishing, and a modest tourist industry for subsistence. Water scarcity as well as the small amount of available land, have limited opportunities for industrial development in Vieques.

An SPA plan is needed to facilitate the development of the non-Federal portions of the island, consistent with protection of natural resources.
APPENDIX D

RESPONSES TO COMMENTS RECEIVED ON
THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
Department of Agriculture

Comment

1. Page 6, Boundaries of the Coastal Zone, and Appendix B, Page 7 (a), Inland Boundary.
   The inland boundary is not well defined. Because the final determination of this boundary and the rules and regulations that would accompany this boundary may have an affect on the Caribbean National Forest, we would like to see more clarification of the inland boundary.

2. Pages 23, 90, and A-4.
   Change the name Luquillo Experimental Forest and/or El Yunque to "the Caribbean National Forest locally called El Yunque."

   There is no discussion of existing or potential forestry needs or programs on private lands. The hill areas and steep slopes are generally unsuited for crop production and, when used as such, often cause severe erosion problems which result in downstream and coastal zone impacts in the form of siltation and sedimentation. Forestry programs are needed in these areas to stabilize slopes and prevent soil loss and downstream impacts. There is also a significant potential for viable forestry programs that can contribute to the economy and Puerto Rico’s wood needs. We feel the potential for State and private forestry programs and the positive contribution they can make, both directly and indirectly to the coastal zone and to the economy, needs to be discussed in the report.

4. Page 43, Natural Areas.
   Section 17.02 promotes the "appropriate use" of resources. Some explanation is needed to describe what is meant by "appropriate use." Does this include forest management and forest production, or does it imply simple preservation? The term would seem to include conservation management and preservation as prescribed by resource plans, but this needs clarification.

Department of Agriculture

Responses

1. As indicated in Appendix B, the inland boundary is shown on Maps 30-37. The boundaries nearest the Caribbean National Forest are shown on Map 30.

2. Changes made on pages 23 and 90.

3. Inevitably, the program document emphasizes the most important coastal problems of Puerto Rico. Forestry, while unquestionably important, appears to be a less significant element in coastal areas than other forms of agriculture.

   Policy 9.00 relating to soil preservation implicitly recognizes forestry benefits.

4. Although the policy does not define the term "appropriate use," the policy does call for the "conservation of renewable resources." A standard definition of this term would include forest management and forest production.
Comment

5. Page 175, B. Organizational Changes.
There is an opportunity in the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to increase professional expertise in the field of natural resource planning that is not addressed in the report. The addition of professional disciplines, such as soil scientists, hydrologists, foresters, and natural resource planners, would greatly enhance the DNR's capability to solve the problems of coastal zone management (CZM). It would also help DNR to better plan and manage the natural resources for all of Puerto Rico.

The statement describing the Forest Service is inadequate. The report needs to point out that the Forest Service manages the multiple resources of the Caribbean National Forest, locally known as El Yunque; also, that there are active State and private forestry programs including Cooperative Forest Management (CFM), Tree Production (CM-4), forestry responsibilities and programs as part of Public Law 566 programs, Forest Incentives Program, and involvement in Resources Planning Act for forest and rangelands. The Forest Supervisor, Caribbean National Forest, will be glad to supply a suitable writeup that could be incorporated in this section.

Change item 10 to Caribbean National Forest.

The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is shown incorrectly in this list. Change to: "Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service." USFS is an agency of the Department of Agriculture and not the Department of the Interior. This same error appears on the third page of ARTICULO A.

9. The maps enhance the document.
Maps 30 through 37 display considerable information--too much to show without becoming cluttered. We suggest consideration be given to doing them in color(s).

Responses

6. OCCM agrees. As noted on page 169, the program includes the hiring of specialized professionals competent to evaluate the impact of development on natural systems.

6. Changes made.

7. Please see errata sheet.

8. Please see errata sheet.

9. We regret that publication costs preclude the use of color on these maps at this time.

Due to cost, extensive changes to the maps cannot be made; revision appears on Errata Sheet

Volume 2 is not being reprinted in the FEIS, therefore, this correction is included in the Errata Sheet.
National Marine Fishing Services

1. Page 59, Table 1. (in contrast to protective policies in the text of subject document) includes "sewage processing" as a use of mangrove systems. This item should be removed from the table.

2. Page 63, Paragraph 3. The term "significant alteration" of mangrove wetlands should be defined.

3. Page 105, Paragraph 4. Criteria should be established for "restoration of land lost to erosion" to prevent conversion of wetland habitat to dry land.

4. Page 168, Paragraph 1. Special zoning measures and Commonwealth endorsement procedures should be used to reduce dependence on Federal permit denial as a planning mechanism.

Responses

1. See revised table, which clarifies the limitations of this use.

2. OCZM doubts that the term can usefully be defined further. It is intended as a standard to be applied in individual cases.

3. Wetland habitats receive additional protection from the mangrove wetlands policy found on pages 62 and 63.

4. As indicated, the Commonwealth does plan to use its development control process and its powers to deny endorsements of federal permits to prevent inconsistent development.
Comment

"Facilidades principales De Transportación" following page 132, does not appear to be a comprehensive presentation of major transportation, especially in the western two-thirds of the island. Should not principal roads such as Routes Nos. 10, 111, 149, etc. be indicated? It is presumed that a classification of important highways and thoroughfares would be indicated as all transportation facilities, be they roads, airports, or ports impact upon and foster development pressures in the coastal zones.

"Distritos Zonificados Y No Zonificados En Zona Costanera" should be significantly revised to indicate appropriate and special zoning, if needed, implementing the Islandwide Land Use Plan for all areas presently unzoned within the coastal zone and for changes to existing zoning that would further implement land use policy within the coastal area. Mapping should express the major zoning classifications needed to implement and support adopted land use policies within the Coastal Zone.

Note: Based upon a review of the subject map, it would appear that other significant areas of the island are probably unzoned; in time, these areas should also be zoned to appropriate classifications (with controls) to implement the adopted Land Use Plan for Puerto Rico.

B. Because of the progress that has been made in our Flood Insurance mapping program the status of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) has changed and some editing of the text would be desirable.

1. P. 50, 1st paragraph.
This should be rewritten to say that final elevations for several river basins have been published (Feb. 1, 1978) Puerto Rico’s FIRM will become effective August 1, 1978, at which point the island will be converted to the Regular Program.

2. P. 50, 2nd paragraph, 2nd sentence.
This should read: "The mapping is being performed, under contract with FIA, by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Geological Survey, and the Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources.

Response

1. For emphasis, only a few of the most important transportation routes are shown.

2. The map is a description of present conditions. It is not a plan.

As noted in other sections of the document, Puerto Rico relies on a variety of development control mechanisms, of which zoning is only one.
3. Same paragraph, 3rd sentence.
Substitute this sentence: "Flood Insurance Studies for the cities of Adjuntas, Coamo, Jayuya, Utuado, as well as the Espiritu Santo, the Yauco, and the Lower Rio Grande de Arecibo river basins have been completed." The following sentence should be changed to read: "The City of Orocovis, and the Matilde, Bayamón, Río Grande de Loiza, La Plata, Cibuco, Yaguez, Guanajibo, Patillas, Guanani, and Guayanilla river basins are under study."

4. P. 50, 3rd paragraph, last 2 sentences.
This would be more accurate if stated: "The appropriate panels of the Flood Insurance Rate Map will be amended as the completed Flood Insurance Studies become effective and as ongoing and future studies are completed and become effective. The FIRM will become effective on August 1, 1978, the end of the conversion period. (The conversion period is the six month period initiated by FIA's publication of 100-year flood elevations for one or more river basins.)"

5. P. 50, 4th paragraph, 1st sentence.
Note that there will be only one FIRM and that its effective date will be August 1, 1978.

6. P. 51, 2nd paragraph.
Contrary to the assertion that FIA is uncertain about its time schedule for mapping the island's coastal high hazard areas (the statement was correct at the time of the First Review Draft), we do have a definite policy for defining coastal high hazard areas, and have already begun to implement it.

3. Change made.


5. Noted in preceding paragraphs.

6. Although OCZM understands that studies are now being prepared that define coastal high hazard areas, it is still uncertain when all the mapping will be completed.
A. Comments Resubmitted on First Review Draft:

1. (Page 3-80, 3-81, and figure 18). It is stated that the Coast Guard owns
four historic lighthouses (Fajardo, Cabo Rojo, Mona, and Culebrita). It is
assumed that these four lighthouses are designated on the Cultural and Historic
Sites Chart (figure 18) as "Faro" by numbers 20, 39, 60 (Mona), and 63,
respectively. Clarification of the designated historic lighthouses is
requested.

There seems to be some confusion regarding these historic lights as although they do
meet the criteria of age for nomination to the historic register, so do some approxi-
mate 15 other light structures in Puerto Rico. We have no knowledge of any of the
above listed lights being on the National Register of Historic Places nor any such
nominations by the Institute of Puerto Rican Culture.

2. The Coast Guard has no listing for
owning property designated by numbers 13, 25, and 26 (i.e., lighthouses at Cabra
De Tierra, Punta Cavenero, and Punta Brea, respectively).

3. The Coast Guard owns a light near
Fajardo (Cucarach) which is not listed.
However, the list does include Punta
Cuchara Lighthouse (i.e., No. 24), but
shows it as being located on the South
Coast of Puerto Rico near Ponce. Note
spelling similarity.

4. The Coast Guard owns three lights on
the Isla de Vieques that are located within
the U.S. Marine Corps' Camp Garcia. (i.e.,
Punta Este, Punta Conejito, Puerto Ferros).

5. On the south coast near Guanica, the
Coast Guard has 2.16 acres for Guanica
Light (not listed).

6. The Coast Guard has 5.86 acres on the
South Coast of Puerto Rico for Jobos
Harbor Light Station (not listed).

7. At the City of Catano, the Coast Guard
has .33 acres on which is located the Bahia
de San Juan rear range light (not listed).

---

Department of Transportation

Response

1. See errata sheet.

2. See errata sheet.

3. See errata sheet.

4. See errata sheet.

5. See errata sheet.

6. See errata sheet.

7. See errata sheet.

---

* See footnote under responses to
The Department of Agriculture's comments.
8. Although the Coast Guard's Base San Juan is located within the "Old San Juan Historic District" which is listed, the Base itself is not listed.

9. On the former Rammy AFB the Coast Guard has a 20 acre air station and 3 acre fuel farm, (not listed).

10. On the Roosevelt Roads West Annex, the Coast Guard has 3 parcels on land (not contiguous), totaling 130 acres (not listed).

11. The Coast Guard has 1.05 acres on which is located the Port Fonce Light Attendant Station. (not listed).

(Note: In the first review draft comments, we submitted as enclosure (1), a chart of Puerto Rico which designated most of the Coast Guard's property. However, please be advised that this chart, along with the above property discrepancies may be incomplete. In order to ascertain the exact location of all of the Coast Guard's aids to navigation, consult a current edition of the Coast Guard publication C6-160, Vol. II.)

12. (Appendix B, page 23, number 5) National Defense and Aerospace should include the Coast Guard as a Cognizant Federal Agency responsible for national security in the event of war.

13. Most all Coast Guard programs and missions are either located in or directly affect, the coastal zone. Although it is implied that Coast Guard programs warrant high priority, the document should clearly reflect the following:
   a. Most all Coast Guard programs are water dependent and carry a high priority.
   b. The Coast Guard's role in national defense is an essential element of the national interest.
   c. Coast Guard missions require many vital offshore and inshore operating areas and should not be restricted by the CZM program. This is extremely important since many search and rescue, oil pollution control, and law enforcement activities may be required in designated Areas of Particular Concern.

Response

8. See errata sheet.

9. See errata sheet.

10. See errata sheet.

11. See errata sheet.


13. See changes on page A-7, which expand the description of the Coast Guard. After close examination of the PRCP and consultation with the PRCP staff, OCZM concludes that emergency activities of the Coast Guard will not be hampered by the PRCP.
Department of Transportation (Con'd)

Comment

8. Comments on Final CZM Program/Draft EIS:
   1. Page 4, third item under "kinds of benefits to Puerto Rico ..." does not state proper terminology when addressing Federal consistency provisions. It should be corrected to coincide with the proper definition stated in other sections of the program, that of being "consistent to the maximum extent practicable with approved state program." (See Appendix B-25 "Federal Activities and Development Projects").

   2. Page 65 "Development Controls" states "Also, any Federal permit must be consistent with this coastal management program." This statement is in error if the proposed activity is a Federal development activity for the reasons stated in paragraph 1 above and cited in 930.32 of FR 43 10519.

   3. Page 179, no. (2) Updating Oil Spill Contingency Plan discusses the need for updating the Commonwealth's oil spill contingency plan by EQB. It is essential that this updated plan (as well as the Coastal Zone Management Plan) clarify that in the event of an oil spill, no restrictions are to be placed on the on-scene coordinator (OSC) regarding operational decisions necessary for the prevention of oil spill related consequences. It is therefore recommended that, in the event of such an emergency, the OSC have complete authority to direct whatever measures are necessary to implement decisions as they are made. This should be clarified in the contingency plan and since no such provisions were made in the final CZM document, it should also be expressed in the Coastal Zone Management Program.

   4. The fourth paragraph on page 186 states "the Planning Board will acquire responsibilities in procedures for determinations of consistency of Federal actions in the coastal zone." This is contrary to 930.34 of FR 43 10520, "Federal agencies shall provide State agencies with consistency determination for all Federal activities significantly affecting the coastal zone."

Department of Transportation (Con'd)

Response

1. Change made.

2. Footnote added

3. Nothing in the PRMMP would prevent the EQB from including appropriate emergency provisions in the oil spill contingency plan. A fuller discussion of the need for an updated EQB contingency plan is found on page 99. This notes the responsibilities of Coast Guard, EPA, and the EQB and calls for "immediate and coordinated action" when spills occur.

4. Hording revised.

D-8
5. Coordination with the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DOTPW) should be considered in connection with the accesses to beaches discussed on pages 75 and 130.

6. On page 133 the Martín Pena Project has been taken over by the Commonwealth. The DOTPW is in charge of coordinating the development of the project with the Corps of Engineers.

7. Correct reference on page 133, Section A, should be "Policy Committees of the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO's)." Also, the mayors of Caguas and Gurabo are included in the case of Caguas' area MPO.
1. Find that the report effectively describes the island’s energy dependence upon imported oil and the need to reserve sites for other coastal-dependent industry including energy.

2. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission is not mentioned among the Federal Agencies potentially impacting the coastal zone (A-3 through A-9).

Response

1. OCZM agrees.

2. NRC added to page A-9.
Comment

1. On October 1, 1977, the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) succeeded to the functions of the Federal Power Commission (FPC). FERC is still considered an "arm of Congress" and retains vested statutory responsibilities previously administered by FPC, even though it is designated as an independent regulatory commission within DOE. Thus, both FERC and DOE should be listed.

2. The figure showing potential energy facility sites referred to on Page 126 has not been included. Either this figure, or one showing the five approved energy facility sites mentioned on this page should be included in the final document.

3. The Puerto Rico Water Resources Authority (PRWRA), a public utility created by law, is the sole supplier of electric power in Puerto Rico. Since the PRWRA cannot interconnect with other electric power systems outside Puerto Rico, it must provide a large reserve capacity to insure adequate system reliability. At present, the reserve is greater than 100 percent which is far above that required. However, the statement on page 124 that additional electric generating capacity should not be required until the 1990's if the annual growth rate were 5 or 6 percent is questionable. With a 5 percent annual growth, the peak load would be about 3,840 megawatts leaving a margin of less than 20 percent. Therefore, if a 5 percent growth is realized, additional capacity should be available prior to 1990.

Response

1. FERC added to page A-6.

2. A figure showing the five approved sites is included.

3. See revised section on Energy, which makes clear that PRWRA anticipates the need for additional facilities during the 1980's.

4. See revised text on page B-25 and revised table on B-28, which clarifies that a single agency (PB) is responsible for consistency.

5. FERC added to page A-6 and B-28.

5. As an independent regulatory Commission FERC should be listed on page B-28 (Table B-1), and A-8. FERC is responsible for:
o Licenses required for non-Federal hydroelectric projects and associated transmission lines under Section 4(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 USC 797 (4)).

o Certificates required for the construction and operation of natural gas pipeline facilities, defined to include both interstate pipeline and terminal facilities under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (15 USC 717f(c)). (Note: Page B-28 incorrectly lists DOE as the agency in charge of "Permits for construction and operation of facilities needed to import or export natural gas." Discussions between DOE and FERC are currently underway to clarify the jurisdiction of FERC in this area.

6. The notes of the Federal Regional Council Meeting of August 16, 1977 in New York (page D-8 of Volume 2) through incomplete information provides an erroneous impression regarding the authority of FPC, now FERC. It is requested that item "a" be amended to read "Noted that Table B-1 on page B-30, Item A under FERC (FPC) applies only to licensing associated with non-Federal hydroelectric plants and electric transmission lines."

a See footnote on response to DOA comments.
1. Consistency.
   a. The discussion of consistency is somewhat confusing. We emphasize that regardless of which Commonwealth agency has review responsibility, all consistency determinations will be submitted to a single designated agency. This is in accordance with 15 CFR 930.16, which also specifies that "The (designated) State agency shall be responsible for securing necessary review and comment from other State, regional, or local government agencies."
   
b. Further, the procedures and time sequence for reviewing Federal consistency determinations and making consistency certifications should be more explicitly set forth.

   a. We recommend that the following national interests also be included: floodplain management, wetlands protection and the Clean Water Act of 1977.
   
b. In the National Defense portion of this section (p. B-29), the policies related to mangrove protection, and dredge, fill, and diking criteria do not pertain specifically to national defense, but would more appropriately be included as part of the national interest in navigation and the environment.

   1.a. See revised text on page B-25 and revised table on B-28, which clarifies that a single agency (PB) is responsible for consistency.

   b. The Commonwealth by adopting the PRCP has obligated itself to comply with procedures and time sequence specified in the Sec. 307 regulations (15 CFR subsection 930).

   2.a. Floodplain management, wetlands protection and water quality have been considered during program development (See Chapter 3). However, they are not explicitly described in the National Interest section in Appendix B as it is not necessary to meet subsection 923.52.

   b. The policies related to mangrove protection and dredging do make specific provision for national defense facilities.

   The national interest in navigation is included under Transportation on page B-21 and the referenced policies are also noted in that discussion.
Comment

1. At the outset, we wish to acknowledge the excellent work that has been done by the Commonwealth in preparing a program which has gone far toward fulfilling the procedural and substantive requirements of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act. The PRCMP represents a thoughtful, balanced and comprehensive approach to coastal zone management. While there is much we can say about the program's strengths, we have limited our remarks to the few areas of concern we have found in our review of the Program and DEIS.

2. It appears that the Planning Board Organic Law does provide an appropriate, legally binding and enforceable mechanism for the management of the coastal zone in accordance with the PRCMP as required by Section 306(d) and 306(e)(1)(A)(direct state control).

3. We seriously question the adequacy of this extremely broad treatment (of National Interest) even though Appendix B relies on vague references to coastal-dependent industry and energy facilities in Chapters 2 and 3. For example, in order to satisfy OCZN's regulation that requires the description of national interests considered and the "sources relied upon for such consideration," reliance is placed on reference to federal agencies which were contacted and/or submitted comments. While the primary energy agency, the Department of Energy, may have been contacted for comments, nowhere in our reading of the program do we find any comments or guidance received from DOE. DOE's failure to respond is, obviously not the Commonwealth's responsibility. However, it is not correct for OCZN to suggest that the PRCMP has adequately provided for the national interest in energy facility siting and planning (Section 306(c)(8)), which must be met prior to program approval.\footnote{Section 306(c)(8) stipulates that prior to approval of a program, the Secretary shall find that the program provides for adequate consideration of the national interest involved in facilities...} Nowhere in the CZMA or the Section 306 regulations does it provide that States do not have to meet this Section 306(c)(8) requirement for energy facilities even though states with approved programs have until October 1, 1978, to have a completed 305(b)(8), energy facility planning process which is an entirely separate and subordinate program development requirement.

Response

1. OCZN agrees.

2. OCZN agrees.

3.a. As noted on page B-19, in considering the national interest, the Commonwealth relied on comments and policy statements of affected Federal agencies, Federal legislation, and Presidential executive orders. In addition, other reports and written materials were consulted. In the case of energy facilities siting, for example, as noted on page B-20, the National Energy Plan Objectives were examined.

b. As for participation by Federal agencies, all affected agencies were given an opportunity to comment on the DEIS as well as on two earlier drafts. The Federal Power Commission (now Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) attended a Federal Regional Council meeting in New York at which the Puerto Rico program was considered. That agency also attended a presentation of the Puerto Rico program at OCZN offices in Washington. OCZN believes...
Comment

on the very vague reference in Appendix B cited above, and without any meaningful consultation between DOE and the Commonwealth. We feel it is incumbent upon OCZM to insure that an appropriate consultation be arranged with the Office of Siting, Department of Energy, to determine the nature and extent of national interests in energy which may be affected by Commonwealth decisions, and that the results of that consultation be contained in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) revision of the program.

4. We have some concern that the term "energy production and transmission" is never defined in the program. The FEIS should state whether this term is consistent with the Section 304(5) "energy facilities" definition contained in the CZMA, or otherwise specify whether the program is designed to manage all energy facilities (Section 304(5) definition) or just certain types.

5. Appendix B addresses these requirements regarding energy facilities in two ways: 1) it says that the "national interest in energy facilities planning process . . . "; and 2) it refers us to previous chapters in the PRCMP. Neither of these two descriptions amount to a clear and detailed description of the administrative procedures and decision points, as required for adequate consideration of the national interest. We shall elaborate on these deficiencies as follows:

Response

that Puerto Rico has fulfilled its obligation to provide an opportunity for federal agency consultation and coordination during program development. PRCMP staff has expressed a desire to obtain detailed comments from DOE particularly the Office or Siting in preparing the energy facility planning process. In addition, OCZM has attempted to follow up with DOE.

C. In addition, we note that the Puerto Rico plan establishes policies that appear to accommodate future siting of heavy industry, including energy facilities. New policies established for coastal-dependent development, for example, call for protecting sites designated by the Planning Board as being suitable for coastal-dependent industry. See generally pages 113-14. Also, policies for dredging and filling (page 105) and for most mangrove wetlands (page 63) provide specifically for coastal-dependent energy facilities.

4. The term "energy production and transmission" is derived from OCZM regulations (15 CFR subsection 923-52) and is consistent with Section 304(5).
a. As indicated above, Section 306(c)(8) requires that state programs assure the adequate consideration of all identified national interests. This requirement is a mandatory, substantive program approval requirement. The Section 305(b)(8) energy facilities planning process, is a procedural program development requirement, which obviously has to be coordinated with the national interest provisions of the program. But in no way can this planning process be construed as a substitute for adequate consideration of national interest in energy facility siting and planning which is required prior to program approval.

b. With regard to the requirements to provide a process and a clear and detailed description of administrative procedures and decision points for consideration of the national interest, referring the PRCMP reader or user to the fact that an energy facilities planning process is being developed is not clear or detailed enough to measure up to the 306(c)(8) requirement.

c. Regarding (Appendix B) as it refers to the energy facilities planning process, this section of the program should include the details of this planning process available today, as well as the following:

a) A detailed schedule for completion of this energy element including project milestones and opportunities for public comment;

b) The identification of which agency or subagency is responsible for the development of this process and how this agency relates to the Planning Board and the new Energy Office;

c) A description of the Commonwealth's new Energy Office's responsibilities and authorities (does it have autonomy over the siting of energy facilities?);

d) An identification of which facilities will be considered; and

e) A commitment that work on the energy facilities planning process will be coordinated with the Coastal Management Unit's (Department of Natural Resources) work in developing the detailed plans for optimizing the uses of the Special Planning and Natural Reserve Areas.

b. The development control process of the Regulations and Permits Administration and the Planning Board is described on pages 155-57. Attention is directed particularly to the requirements on page 156, that compliance with the PRCMP will be determined before permission is granted for any urbanization or industrial project in the coastal zone. Consideration of the national interest is an essential element included in determination of compliance with the PRCMP.

c. Public hearing on this process is planned for August, with formal submission to OCZM by September 30, 1978. The responsible agency is the Coastal Zone Management Program of the Department of Natural Resources and the Planning Board. The Program works closely with the Energy Office. An expanded description of responsibilities of the Energy Office is included in the revised test of the Energy section of Chapter 3. (It does not have autonomy over the siting of energy facilities). Facilities to be considered include all those specified by OCZM regulations. Energy facility planning will be closely coordinated with detailed planning for Special Planning Areas.
d. As mentioned above, the PRCPM attempts to answer the requirements that programs shall "describe a process for continued consideration of the national interest . . ." by referring us to Chapters 2 and 3 where the Islandwide Land Use Policies are listed and Coastal-Dependent Industry is discussed. While these chapters along with Chapter 4 do an excellent job of describing the status quo which is very helpful, again these chapters do not provide a clear and detailed description of the procedures and decision points where the national interest is required to be given adequate consideration.

6. One of the places in the program where the national interest provisions in the PRCPM could be strengthened is the reference on pages 152-153 to the Planning Board's discretionary regulatory powers. Specifically, on page 153, the power of the Board in "special cases" is described as follows: where application of regulations would be impractical and where the approval of a project would be undesirable because of factors such as health, safety, order, public improvements, more adequate use of lands, environmental conditions, or exceptional beauty, the Board may deny authorization for projects even if they comply with applicable regulations.

We view this discretionary authority as the key element to the implementation of the PRCPM's enforceability and national interest provisions. Without some additional and legally binding guidelines by which the Planning Board will provide for affirmative accommodation of overriding national interests, this discretionary power could be applied in a manner which would be contrary to the expressed intent of Congress in passing the CZMA and in amending the Act in 1976.

We realize that all regulatory agencies must have some discretionary powers. However, the Planning Board's authority in "special cases" is so broad, and without any built-in national interest considerations, that all the positive steps toward environmental protection and economic development contained in the PRCPM could be subject to the whims of one regulatory agency. Hopefully, something can be done to correct this broad deficiency relative to the Section 306(c)(8) program approval requirement.

6. By adoption of this document, the Planning Board delegates itself to consider the national interest (see page B-22). This comment calls attention to the unusual "special cases" authority granted by Section 17 of the Planning Board Organic Act. It is OCZM's understanding the API has a copy of that Act, and we refer you to the full text of Section 17 ("Special Cases"). The Board's power in special cases is to be exercised only "for the protection of the general welfare and taking into consideration said factors as well as the recommendations of the Government bodies involved." (Planning Board Organic Act, Section 17). After discussion with PRCPM staff OCZM has concluded that the term "general welfare" is broad enough to include the national interest, as articulated in the Program. Also the Board is required by the statute to consider "the recommendations of the government bodies involved." OCZM concludes that this reference to "government bodies" can include Federal as well as Commonwealth agencies.

Furthermore, coastal states are required to "consider" the national interest. "Affirmative accommodation of overriding national interests," to which your letter refers, is not required by the CZMA.
7.a. We assume that the public, and organizations such as FOMENTO and the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company will have the opportunity to work directly with the Coastal Management Unit in developing the detailed plans for these areas (SMA). Since the PRCPM only mentions the Coastal Management Unit's role in this regard, some clarification on the public participation aspects of this continuing management function is needed.

b. Additionally, the development of detailed plans for the management of Special Planning Areas could be an effective mechanism for providing adequate and continuing consideration of the national interest in the planning for and siting of energy facilities. However, to attempt to do this the PRCPM would have to recognize that, with the siting of coastal-dependent or energy producing or transmission facilities within industrially zoned SPA's, energy activities that can be shown to be in the national interest should be afforded special consideration for siting within these areas.

8. a. The DEIS fails to provide a balanced and thorough discussion of both the costs and benefits of the proposed action.
   b. The DEIS commits itself to one particular course of action - full approval under Subsection 306 and fails to discuss possible alternatives, including continued program development funding under Subsection 305.

9.a. There is a need for a definite time limit for the consistency review. Although the plan does commit to a review of comments received "within the specified limit" (presumed to be six months), provision is also made to negotiate modifications to projects in response to "any" comments. More specific time limitations on DNR (or Planning Board receipt of comments appears to be needed to avoid objections to the proposed projects simply because comments have not been received in a timely manner.

b. Additionally, the language of this section is in need of revision to allow the DNR to evaluate the validity of comments received on the consistency of proposal modifications.
1. We believe that draft PRCMP is a unique and potentially effective program. The document is well written and clearly conveys the idea that coastal management in Puerto Rico must be considered and reviewed within the context of its special social and economic circumstances. This document also reflects the Commonwealth's extensive efforts to develop a program that could be implemented by their long-established land use and resources regulatory institutions. We wish to commend Puerto Rico for its consideration and incorporation of some of the Department's comments on two earlier drafts. As a result of our cooperative efforts during program development, many mutual concerns were resolved.

2. Authorities and Implementation

The Department recognizes that this draft document reflects extensive efforts by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to develop a program compatible with the long-established practice of regulating land and water resources at the Commonwealth level. It is our understanding that the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program will, by virtue of its adoption by the Puerto Rico Planning Board and the Governor, constitute the coastal element for the island-wide Land Use Plan and as such will establish official policy which must be followed by all Commonwealth agencies. We observe that the principal agencies responsible for implementing these policies are the Puerto Rico Planning Board and the Regulations and Permit Administration, which together exercise regulatory authority over coastal development in both zoned and unzoned areas, and the Department of Natural Resources, which is the designated lead agency for promotion and implementation of this program.

a. The Department has several concerns relating to enforcement, monitoring, evaluation and future policy development activities of the Commonwealth during program implementation. First, the draft program does not contain an adequate discussion of proposed enforcement and monitoring procedures. The PRCMP should address what enforcement techniques, such as penalties and legal remedies will be used where activities fail to comply with Commonwealth policies or permit programs. The PRCMP should also clearly explain how relevant State or Federal agency activities will be monitored to assure the coordination necessary for successful program implementation.

2.a.(1) Enforcement. The PRCMP recognizes public education and persuasion as key elements needed to obtain public compliance with its policies. When legal action becomes necessary, the statutes listed on pages 8-8 to 8-10 provide Commonwealth agencies with a full range of penalties and legal remedies. The Ranger Corps, discussed on pages 175-76, represents a major Commonwealth initiative to improve enforcement in the field.

(2) Monitoring. To monitor Federal and Commonwealth activities, the Commonwealth will rely on environmental impact statements (both Commonwealth and Federal), the A-95
We believe that there is an important Federal role in the implementation of the PRCP which will assist in assuring effective enforcement and monitoring. Specifically, we suggest that a review of program enforcement be a key component of the annual Federal evaluation process undertaken pursuant to Section 312 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended (CZMA). Further, the PRCP should be closely monitored by your office throughout the first year of implementation. The Department expects to be involved in the annual evaluation process and hopes that your monitoring of this program will provide Federal agencies timely and useful information for their review.

In this connection, we also recommend that the Commonwealth develop several implementation objectives and time schedules for inclusion into the final PRCP. These will form one basis upon which evaluations can measure the success of program implementation.

b. Our second concern is that Puerto Rico should more fully explain how it will develop and implement future program policies. We recognize that a new Coastal Management Unit (CMU) will be established with DNR to exercise responsibility for, among other things, “continuing coastal management initiatives, for coordinating and monitoring progress... for recommending spending priorities.” While it appears that the CMU will play an important role in coastal management, the program must clearly identify how the CMU will work with other Commonwealth agencies to develop and review coastal policies.

c. Third, the Department believes that the draft PRCP does not contain a sufficiently detailed explanation of how the island-wide policies will be applied in the coastal zone. For example, the draft PRCP indicates that coral reefs are subject to continued destruction by sedimentation resulting from, among other things, land subdivision activities (p. 58). It is apparent that such activities are not confined within the 1000-meter coastal boundary. The question arises as to how the Planning Board will use the island-wide policies (Policy No. 18.03 on p. 44, for example) to control activities occurring outside of the 1000-meter zone that have a significant or direct impact on coastal resources.

Department of the Interior

Response

process, consistency notifications, the development control process (described in Ch. 4) and continuing consultation with Federal and Commonwealth agencies.

(3) Program enforcement will be monitored by OCZM through the annual Federal evaluation process (312) and through our responsibility for grants management. The Puerto Rico 306 application is produce oriented in terms of write/refine regulations, doing special management plans and research. Therefore monitoring should be made easier. OCZM would be pleased to have input from DOI.

(4) OCZM is working with the Commonwealth on development of the 306 work program, which will include implementation objectives and time schedules for the first year.

b. See text on page 169. As explained there, the CMU will work closely with the staffs of the Planning Board and other Commonwealth agencies. Principal reliance will be placed on informal consultation, and formal consultation is part of established procedures for adoption of plans and policies.

c. The Planning Board’s island-wide policies apply equally to the coastal zone and the rest of the Commonwealth (see page 29). Also the regulatory and other powers of the Board apply both to the coastal zone and the rest of the Commonwealth. Thus, for example, a regulation governing land subdivision activities is not limited to the 1000 meter boundary.
d. Finally, we understand that the Planning Board has not yet promulgated several key sets of regulations including: (1) control use of beaches; (2) bathing resorts; (3) other bodies of water; (4) coral reef protection; and (5) mangrove cutting and development. On page 164, there is a commitment by the Commonwealth to refine current regulations to address these activities. We consider the adoption of these regulations a high priority to assure adequate coastal resource management and believe that these regulations must be promulgated before program approval or during the first year of program implementation.

3. Federal Agency Coordination

The Commonwealth has previously coordinated with Federal agencies through the Federal Regional Council, correspondence, and individual meetings. While this has provided some communication, the draft program does not propose any further coordinating mechanisms beyond establishing an additional contact to facilitate Federal consistency reviews.

The Department is not fully satisfied with these past coordination efforts and believes that specific improvements in the process must be made prior to program approval and implementation. We have made this criticism earlier with respect to the Culebra segment of the Commonwealth program and continue to believe that without additional coordinating mechanisms, our ability to represent the Department's interests and to otherwise participate and contribute to program implementation would be severely limited.

4. National Interest

The Department believes that the PRCHP must reflect a more explicit commitment to address national interest issues during program implementation. The national interest requirement is briefly addressed in Appendix B (p. B-22), which provides that "all affected Commonwealth agencies will consider the national interest in making permit decisions and approval of plans for or in the coastal zone." The discussion in the Alternatives Section of the DEIS (p. 194) concludes that this statement is "binding" because the document will be adopted by the Planning Board and transmitted to the Governor. The program should indicate whether an analysis

4. As of this time, CCZM has been informed that the Planning Board will be revising Regulations 013 (see p. 50) due to the completion of the FIRM and regulation 09 concerning the provision for recreation space and facilities at shorefront development. Please see pages 139-141 for list of other needs. Coral reef protection will be a principal benefit of the runoff and erosion control regulations which is listed as high priority item on page 164. Mangrove alterations are likely to receive early regulatory attention, as required by FIA regulations, now that the FIRM for Puerto Rico is scheduled to go into effect in August 1978. CCZM is working with the Commonwealth to determine the priorities for refining other regulations during the first year of implementation.

3. See revised text on pages B-14 and 170 which specifies future coordination mechanisms.
of the Organic Acts of the Planning Board and the Regulations and Permit Administration has been performed to determine if these agencies have the discretion under their statutory mandates to take national interest factors into consideration. If such discretion exists we believe that the commitment to consider the national interest should take the form of a specific policy. If this discretion does not exist, Puerto Rico should develop an approach to remedy the deficiency.

5. Development Control Process
On page 155, the draft PRCP does not adequately explain what mechanism the Planning Board will use to review or revise the development control process on the various regulations to resolve conflicts which may arise between island-wide and coastal policies or management practices. While it appears that the necessary conflict resolution mechanism may exist within the development control process, further clarification is necessary.

Within this development control process we recommend that the Planning Board be required to solicit the advice of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) or the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) before making permit recommendations to the Regulations and Permit Administration (RPA) for potentially significant environmental impacts. Since the EQB is the agency responsible for air and water pollution control, requiring their advice would provide a strong assurance that applicable Federal air and water standards would be addressed pursuant to Section 307(f)(1) of the CZMA. Soliciting EQB and DNR recommendations would also serve to minimize conflicts that may arise between these agencies and the Planning Board, which would require resolution by the Governor.

6.a. Special Planning Areas
The "Special Planning Areas" nomination and designation process is of particular interest to the Department as it involves the preparation of detailed land and water use plans for specific areas crucial to fish and wildlife resources (e.g., all mangrove wetlands). Many of these areas may include threatened or endangered species habitat, and Special Area plans may significantly affect these habitats depending upon the activities and management techniques which ensue. Many adverse impacts to threatened or endangered species that could result from this process

5. See response to comment 2.c. above.

As indicated on page 155 referrals to EQB and DNR are already customary. Linkage among these agencies are further described on pages 160-163.

6.a. See revised text on page 8-14, which describes by example the Means for Departmental participation.
may be avoided or minimized by providing a specific means for Departmental participation in the development of Special Area plans. We request this participation and believe it is important in fulfilling our respective obligations under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.

b. We also recommend that changes be made in the priority of uses discussion for Special Planning Areas. Specifically, on page B-11, the word “readily” should be deleted from priority (b) and (c), and the term “coastal-dependent” should be changed to “water dependent” in priority (b). As currently proposed, development may occur in these areas which would preempt uses that are clearly water-dependent. Using the term water-dependent would help to assure that a greater number of sites would be available for water dependent facilities. Also, redesign and relocation of development projects should be subject to a test more rigorous than their ability to be “readily” redesigned. This change would help to assure that uses and projects permitted in Special Planning Areas will have minimal adverse impacts on coastal resources.

c. On page 168 the draft program states: “The Commonwealth will also rely on the denial of Federal dredge and fill permits for activities inconsistent with approved policies and plans . . . ” to assist in regulating Special Planning Areas and Natural Reserves. We believe that this section should be expanded to more adequately discuss the Commonwealth’s dredge and fill authority as it relates to these Special Areas. If a proposed activity is inconsistent with coastal plans, regulations or policies, then the Commonwealth should clearly indicate how it will modify the project, or deny the permit.

7. Boundaries
We suggest that the Commonwealth examine additional areas for inclusion within the inland coastal boundary during the first year of implementation. The present 1000 meter line cuts across coastal wetlands at Cano Tiberones and does not include important estuaries such as the Rio Grande Manati (Map No. 36). We also urge the Commonwealth to recognize the importance of ground water resources to coastal development. Issues such as the balance of freshwater-sea water in limestone aquifers are vital to coastal ecosystems in Puerto Rico and need to be more fully addressed in the program.

b. See revised text on page B-11. The Commonwealth interprets “coastal-dependent” to mean “water dependent.”

c. Criteria for dredging and filling are set forth on page 105. The planning process for special planning areas and natural reserves should permit refinement of these criteria as they affect these areas.

7. The coastal zone boundary is already more than 1000 meters in at least a portion of the Cano Tiberones area. It may be, however, that additional portions of this area could usefully be included in the coastal zone. This, as well as possible extensions to include more of the estuary of the Rio Grande de Manati, will be considered after program adoption.
Department of the Interior  

Comment  

B. a. Historic and Cultural Resources  
The statement of general objectives for Puerto Rico's Island-wide Land Use Plan includes the conservation and management of cultural resources as an integral part of the Commonwealth's goal of total environmental resource management (pp. 31-44). This statement is consistent in many respects with the goals of the Federal historic preservation program, established by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (NHPA) and Executive Order 11593. We commend Puerto Rico for this policy framework.

Chapter One and Three reference the significance of the Commonwealth's cultural resources and address the need for both an inventory of archeological sites and enactment of Commonwealth laws and regulations for the protection of those sites. We are concerned, that the PRCPM, as a Federally assisted program does not specifically address the need to provide for compliance with the NHPA, Executive Order 11593, and the Advisory Council's Procedures for the Protection of Historic and Cultural Property (36 CFR 800) in the course of implementing the active resource management and development guidance portions of the management plan. Reference was made to this need in a Memorandum of September 15, 1977 from the National Park Service, which appears in Volume II of the PRCPM.

The Federal historic preservation statutes and regulations require the identification and evaluation of the full range of historic properties in the coastal zone in terms of the National Register criteria, determinations of eligibility for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, and formulation of specific management decisions affecting National Register listed or eligible properties in consultation with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The State Historic Preservation Officer should be consulted at each stage of this process. The objectives expressed in land use policy 18.04 suggest that the goals of the PRCPM are very similar to those of the Advisory Council's procedures. As a result, the draft program lacks only recognition of the compliance responsibility and a description of the process by which compliance would be accomplished.

Department of the Interior  

Response  

B. a. NHPA is basically designated to deal with site specific construction or physical alteration projects and to assert that before such projects are undertaken, historic, cultural or archeological artifacts are inventoried and recorded or preserved.

The PRCPM, while technically an "undertaking" subject to NHPA, is basically a policy plan covering a much broader geographic area than that typically contemplated by NHPA. Moreover, PRCPM is not site specific as to permissible construction or alteration activities. Any site specific construction or alteration activity that might result from approval of the PRCPM normally would be subject to Law No. 9 (EOB's EIS requirement) which would bring NHPA requirement into effect.

At a meeting on June 15, 1978, DOI and OCZM agreed to work together to develop additional guidance as to how CZMP's can incorporate the requirements of section 106 into management programs during program implementation. OCZM will provide Puerto Rico with the necessary guidance as it becomes available.
b. Resource inventories are mandated by the CZMA and the NHPA, and the Commonwealth should be commended for recognizing the need to undertake a comprehensive inventory of archaeological resources. However, it is also important to thoroughly survey the coastal zone for historic buildings, structures, districts, and objects which may also be significant and which may meet the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. In undertaking such a survey it is appropriate to consider resources on both public and private lands, resources of local and Commonwealth significance, and resources representative of the broad range of themes which comprise the history of Puerto Rico.

He have noted that reference is made in the DEIS to the ongoing process of evaluating properties for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The PRCMP should explain how this evaluation is being carried out. Is it based on a comprehensive inventory and, if so, how is it being conducted and when will it be completed?

b. OCZM is not obligated to require Puerto Rico to survey historic buildings, structures, etc. which meet the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. OCZM requires that the PRCMP identify sites already on the Register, which the PRCMP has already done. Reference to the ongoing process of evaluating properties is nevertheless included for completeness, as suggested by earlier comments of OAPH.

c. Changes made on page 109 and 110.

c. Until a comprehensive inventory is available, it is important that a mechanism be established to ensure that no decisions which would result in land disturbing activities are made without adequate consideration of as yet unidentified historic and cultural resources. (In order to avoid confusion about the incomplete status of the cultural resource inventory we recommend that map 18 and the reference to it on p. 109 be amended to indicate that the map shows only those resources identified to date.) The reference to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 should note the amendment of that legislation in 1976.

d. While two of the seven Special Planning Areas include cultural resources in Chapter 4 (p. 165), we are concerned that, in the absence of a cultural resource inventory, significant cultural resources which may qualify as special planning areas may not have been considered. Although the need for an inventory of archeological sites is identified on p. 110, no mention of cultural resource inventories is made under the discussion of priorities for Resource and Hazard Inventories (pp. 180-181).

d. As indicated on page 169, a responsibility of the Coastal Management Unit is to recommend designation of additional areas as special planning areas. Change made on page 181.
9. Beach Access
The Department recommends that the phrase on page 72, "compared to other beach access problems in Puerto Rico, the absence or uncertainty of public right to use a few beaches is of relatively minor importance," be deleted. As the document points out in the previous paragraph, the number of beach areas subject to Spanish crown grants is unclear and these beach restriction provisions may be broader than anticipated. In any case, beach access and the public's right to use those beaches are important issues. We believe that any obstacles to recreational beach use must be addressed in light of the "most favored" status of beach activities expressed in the Puerto Rico Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan.

10. Draft Environmental Impact Statement
This section is very brief and does not contain information sufficient to conduct a meaningful assessment of possible environmental impacts. The "Summary of Environmental Impacts," found on page 185 states that "the coastal resource policies will protect important natural resources. This statement tends to underestimate the importance of how and when coastal policies of the draft program can be expected to place competing demands on natural resources. We recommend that the FEIS discuss environmental impacts based on the application of: (1) an overall policy statement; (2) a balanced conflict resolution mechanism and; (3) the program's resource policies and plans.

11. P. 44, Item 18.03.
This appears to be the key policy for protecting resources such as mangroves, reefs, dunes, and habitats of endangered species. The wording of this policy, however, is general and vague and should be written in a more precise manner.

10. As already noted in the response to 8.a., the PRCP is basically a policies plan covering a broad geographic area and is not site-specific as to permissible construction or alteration activities. For a program document of this type, OCZM considers the summary of environmental impacts to be as detailed as practicable, however for clarity, some revisions have been made (see sections C, D, and G of Part III).

11. It is true that this policy, like many of the Islandwide policies, is quite general. More specific policies for some resources (e.g., mangroves) are established by the PRCP.
Department of the Interior

Comment

12. PP. 53-54.
"Coastal Erosion" is clearly recognized as a significant coastal problem and we note that at this point the program does not provide for any means of erosion management. We intend to review Puerto Rico's proposed erosion planning process developed pursuant to Section 305(b)(9) of the CZMA when it is finished later this year.

13. Page 73.
The first paragraph on this page establishes a new policy relating to surplus Federal land. Although we support the intent of the policy, we wish to emphasize that Federal property becomes surplus only if it is no longer needed by any Federal agency. Transfer of "excess" property between Federal agencies may occur before property is declared "surplus". This Federal property transfer is not subject to the Federal consistency requirements as long as such transferred properties retain the status of "excluded Federal lands' for purposes of the CZMA.

The last paragraph on this page discusses establishing oil spill liability measures. The last sentence should be expanded to reflect the Clean Water Act Amendments of 1977 authorizing compensation for damages to natural resources resulting from oil spills, but contains no provision for post-spill research to quantify the damages. Puerto Rico may wish to include post oil spill research as a 306 work task.

15. Pages 112-142.
a. The Coastal Development section suggest that most coastal areas will be developed for something other than fish and wildlife resources. Certain parts of coastal areas where development and conservation use conflicts may arise are recommended in Chapter 4 for designation as Natural Reserves. For these areas it is clear that neither industry or other development should occur (page 116). The section as presently written implies that a developer may in some instances successfully challenge a "Natural Reserve" designation. Clarification on the relationship between Natural Reserves and development is necessary.

b. Under the discussion on "Alternative Sources of Sand," extraction of submarine deposits is discussed without indicating the potential seriousness of environmental impacts resulting from such an operation. Any submarine sand mining should be thoroughly studied and such extraction should be closely controlled through permits or leases.

Department of the Interior

Response

12. No response necessary.

13. This policy applies only to property which is declared surplus and therefore does not affect transfer of "excess" property between Federal agencies. However, the Federal activity of transferring "excess" property between Federal agencies is subject to consistency requirements. If there are spill over effects that significantly affect the coastal zone. (5CFR930)

14. See revised text on page 99, which includes reference to oil spill research.

15.a. As indicated on page 166, activities inconsistent with preservation and restoration are to be excluded from Natural Reserves, except in cases of overriding necessity. The policy on special protection for mangrove wetlands (pp. 62-63) exemplifies the intended result.

b. The need for careful environmental impact evaluation is noted on page 119.
Comment

16. P. 121.
As this section points out, the question of ownership of submerged lands surrounding Puerto Rico is not yet resolved. Proposed Federal legislation (H.R. 7827) would confirm Commonwealth ownership of offshore oil deposits and clarify the right of the Commonwealth to authorize exploration and extraction. Once the question of ownership is settled, Puerto Rico should rewrite this section to describe its position and policies with regard to OCS exploration, development and production.

17. Page 174.
Under training and enlarging field staffs, the PRCHP discusses personnel needs for efficient program management. Minerals-trained geologists or mining engineers on the Department of Natural Resources staff could provide the professional backgrounds necessary for sound mineral resources management.

18. Page 185, final paragraph.
The statement, "Protection of offshore islands will be assured," is presented without explaining how this will be accomplished. Also, enforcement questions relating to the administration of the Culebra segment lead us to conclude that an absolute statement of this nature may not be appropriate.

Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources That Would Be Involved In the Proposed Action Should It Be Implemented. This section claims that the program "... channels development away from fragile and important coastal resource areas to appropriate sites based upon land and water resource consideration." This statement appears to be absolute and may not be appropriate based on the various potential conflicts between coastal policies and the expected competing demands placed on coastal resources.

We recommend that the discussion of Federal consistency determinations be expanded and that additional details be provided to explain how conflict resolution related to such determinations will work.

21. Appendix E which concerns public participation does not attempt to analyze issues raised by commentors, nor does it reflect how the program has been modified to address publicly identified issues. More meaningful analysis here would be useful in order to inform reviewers of how public concerns were accommodated.

Response

16. No response necessary.

17. No response necessary.

18. See the revised text on page 185. The quoted statement has been revised to say "the PRCHP provides for the protection of offshore islands." OCZM will monitor under section 512 whether enforcement is carried out.

19. In the context established by the first three sentences of the paragraph, it seems to OCZM that the quoted statement is not absolute.

20. Additional details on resolving conflicts that may arise in consistency determinations are provided in OCZM's consistency regulations.

21. Public comments were considered in revising the PRCHP. In the interests of brevity, analysis of public comments was not included in the Appendix.
Environmental Protection Agency

Comments

1. PLANNING COORDINATOR

a. It is apparent that there has been little, if any, coordination between the CZMP and the Islandwide 208 water quality management (WQM) plan. Because of the substantial potential for mutual benefit, we strongly recommend that the planning agencies cooperate to produce consistent programs for wise use of the resources of Puerto Rico.

The CZMP places high priority on runoff, erosion and sediment controls in the refinement of regulations and criteria. However it does not specify the areas having acute runoff erosion problems, the details of existing regulations governing runoff and erosion control, or the necessary controls and revisions to existing regulations.

The Islandwide 208 WQM plan emphasizes the assessment and control of nonpoint sources of water pollution, specifically agriculture, construction, mining and community runoff. The Islandwide 208 program will recommend best management practice (BMP) based on a thorough assessment of nonpoint sources on the island, including BMP for certain types of land uses in coastal areas. The Islandwide 208 program can provide the detailed planning and documentation for implementable erosion and sediment controls. It is important that the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) work closely with the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) to provide greater detail in the CZMP regarding runoff, erosion and sediment controls and their regulation. The BMP's developed in the 208 program should be incorporated into the CZMP regulatory structure and its administrative/enforcement process where relevant to CZMP policies. This will also result in regulations that could be used in the Islandwide WQM plan.

b. The CZMP is intended to be the coastal element in an overall Islandwide land use plan. However, the CZMP does not contain land use plans, but rather is a series of policy statements regarding land use. We could find no projections of population, land use, growth impacts, etc. It is assumed that these data are necessary for any useful management plan.

Environmental Protection Agency

Response

1.a. Successive drafts of the PRCMP have been submitted for review to the Environmental Quality Board. EQB has submitted comments on more than one occasion and EQB representatives has attended several meetings to review the Program. As noted on page B-29, continuing close cooperation with the EQB is planned and anticipated. (see revisions on pages 54, 103 and B-17.)

After consultation with PRCMP staff, OCZM is confident that as the 208 planning process proceeds the Coastal Management Unit will cooperate closely with the 208 Program staff in EQB, for example, in refining controls for sedimentation and erosion. OCZM does not believe, however, that implementation of the PRCMP should be delayed until completion of the Islandwide 208 plan. OCZM completely agrees on the benefits of coordination between the PRCMP and the Islandwide 208 plan. OCZM and EPA will coordinate the funding of activities in the PRCMP and Puerto Rico "208" program.

b. OCZM agrees that the PRCMP is basically a policies plan. This is consistent with the CZMA and OCZM regulations. At this time, the Islandwide Land Use Plan includes the objectives and policies element found in Chapter 2 and the PRCMP. A great deal of information considered in the development of the PRCMP could not practically be set forth in the document. In the future all relevant data will also be considered in the development of program changes, including plans for special planning areas.

D-29
Comment

The Islandwide 208 program is to include an element on land use planning and demographic projections. Although the Planning Board has not forwarded any land use plans to EQB for use in the 208 program, we have been informed that land use plans do not exist after 1985. Therefore the Planning Board should provide to EQB, as well as the CZM unit of DNR, the land use plans which have been referenced in the draft EIS. The status of the land use plans for coastal areas should be clarified since what has been presented in the CZM plan is land use policy.

The CZMP should discuss integration of the developing Islandwide WQM plan into it. Continued funding of CZM should be contingent on consistency with the Islandwide 208 plan.

The Puerto Rico CZMP is directed by Section 307(f) of the CZM Act to assure that water quality standards, effluent limitations and other requirements of P.L. 92-500 are incorporated into its programs. Despite this, very little information is presented concerning water quality and how water quality precludes desired land or water use.

Increased attention has been focused on the clean-up and development of the Martin Pena Canal area. On page 133, the EIS mentions a federal congressional committee that is investigating the canal for its transportation potential. There is also a reference (page 81) to problems associated with squatters along coastal areas. The Commonwealth is now developing plans to upgrade the water quality of the canal, including resettlement of squatters along the canal. Policies included in the CZMP regarding development in this coastal area, as well as the removal of squatters, should be coordinated with plans being developed for the Martin Pena Canal.

c. A key element of the CZMP deals with refining criteria and regulations for development of floodplains. In discussing mangrove and floodplain development, the CZMP directs itself towards policy without being site specific, especially for floodplains. More work will have to be done in developing detailed land use plans for the coastal area and mapping these flood prone areas. Nevertheless, the CZMP does make available to the 208 process in Puerto Rico a policy leading to land use plans compatible with water quality management. Close coordination should be maintained among DNR, EQB and the Planning Board to include the elements, among others, in the WQM plan.

Response

See response to 1.a. above.

As required, the water quality standards and other requirements of P.L. 92-500 are incorporated in the PRCPMP (see page B-29). See also discussion on Coastal Waters in Chapter 3 and Section C and D in Part III.

See revised text, page 133.

OCZM agrees. Plans being developed for the Martin Pena Canal should be coordinated with the PRCPMP. OCZM has recently funded a San Juan Urban Waterways Demonstration Project to be done by planning board.

c. Detailed mapping of flood-prone areas of the coast is proceeding as described on page 50, which has been updated in response to HUD comments.
The requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended, are incorporated by reference into the draft EIS to be regarded as the minimum air pollution control requirements applicable to the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program (page 29). Incorporation of the air quality requirements should also include their consideration in the development of the management program, especially with respect to land use determinations and designation of areas for special management and planning. Accordingly, consideration should be given to the designation of Air Quality Maintenance Areas and Air Quality Non-Attainment Areas as Special Planning Areas of the Puerto Rico CZMP. After these designations, the Puerto Rico CZM agency should maintain close coordination and working relationships with the Puerto Rico EQB to establish and refine policies, plans and regulations that will guide development of the planning program.

2. WETLANDS

a. The CZMP very commendably places a premium on protection of the island's unique tropical habitats, and particularly its mangroves. We are concerned, however, by statements within the plan that appear to contradict its stated purpose of mangrove protection.

Of the various mangrove types specified, several are cited as suitable for timber and tannin production, sewage recycling, and construction of homes and other facilities (page 59, Table 1). These are not, in EPA's view, activities which should be generally permitted, especially when, as noted on page 60, 60-70 percent of fish production in Puerto Rico depends upon the reef and mangrove systems that fringe the island, and on page 61, three-quarters of Puerto Rico's original mangroves have been destroyed, and some of the remaining ones are threatened.

For management purposes, the island's mangrove area are divided into two categories: natural reserves (twelve mangrove areas) would receive maximum protection, and special planning areas (all other mangroves) would be managed on a case-by-case basis. The planning process is to restrict new development in mangrove wetlands, but the various exceptions to this policy are not consistent with protection. For example, within natural reserves, the plan would allow alteration for minor incidental public service facilities, such as buried cable or pipelines (page 63). This is particularly disturbing because such activities can result in extensive impacts to mangroves (siltation, dredging, alteration of natural flow and drainage patterns).

b. See revised text, page 59, Table 1, which clarifies the limitations of these uses.

The revised text is intended to make clear that permitted activities must be consistent with management policies rather than with this table.

The policy for Natural Reserves provides that any alteration shall, to one maximum extent practicable, maintain or enhance the existing functional capacity of the wetland and conform to a mangrove management plan. (See pages 62-63). With these provisions, the policy appears to represent a reasonable accommodation of conflicting public needs.
During the federal permitting stages for the Aquirre Power Station, several federal agencies (EPA, Corps of Engineers, Fish and Wildlife Service) opposed construction through the Jujubay-Har Negro Mangrove Preserve of a proposed pipeline/canal for the cooling water discharge system of the Aquirre facility. We are concerned that the language of the CZMP would promote a similar wetlands crossing.

For other mangrove areas (page 63), it appears that extensive industrial expansion/development would be permitted. We believe these uses are not conducive to enhancement and protection of mangroves, and are potentially in violation of Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands. The EPA's review of Corps permits for actions destroying, or significantly harming wetlands will discourage permit issuance for all but the most demanding national needs, and will press for consideration of alternatives and mitigating measures.

The discussion on page 63 regarding mangrove management plans, which would be required prior to allowing any significant alteration in mangrove areas, notes that for intensification of use in areas now committed to industrial or port use, a port master plan certified by the Ports Authority may be substituted for the otherwise required management plan. Because of the potential for abuse, we believe that areas that qualify for plan substitution should be clearly delineated. In addition, the landuse plan should specify what environmental constraints, if any, will be placed on the Port Authority plans, and what approvals are required for these plans.

b. We believe that the draft EIS pays insufficient attention to the matter of enforcement. One of the bases for disapproval of the CZMP by the Secretary of Commerce is if the policies and criteria in the program are not enforceable (page 190). We believe it incumbent upon the applicant to demonstrate a capability of effective environmental enforcement (e.g., what legal constraints are provided by existing environmental legislation, what mechanisms exist to use them, and have enforcement actions ever been taken?), or to present a program for development of such capabilities. Creation of a Ranger Corps is a first step in this process, but enforcement will also require legal and administrative staffing.

OCZM will recommend to Puerto Rico that the PRCPM be refined to delineate the areas that qualify for plan substitution. Port Master Plans must be consistent with the PRCPM.

The references to "expansion of existing commercial fishing harbors, ports, or airports, and to "those portion of coastal-dependent energy facilities that cannot be located on dry land or in open water areas" do not appear to OCZM to contemplate the "extensive industrial expansion/development" to which your letter refers. OCZM is pleased that EPA's reviews of Corps permits will be available to reenforce Puerto Rico's efforts to protect its mangroves.
3. WATER SUPPLY

The CZMP designated ten coastal areas for the development of water-dependent industries. Seven of these ten coastal areas are also defined as Special Planning Areas because these important coastal resource areas are subject to seriously conflicting uses and therefore, require detailed planning. Although Special Planning Areas deserve careful planning for industrial development, we find no evidence that the water supply constraints of these areas have been considered.

Four Special Planning Areas, in particular, appear inappropriate as industrial zones due to limited water supplies: Panduera-Guardarraya, Jobos Bay, Southwest, and Laguna Tortuguero. These proposed industrial areas are identical to three of the four Priority Areas designated by the Puerto Rico DNR through the 208 planning efforts due to pressing conflicts between water demands and uses, and due to the occurrence of water scarcities. The three Priority Areas of concern are Barceloneta-Manati, South Coast and Yabucoa... (Several pages of detailed information omitted)... 

(a) The discussion above indicates that the CZMP has not been coordinated with the 208 water quality management plan, particularly regarding the water needs of industrial development.

(b) A second serious oversight is that the aquifers underlying the four special Planning Areas are eligible for designation as sole source aquifers, pursuant to section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act. Eligibility is predicated on the aquifer's supplying 50 percent or more of the water supply for the area's population. While this designation would not prohibit industrial growth, it would require a groundwater assessment and a detailed EIS on groundwater impacts for any federally-funded programs. The Islandwide 208 WQM Plan will soon apply for sole source designation of aquifers in the Barceloneta-Manati area, the Yabucoa area and the South Coast. This issue should be incorporated into planning for industrial development of these areas.

(c) Third, the potential impacts of industrial development are many. There will be environmental impacts associated with large withdrawals of water for industrial uses, social and economic impacts related to competition for water by various users, potential pollution of the area's major source of facilities, additional water supplies for anticipated growth, loss of recharge areas due to surface development, etc. Major industrial development as planned by the

3. As noted on page 112, the "proposed regional industrial areas" shown on map 19 are sites identified by Commonwealth agencies as "potentially suitable" for coastal-dependent industry. PRCPMP has not "designated" coastal areas for the development of water-dependent industries but the PRCPMP designates a number of Special Planning Areas (p. 165) within which detailed planning is to be undertaken.

See revisions to the text on pages C-13, C-15, and C-18, which include references to water resources problems in the descriptions of the Panduera-Guardarraya, Southwest, and Laguna Tortuguero Special Planning Areas. The groundwater information that you have provided as well as anticipated contributions by EQB's 208 planning staff, should prove invaluable to the Coastal Management Unit in proposed detailed plans for Special Planning Areas.

(a) See response to 1.a. above which describes past coordination process. OCZM agrees on the benefit of coordination between PRCPMP and 208.

(b) OCZM assumes that EQB, as the 208 agency, will keep the Coastal Management Unit abreast of the ongoing water supply planning process. If sole source designations are made they will be of great importance to future changes of the PRCPMP, including plans for special planning areas.

(c) See first paragraph in response to 3 above. The PRCPMP does not "designate" coastal areas for the development of industry.

Plans for special planning areas may, however, designate industrial areas and an environmental assessment (including groundwater quality) will be prepared at that time.
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CZMP, has an excellent potential for polluting the groundwater through direct, as well as indirect, waste disposal to the ground. Industrial waste is sometimes extremely hazardous and difficult to dispose of. The impacts of industrial development on groundwater quality has not been assessed in the EIS.

Fourth, much information regarding designation of industrial areas is lacking. The CZMP cites development guidelines (page 167) as possible mechanisms for mitigating detrimental impacts of development, but stops there. There is no discussion of what impacts the guidelines will address, how they will work, and what criteria will be used. The development of guidelines should not be deferred until some uncertain time in the future but should be developed and presented in detail in the CZMP. In addition, the designation of areas for industrial growth does not specify what types of industries will be allowed in each area. There are decided differences among petrochemical, mining and manufacturing industries, when considering the generation of wastes and the amount of water needed. The CZMP should state which areas are suited for specific types of industrial process and which are limiting. This factor should be integrated into guidelines and the CZMP.

4. SOLID WASTE

The disposal of municipal and industrial solid waste has been a continual, unresolved problem in Puerto Rico. Although the CZMP acknowledges the problem, its proposed remedy is to await creation of the Solid Waste Authority. Because primary authority rests with the EOB, we believe that the CZMP should begin now to address the island's solid waste problems. A discussion of beach cleanup efforts cannot be considered adequate for a CZMP.

The goals of solid waste management planning should be incorporated into the CZMP in order to address the island's admitted difficulties. Now, for instance, will the CZMP discourage improper waste disposal in environmentally sensitive areas? How will existing landfills in sensitive mangroves be treated? How will landfills be sited with respect to residential and industrial development? What actions can be taken now to improve the landfill situation in the coastal zone?

4. OCZM understands that the legislation creating a solid waste authority had recently been enacted by the Legislature Assembly of Puerto Rico (see page 86). OCZM will assist the staff of the PRCHP in coordinating their coastal solid waste efforts with EOB's solid waste activities.

There are policies in the PRCHP which protect environmental sensitive areas, including mangroves (i.e., policy 18.01, 18.03 and the mangrove policies)
Environmental Protection Agency

Comments

5. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

a. We are confused by the designation of Jobos Bay as both a special planning area (page 116; page 165) and as a natural reserve (page 166). Because the land uses in the two areas may not be compatible, the plan should specify which land use criteria will take precedence.

b. Among the measures considered to retard coastal erosion is the construction of jetties, groins, seawalls, and revetments (page 54). Measures such as these should be carefully studied before they are implemented because jetties and groins often aggravate coastal erosion as sand is removed from one side of the barrier and deposited elsewhere, often offshore.

c. Page 103 discusses several Corps maintenance dredging projects for the ports in Puerto Rico, with disposal at sea. This statement should be altered to state that ocean disposal will be allowed only at designated sites and after compliance with the ocean dumping regulations (40 CFR 220-227).

d. Copper mining and processing is proposed as a future industry for the island. Although the ore bodies are located inland, the processing plants would be located along the coast. Tailings from the concentrator would be disposed of at sea or in inland tailing ponds (page 120-121).

We strongly advise against the direct disposal of such wastes into the sea, and recommend that methods of inland disposal, as tailing ponds, be installed. Disposal at sea must be consistent with the ocean dumping regulations; permits would be required from EPA.

Environmental Protection Agency

Response

5.a. Map 29 may clarify the situation--the recommended Natural Reserve consists of portions of the designated Special Planning Areas. Land use compatibility will be determined in the plan for the Jobos Bay Special Planning Area.

b. OCZM agrees. The need for comprehensive study of the costs and benefits of possible public responses to coastal erosion is noted on page 54, including the need to coordinate the Islandwide "208" program.

c. Change made on page 103.

d. Alternative methods of waste disposal will need to be analyzed in great detail before copper mining begins. Any disposal in the coastal zone must be consistent with EPA’s regulations and PRCEP.
Public Hearing on DEIS

Pedro Saade, Esq.
Servicios Legales de Puerto Rico

Comment

1. There has never been real public involvement. An example of this the fact the only person testifying (at this hearing) is me. Official notice was published on the 7th of April and we conclude that this does not meet the 30 day requirement.

2. As a matter of fact, the most extensive opportunity that the public had of knowing about the Program was the public hearings held during the month of January and I have good reason to think that it had no impact because we examined some of the criticisms and points of view presented at the hearings and we saw that the Program was scarcely modified.

3. EIS is considered to be too superficial to meet federal requirements.

4. It is true that sections of the PRCP would be beneficial to the Commonwealth but it is also true that there are other public policies subject to environmental impact that were not analyzed in the DEIS such as the general endorsement of industrial parks and energy plants as see on Map 19 (168,169).

Response

1. OCZM believes that opportunity for public involvement has been provided, as required by the CZMA (See Appendix E to the DEIS). The official notice requirement for a hearing on an EIS is 15 days in accordance with NEPA. (The 30 day requirement applies to Commonwealth hearings on the PRCP prior to submission to OCZM.) The hearing was held in San Juan in Spanish and English on April 25, 1978.

2. Public involvement prior to the hearing is described in Appendix E. OCZM understands that all comments were considered in making the extensive revisions to the PRCP prior to submission to OCZM

3. See expanded FEIS.

4. The sites shown on Map 19 are not designated as industrial parks or energy plants by the PRCP, see response to EPA's comment 3, above.
A. Page 6. Boundaries for Coastal Zone: Limits of excluded federal lands should be noted as a boundary of the Coastal Zone.

B. Page 64-65. These 1st mangrove areas recommended as natural reserves. Among the areas nominated is "Vieques Bioluminescent Bays Reserve". It is noted that Maps 24 and 29 extend the limits of the proposed reserve into federally owned property. The boundary of the proposed reserve should be altered to omit federal property.

C. Map 13. This depicts critical areas for endangered wildlife and includes the Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads and portions of Vieques. The comment on page 92 that "The federal military bases on Vieques and at Roosevelt Roads also provide important habitat protection" is considered sufficient identification and obviates any need to depict the federal areas on Vieques and at Roosevelt Roads as critical areas for endangered wildlife.

D. Map 22. This depicts an area of natural, environmental and cultural importance within the boundary of Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads. Since it is within federal areas, it should be eliminated from the PRCZMA.

E. Page 165. Among the special planning areas are all mangrove wetlands and the "Vieques Special Planning Area." The location of this area is not depicted on Map 29. Also, the "Vieques Bioluminescent Bays Reserve" is listed on Page 166 and Map 29 for designation as a natural reserve. The areas above, which fall within federal property, should be excluded from the policies, plans and regulations listed on pages 167 through 170 and should be removed from Map 29.

F. Page B-4. This states that all islands, transitional and intertidal areas, salt marshes, wetlands, and beaches are in the coastal zone. Map 26 identifies these areas, including many within excluded federal areas of Vieques. These excluded federal areas should be removed from Map 26 and Page B-4 should be annotated with the exclusion of federal lands.

A. See revised text on page 6, which states that all Federal lands are excluded from the coastal zone as required by Section 304 of the CZMA.

B. See revised text on page 65 and C-10 indicating that Federal lands are excluded; note added to Maps 9 and 29. The sanctuaries shown on Map 24 are not recommended by the PRCZMA. They were derived from a citizens' committee report prepared in 1974. The text of the PRCZMA (pages 1/6 and 1/f) does not recommend that these particular sites be designated as Natural Reserves even though it does support legislation to create a system of natural reserves, "ultimately to include marine, estuarine, and terrestrial areas."

C. See page B-6 which states that "several maps show resources or other features regardless of the boundaries of federal lands. These maps are intended to show the full extent of the coastal resources, even though some of these are excluded from the coastal zone by law."

C.B. See note added to Map 13, indicating that Federal lands are excluded.

D. See note added to Map 22 and response "C above."

E. See revised text on pages 65 and 165 which specified that all Federal lands are excluded from the recommended natural reserves and designated special planning areas. Also note added to Map 29.

F. Page B-4 accurately describes the natural areas that are required by the CZMA to be included in the coastal zone boundary. Please see page B-5 for description of excluded federal lands, as also required by the CZMA. See note added to Map 26.
Department of Defense

Comments

G-Page-B-6 As stated in comment (C) above, the critical areas for endangered wildlife, natural reserves or other resources should not encompass federal excluded lands.

H-Page-C-18-19 Federal property on Vieques should be excluded from the Vieques special planning area.

I-Map-18 This shows El Corcho to be located on the Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads. Local residents refer to El Corcho as a sugar plantation located to the South of Roosevelt Roads on private property.

J-Page-184 National defense and Naval training activities should be included in the listing of national interests.

K-Page-A-5 The definition of Department of Defense activities/agencies which affect the coastal zone is inadequate. This area should be expanded to describe the training and national defense role played by the U.S. Navy at Roosevelt Roads and Vieques. Descriptions of these roles been previously provided to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

L-Page-B-1 A statement regarding the exclusion of federal properties should be included in the description of coastal zone boundaries.

Department of Defense

Response

G. As already indicated above, a note has been added to several maps to clarify this point.

H. See revised text on page C-19.

I. See errata sheet.

J. See revised text on page 184. A more detailed discussion of national defense interests appears on pages B-19 to R-20.


L. See revised text on page B-1.
Office of the Governor

Comments

Pedro A. Galibert, Executive Director
Junta de Calidad Ambiental
(translation of comment)

I refer to your letter dated May 22, 1978
in relation to the Coastal Zone Management
Program, which indicates, among other
things, that the Honorable Governor is
interested in receiving my personal comments
about the subject.

I believe that the document submitted to us
is well prepared and gives rather an objective
emphasis to the main aspects that should be
considered in Coastal Management. Also, it
constitutes a good planning mechanism.

Perhaps due to the document being so broad,
some aspects are discussed in a general form.
The section that deals with the environmental
impacts is quite generalized, although it
often makes reference to the issues being
discussed.

In reference to the classification and public
use of the beaches, I think that there are
beaches which, even though they are classified
as adequate for public use, should have certain
limitations or restrictions, especially those in
which the flora and/or fauna should be protected
and/or preserved for different reasons.

With respect to solid wastes, alternatives in
siting of process facilities as well as the
disposal of such wastes should be considered.
In spite of the magnitude to the coastal zone
storage facilities for solid wastes should be
considered. In so doing, there should be a
coordination with the recently created Solid
Wastes Authority, even though the law which
created that Authority does not provide for the
cleaning of beaches.

Several of the issues discussed in the document,
among them the establishment of natural reserves,
the use of beaches and the sitting of energy
sources, could be in conflict or be affected
either by Federal Laws (Clean Air Act) or by
state laws (Law No. 9, of June, 1970, as amended).
Therefore, Coastal Zone Management should
be coordinated with such laws. In the same manner,
it should be coordinated with Programs 208-Island
and 208-Metro North.

I understand that the principal problem would be
the implementation of the plan, but if we count
with the necessary resources this could be done
rapidly and efficiently.

Office of the Governor

Responses

Gracias por su carta del lro. de junio de 1978,
incluyendo sus comentarios al Programa de Manejo
de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico. Deseo
opinar sobre algunos de los puntos traidos por
usted en su comunicación.

1) Estamos de acuerdo que algunas playas deberan
restringirse para el publico por razones
ecologicas o de otra indole, y la politica
publica sobre acceso en la pagina 75 del Programa
de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico
especificamente reconoce esta necesidad cuando
se refiere a los reglamentos restringiendo el
acceso a "areas ambientalmente criticas o para
la proteccion de especies amenazadas." El Pro-
gramas tambien destaca la obligacion estatutaria
de la Junta de Planificacion por establecer
renglamentacion gobernando el uso de las playas.
Tambien deseamos informar que la seccion sobre
acceso a las playas esta siendo revisada por la
oficina de Lobo-Carlo de la Fortaleza a los fines
de armonizar la politica publica existente con
aquella otra que se desea recomendar para este
importante aspecto del programa de manejo.

2) En cuanto a la ubicacion de facilidades de
proceso y/o disposicion final de los desperdicios
solidos el programa de manejo contempla el
coordinar con la agencia o agencias a cargo de
este aspecto. Dentro del programa de imple-
mentacion del programa de manejo se enfatiza la
coordinacion con las agencias estatales y
federales para la consecucion de los objetivos
del programa. En este caso nos proponemos
coordinar con la recien creada Autoridad de
Desperdicios solidos, con la Junta de Calidad
Ambiental y con los municipios costaneros a los
fines de asegurar que se cubra este aspecto
durante la fase de implementacion. En particular,
esperamos que el proceso detallado de planificacion
para las Areas de Planificacion Especial
provean una buena oportunidad de asegurar esta
coordinacion.

3) El Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de
Puerto Rico reconoce que muchas acciones
futuras, incluyendo el establecimiento de
reservas naturales, uso de playas y la ubicacion
de fuentes de energia necesitaran ser coordinadas
con las leyes federales y estatales. Las leyes
"Clean Air and Water Acts", asi como los
estandares estatales y las reglamentaciones
sobre calidad de aire y de agua, se incorporan,
por referencia en el Programa de Manejo de la
Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico (ver paginas
96 y B-29). El procedimiento de Declaraciones
de Impacto Ambiental establecido por la Ley
No. 9 se reconoce como de importancia fundamental
y se hace referencia al mismo repetidamente como
una medida basic para asegurar la implementacion
I would like to take this opportunity to inform you that the Environmental Quality Board is most willing to collaborate in all we can in regard to this matter.

4) La coordinación con el proceso de planificación 208 se considera particularmente importante. Especialmente dos áreas donde vemos la oportunidad para una coordinación estrecha son el desarrollo de guías para la erosión y sedimentación (utilizando las mejores prácticas de manejo que se desarrollen a través del programa 208) y la continua planificación para bregar con los problemas de abasto de agua en Puerto Rico.

Nuevamente deseo darle las gracias por su continua cooperación a través de todo el proceso de planificación del Programa de la Zona Costanera.

Confío en que podamos colaborar estrechamente durante la fase de implementación del Programa.
This is in response to your request for additional comments on the Coastal Zone Management Plan for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. We had previously provided comments on various occasions.

We feel this plan is a long overdue necessity and that the Commonwealth should move quickly and vigorously towards its implementation.

Problems and issues associated with the island's coastal zone are clearly identified. Also the needed institutional arrangements and natural areas requiring protection are adequately described. This provides a general framework for all concerned agencies to guide their efforts in the coastal zone.

It is considered that additional future efforts will be required in the development of the detailed plans as well as the specifics for integrating the efforts of numerous agencies, especially those of the U.S. Government to achieve federal consistency actions. This procedure puts forward a case by case dealing instead of the desired general scheme to guide conservation and development along the coastal zone.

We wish to reiterate our continuous support of this program and will guide our efforts toward helping your agency and the Commonwealth to develop a strong coastal zone management program.
Oficina Del Secretario De Justicia

Me refiero a una comunicación de su Oficina en la cual solicita mi opinión en torno a los aspectos legales del Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico y la Declaración de Impacto Ambiental Preliminar. Estos documentos fueron preparados por la Oficina Federal de Manejo de la Zona Costanera y el Departamento de Recursos Naturales de Puerto Rico.

La Declaración de Política Ambiental fue preparada de conformidad con la Ley Nacional de Política Pública Ambiental de 1969, 42 U.S.C.A. sec. 4321 et seq., según enmendada. Esta Ley ordena que se prepare una declaración de impacto ambiental como parte del proceso de revisión y aprobación de acciones mayores por parte de las agencias federales. La acción contemplada en la aprobación del Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico. La Ley requiere que la declaración debe evaluar probables impactos ambientales de una acción propuesta, para así poder presentar las consecuencias ambientales de la misma. El Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico al hacer una descripción de la acción propuesta y sus probables impactos sobre el ambiente, cumple a mi juicio con las disposiciones de la Ley Federal.

La finalidad de la Ley de Manejo de la Zona Costanera (PU-92-583, 16 USC A 1451, et seq., según enmendada) es la protección y desarrollo efectivo de la zona costanera al prover ayuda y estímulo a los estados costaneros para desarrollar e implementar programas racionales para el manejo de sus zonas costaneras. La ley autoriza fondos de ayuda financiera para proveer a los estados los medios para lograr estos objetivos y políticas públicas. La elaboración de un Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera es uno de los requisitos que los estados deben cumplir para cualificar para recibir fondos bajo la Sección 305 de la ley federal. De el examen de el Programa de Puerto Rico encuentro que el mismo es consistente con los objetivos y políticas públicas de la legislación nacional.

El Departamento de Recursos Naturales está facultado para preparar e implementar este tipo de plan según lo dispuesto en la Ley Num. 23 de 20 de junio de 1972, 3 LOFA sec. 151 et seq. (Opinion del Secretario de Justicia de 16 de septiembre de 1977).
Oficina Del Secretario De Justicia

La sección 304 de la Ley Federal de Manejo de la Zona Costanera define la Zona Costanera como extendiéndose mar adentro hasta los límites exteriores del mar de los Estados Unidos. La Oficina de Manejo de la Zona Costanera (OMZC) entiende que este límite es de tres millas náuticas de las líneas básicas apropiadas recomendadas por la ley internacional y definidas por los Estados Unidos.

En la Opinión de esta Oficina de fecha 16 de septiembre de 1977 sugerimos que se estudiara el límite fijado en el borrador Preliminar del Plan de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico, a la luz del posible interés de Puerto Rico de establecer un límite mayor de conformidad con la Ley Num. 3 de 25 de febrero de 1977, que enmendó la Sección 4 de la Ley de Pesca de Puerto Rico. Esta ley extiende el límite de las aguas jurisdiccionales de el Estado Libre Asociado a doce millas de la costa de Puerto Rico y de sus islas. El Programa, en la página B-7 del documento en cuestión, establece que el gobierno del Estado Libre Asociado no renuncia a ninguna autoridad que pueda tener sobre las aguas que están más allá del límite de tres millas especificado por la OMZC.

En atención a todo lo expuesto, entiendo que el Programa de Manejo de la Zona Costanera de Puerto Rico y la Declaración de Impacto Ambiental Preliminar cumplen con todos los requisitos de ley pertinentes.
Departamento De Transportación

En reunión celebrada con el Sr. Frank Molther y Jesús Benitez Rosario, el día 10 de mayo de 1978, se discutió la posible designación del pantano, a la entrada oeste del Canal de Martin Pena, como reserva natural.

Para esa área el Municipio de San Juan propone al Parque de San Juan. Los plenos para este proyecto fueron preparados por la firma de arquitectos Llenza y Llenza para el municipio y considera el pantano como santuario de aves.

El Comité para el Desarrollo del Canal concurre en que este tiene un alto potencial de desarrollo como vía de navegación y le preocupa que la designación del área como reserva natural, ahora, tenga un efecto adverso, más tarde, en la decisión de utilizar el Canal para este propósito.

No obstante, la decisión final del uso y las actividades más apropiadas del Canal estaría determinada por la Declaración de Impacto Ambiental que el Cuerpo de Ingenieros de los Estados Unidos preparara para el área del Canal.

Gracias por su carta del 1ro de junio de 1978 en relación con el óptimo uso de los Anegados del Puente de la Constitución. Un aporte a esta comunicación, que también le ha sido enviado al Honorable Gobernador, busca José posibles respuestas a su preocupación en este asunto.

En vista de la importancia de esta área, todavía debe efectuarse una planificación más cuidadosa antes de que se decida el futuro uso del área. Esperamos poder trabajar estrechamente con usted y las otras poder trabajar estrechamente con usted y las otras agencias federales y estatales concernidas con este proyecto durante la fase de planificación del mismo.